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Abstract. In the past few years, the classical results about the theory of fixed point are transmitted in 2-Banach spaces, defined by A. White (see [3] and [8]). Several generalizations of Kannan, Chatterjea and Koparde-Waghmode theorems are given in [1], [4], [5] and [7]. In this paper, several generalizations of already known theorems about common fixed points of mappings in 2-Banach spaces, are proven, by using the sequentