

NONLINEAR CONTRACTIONS AND FIXED POINTS IN COMPLETE DISLOCATED AND b -DISLOCATED METRIC SPACES

Elida Hoxha¹, Kastriot Zoto² and Panda Sumati Kumari³

Abstract. In this paper, we continue the study of complete dislocated and b -dislocated metric spaces and established some common fixed point theorems for one and two mappings. Our results generalizes and extend some existing results in the literature in a class effectively larger such as b -dislocated metric spaces, where the self distance for a point may not be equal to zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of b -metric space was introduced by Bakhtin [4] and extensively used by Czerwik in [10]. After that, several interesting results about the existence of a fixed point for single-valued and multi-valued operators in b -metric spaces have been obtained. Recently there are a number of generalizations of metric space. Some of them are the notions of dislocated metric spaces and b -dislocated metric spaces where the distance of a point in the self may not be zero. These spaces was introduced and studied by Hitzler and Seda [5], Nawab Hussain et.al [7]. Also in [7] are presented some topological aspects and properties of b -dislocated metrics. Subsequently, several authors have studied the problem of existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for single-valued and set-valued mappings and different types of contractions in these spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to unify and generalize some recent results in the setting of dislocated and b -dislocated metric spaces using a class of continuous functions G_4 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [6]. Let X be a nonempty set and a mapping $d_I : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *dislocated metric* (or simply d_I -metric) if the following conditions hold for any $x, y, z \in X$:

- i. If $d_I(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$
- ii. $d_I(x, y) = d_I(y, x)$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47H10 Secondary: 55M20

Key words and phrases. dislocated metric, b -dislocated metric, fixed point, contraction.

$$\text{iii. } d_I(x, y) \leq d_I(x, z) + d_I(z, y)$$

The pair (X, d_I) is called a *dislocated metric space* (or *d -metric space* for short). Note that when $x = y$, $d_I(x, y)$ may not be 0 .

Example 2.2. If $X = R$, then $d(x, y) = |x| + |y|$ defines a dislocated metric on X .

Definition 2.3 [6]. A sequence (x_n) in d_I -metric space (X, d_I) is called:

(1) a *Cauchy sequence* if, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$, we have $d_I(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ or $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_I(x_n, x_m) = 0$,

(2) *convergent* with respect to d_I if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d_I(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this case, x is called the limit of (x_n) and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$.

A d_I -metric space X is called *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

Definition 2.4[8]. Let X be a nonempty set and a mapping $b_d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *b-dislocated metric* (or simply *b_d-dislocated metric*) if the following conditions hold for any $x, y, z \in X$ and $s \geq 1$:

- a. If $b_d(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$,
- b. $b_d(x, y) = b_d(y, x)$,
- c. $b_d(x, y) \leq s[b_d(x, z) + b_d(z, y)]$.

The pair (X, b_d) is called a *b-dislocated metric space*. And the class of *b-dislocated metric space* is larger than that of dislocated metric spaces, since a *b-dislocated metric* is a dislocated metric when $s = 1$.

In [8] was showed that each b_d -metric on X generates a topology τ_{b_d} whose base is the family of open b_d -balls $B_{b_d}(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : b_d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$

Also in [8] are presented some topological properties of b_d -metric spaces

Definition 2.5. Let (X, b_d) be a b_d -metric space, and (x_n) be a sequence of points in X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence (x_n) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, x) = 0$ and we say that the sequence (x_n) is *b_d-convergent* to x and denote it by $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The limit of a b_d -convergent sequence in a b_d -metric space is unique [8, Proposition 1.27].

Definition 2.6. A sequence (x_n) in a b_d -metric space (X, b_d) is called a b_d -Cauchy sequence iff, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m > n_0$, we have $b_d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ or $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Every b_d -convergent sequence in a b_d -metric space is a b_d -Cauchy sequence.

Remark 2.7. The sequence (x_n) in a b_d -metric space (X, b_d) is called a b_d -Cauchy sequence iff $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$

Definition 2.8. A b_d -metric space (X, b_d) is called complete if every b_d -Cauchy sequence in X is b_d -convergent.

In general a b_d -metric is not continuous, as in Example 1.31 in [8] showed.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and any constant $\alpha > 0$. Define the function $d_l : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d_l(x, y) = \alpha(x + y)$. Then, the pair (X, d_l) is a dislocated metric space.

Lemma 2.10. Let (X, b_d) be a b -dislocated metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. Suppose that (x_n) and (y_n) are b_d -convergent to $x, y \in X$, respectively. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2} b_d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2 b_d(x, y)$$

In particular, if $b_d(x, y) = 0$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, y_n) = 0 = b_d(x, y)$. Moreover,

for each $z \in X$, we have

$$\frac{1}{s} b_d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, z) \leq s b_d(x, z)$$

In particular, if $b_d(x, z) = 0$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_d(x_n, z) = 0 = b_d(x, z)$.

Some examples in the literature shows that in general a b -dislocated metric is not continuous.

Example 2.11. If $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, then $b_d(x, y) = (x + y)^2$ defines a b -dislocated metric on X with parameter $s = 2$.

3. MAIN RESULT

We consider the set G_4 of all continuous functions $g : [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

a) g is non-decreasing in respect to each variable

b) $g(t, t, t, t) \leq t, t \in [0, \infty)$

Some examples of these functions are as follows:

$$g_1 : g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$$

$$g_2 : g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \max\{t_1 + t_2, t_2 + t_3, t_1 + t_3, t_3 + t_4\}$$

$$g_3 : g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = [\max\{t_1 t_2, t_2 t_3, t_3 t_1, t_3 t_4\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$g_4 : g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = [\max\{t_1^p, t_2^p, t_3^p, t_4^p\}]^{\frac{1}{p}}, p > 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete b -dislocated metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ two mappings satisfying the following contractive condition

$$sd(Sx, Ty) \leq c g[d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}] \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $g \in G_4$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point and if u is a common fixed point of S and T , then $d(u, u) = 0$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Define the sequence (x_n) as follows:

$$x_1 = S(x_0), x_2 = T(x_1), \dots, x_{2n} = T(x_{2n-1}), x_{2n+1} = S(x_{2n}), \dots$$

if we assume that for some $n \in N$, $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n}$ then $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and also using the contractive condition of theorem we will have that $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n}$ is a fixed point of T .

Thus we assume that for $n \in N$, $x_{2n+1} \neq x_{2n}$. By condition (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &= sd(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq c g[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}] \\ &= c g[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})}] \\ &\leq cd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{c}{s} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \quad (2)$$

Similarly by condition (1) have:

$$\begin{aligned} sd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= sd(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) \\ &= sd(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq c g[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}), \frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})}{1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})}] \\ &= c g[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})}{1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})}] \\ &\leq cd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{c}{s} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}). \quad (3)$$

Generally by conditions (2), (3) and denoting $k = \frac{c}{s}$, we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq kd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \dots \leq k^{2n}d(x_0, x_1) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $0 \leq k < 1$, taking limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \rightarrow 0. \tag{4}$$

Now, we prove that (x_n) is a b_d -Cauchy sequence, and to do this let be $m, n > 0$ with $m > n$, and using definition 2.4 (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_d(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[b_d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + b_d(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\ &\leq sb_d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2b_d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3b_d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots \\ &\leq sk^n b_d(x_0, x_1) + s^2k^{n+1}b_d(x_0, x_1) + s^3k^{n+2}b_d(x_0, x_1) + \dots \\ &= sk^n b_d(x_0, x_1)[1 + sk + (sk)^2 + (sk)^3 + \dots] \\ &\leq \frac{sk^n}{1-sk} b_d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

On taking limit for $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ we have $b_d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $ks < 1$. Therefore (x_n) is a b_d -Cauchy sequence in complete b-dislocated metric space (X, b_d) . So there is some $u \in X$ such that (x_n) dislocated converges to u . Therefore the subsequences $\{Sx_{2n}\} \rightarrow u$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow u$. Since $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ are continuous mappings we get: $Su = u$ and $Tu = u$. Thus, u is a common fixed point of T and S .

If consider that T is continuous and S not continuous we have that $Tu = u$. Using the contractive condition of theorem we have,

$$\begin{aligned} sd(Su, Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq cg[d(u, x_{2n+1}), d(u, Su), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(u, Su)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(u, x_{2n+1})}] \\ &\leq cg[d(u, x_{2n+1}), d(u, Su), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(u, Su)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1+d(u, x_{2n+1})}]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking in upper limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, using lemma 2.10, property of g and result (4) we get

$$s \frac{1}{s} d(u, Su) \leq cg[0, d(u, Su), 0, 0].$$

This inequality implies $d(u, Su) \leq cd(u, Su)$ that means $d(u, Su) = 0$. Thus $Su = u$ and u is a fixed point of S .

If consider (c) we have that, u is a common fixed point of S and T . Using the contractive condition of theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} sd(u, u) &= sd(Su, Tu) \\ &\leq cg[d(u, u), d(u, u), d(u, u), \frac{d(u, u)d(u, u)}{1+d(u, u)}] \\ &= cd(u, u). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality above implies that $d(u, u) \leq kd(u, u)$. So $d(u, u) = 0$, since $0 \leq k = \frac{c}{s} < 1$.

Uniqueness. Let suppose that u and v are two common fixed points of $T; S$. From condition (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
sd(u, v) &= sd(Su, Tv) \\
&\leq cg[d(u, v), d(u, Su), d(v, Tv), \frac{d(u, Su)d(v, Tv)}{1+d(u, v)}] \\
&= cg[d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), \frac{d(u, u)d(v, v)}{1+d(u, v)}].
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Replacing $v = u$ in (5) we get:

$$sd(u, u) \leq cg[d(u, u), d(u, u), d(u, u), \frac{d(u, u)d(u, u)}{1+d(u, u)}] \leq cd(u, u),$$

i.e. $d(u, u) \leq \frac{c}{s}d(u, u) = kd(u, u)$. Since $0 \leq k < 1$ we obtain $d(u, u) = 0$. Similarly replacing $u = v$ in (5), we obtain $d(v, v) = 0$. Again from (5) have $d(u, v) \leq kd(u, v)$ since $0 \leq k < 1$ get $d(u, v) = 0$, which implies $u = v$. Thus fixed point is unique.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complete b -dislocated metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ two mappings satisfying the following contractive condition

$$sd(Sx, Ty) \leq cg[d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $g \in G_3$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point and if u is a common fixed point of S and T , then $d(u, u) = 0$.

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, d) be a complete dislocated metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ two mappings satisfying the following contractive condition

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq cg[d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}],$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $g \in G_4$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point and if u is a common fixed point of S and T , then $d(u, u) = 0$.

The following example supports our theorem.

Example 3.4. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $d(x, y) = x + y$, for all $x, y \in X$. It is clear that d is a dislocated metric on X . We define the self mappings $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ as follows

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}x, & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{6}, & x = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5}x, & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{3}, & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that S and T are discontinuous maps. Now we will show that the contractive condition of 3.3 is satisfied for constant $c \in [0, 1)$ and taking the function

$g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$. We have the following cases:

Case 1. Note that for all $x, y \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) = d(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{5}) = \frac{x}{8} + \frac{y}{5} \leq \frac{1}{5}(x + y) = \frac{1}{5}d(x, y)$$

Case 2. Note that for $x = y = 1$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) = d(S1, T1) = d\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 = \frac{1}{4} d(x, y).$$

Case 3. for $x \in [0, 1)$ and $y = 1$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) = d\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{x}{8} + \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3}(x+1) = \frac{1}{3} d(x, y).$$

Case 4. For all $y \in [0, 1)$ and $x = 1$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) = d\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{y}{5}\right) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{y}{5} = \frac{5+6y}{30} \leq \frac{1}{4}(1+y) = \frac{1}{4} d(x, y).$$

Thus all conditions of corollary 3.3 are satisfied and $x = 0$ is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

Also we note that this theorem is not available in a usual metric space if $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and in b -metric space $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ because if consider points $x = y = 1$ we will have

$$d(S1, T1) = \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} \right| = \frac{1}{6} > cd(1, 1) = 0$$

$$d(S1, T1) = \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} \right|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{36} > cd(1, 1) = 0.$$

So the contractive condition is failed in two cases.

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, d) be a complete dislocated metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ a self-mapping satisfying the following contractive condition

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leq c g[d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Sy), \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Sy)}{1+d(x, y)}]$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $g \in G_4$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Then, S has a unique fixed point and $d(u, u) = 0$

Example 3.6. Let $X = [0, 10]$ and $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. It is clear that d is a dislocated metric on X and (X, d) is complete. Also d is not a metric on X . We define the self-mapping $S : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} x-1, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and take the function $g(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ and also choose the constant $c = \frac{9}{10}$. For $x, y \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10\}$, we have the following cases.

Case 1. For $x = y = 0$ have $d(Sx, Sy) = d(0, 0) = 0$

Case 2. If $x = y > 0$, then

$$d(Sx, Sy) = d(x-1, x-1) = x-1 \leq \frac{9}{10}x = \frac{9}{10} d(x, y).$$

Case 3. If $x > y = 0$, then

$$d(Sx, Sy) = d(x-1, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(x-1) \leq \frac{9}{10} \frac{x}{2} = \frac{9}{10} d(x, y).$$

Case 4. If $x > y > 0$, then

$$d(Sx, Sy) = d(x-1, y-1) = \frac{1}{2}(x+y-2) \leq \frac{9}{10} \frac{1}{2}(x+y) = \frac{9}{10} d(x, y).$$

Thus all conditions of theorem are satisfied and S has a unique fixed point in X . Also we note that for $x=1$ and $y=10$ the contractive condition is failed in the usual metric.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, d) be a complete b -dislocated metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ two self-mappings satisfying the condition:

$$sd(Sx, Ty) \leq c \max \{d(x, y) + d(x, Sx), d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty), \\ d(x, y) + d(y, Ty), d(y, Ty) + \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq 2c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. This theorem is corollary of theorem 3.1 if we use the function $g_2 \in G_4$.

Theorem 3.8. Let (X, d) be a complete b -dislocated metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ two self mappings satisfying the condition:

$$s^p d^p(Sx, Ty) \leq c \max \{d^p(x, y), d^p(x, Sx), d^p(y, Ty), (\frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)})^p\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. This theorem is taken as a corollary of theorem 1, if we use the function $g_4 \in G_4$.

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, d) be a complete b -dislocated metric space and $T, S: X \rightarrow X$ two self-mappings satisfying the condition:

$$s^2 d^2(Sx, Ty) \leq c \max \{d(x, y)d(x, Sx), d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty), d(x, y)d(y, Ty), d(y, Ty) \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)}\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq 2c < 1$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. This theorem is corollary of theorem 1, if we use the function $g_3 \in G_4$.

Remark 3.10. Results of the above theorems and corollaries are extended and unified of some classical fixed point results in metric spaces and generalization of results of the authors [1,2,9,10,18,19] and other results in dislocated metric spaces.

References

- [1] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunke, *The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space*, Appl. Math. Sci.,2(59), (2008), 2941-2948.
- [2] C. T. Aage, J. N. Salunke, *Some results of fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi-metric spaces*, Bulletin of Marathwada Mathematical Society, 9(2008),1-5

- [3] A. Beiranvand, S. Moradi, M. Omid, H. Pazandeh, *Two fixed point theorems for special mapping*, arXiv:0903.1504v1 [math.FA].
- [4] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, Funct. Anal., Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst. 30, (1989), 26-37
- [5] P. Hitzler, A. K. Seda, *Dislocated topologies*, *J. Electr. Engin*, 51(12/S):3:7, 2000.
- [6] R. Shrivastava, Z. K. Ansari and M. Sharma, *Some results on Fixed Points in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces*, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, Vol. 3, No.1, (2012)
- [7] N. Hussain, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and M. Abbas, *Common fixed point results for weak contractive mappings in ordered b-dislocated metric spaces with applications*, Journal of inequalities and Applications, 1/486, (2013)
- [8] M. A. Kutbi, M. Arshad, J. Ahmad, A. Azam, *Generalized common fixed point results with applications*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, volume 2014, article ID 363925, 7 pages
- [9] K. Zoto, E. Hoxha, *Fixed point theorems in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space*, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology; Vol. 3, No.1, (2012).
- [10] Czerwik, S: *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1, 5-11 (1993)
- [11] L. B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 45, (1974), 267-273.
- [12] K. M. Das, K. V. Naik, *Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on metric spaces*. Proc Am Math Soc., 77, (1979), 369-373
- [13] M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, I. Beg, *Fixed point of a pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered dislocated metric space*, Fixed point theory and applications, vol. 2013, article 115, 2013
- [14] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain, P. Salimi, *Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b-metric-like spaces*, Journal of inequalities and applications, vol. 2013, article 402, 2013
- [15] M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, P. Vetro; *Common fixed points of a pair of Hardy Rogers type mappings on a closed ball in ordered dislocated metric spaces*, Journal of function spaces and applications, vol 2013, article id 638181
- [16] R. Yijie, L. Junlei, Y. Yanrong, *Common fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractive mappings in dislocated metric spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis vol 2013, article id 483059.
- [17] K. Zoto, P. S. Kumari, E. Hoxha. *Some Fixed Point Theorems and Cyclic Contractions in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces*, American Journal of Numerical Analysis, 2.3 (2014), 79-84.
- [18] M. Kir, H. Kiziltunc, *On Some Well Known Fixed Point Theorems in b-Metric Spaces*, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, 1.1 (2013), 13-16.
- [19] M. P. Kumar, S. Sachdeva, S. K. Banerjee, *Some Fixed Point Theorems in b-metric Space*, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory 2.1 (2014), 19-22.

¹⁾ Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania

²⁾ Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Gjirokastra, Gjirokastra, Albania
E-mail address: zotokastriot@yahoo.com

³⁾ Department of Mathematics, K L University, Green Fields, A. P, India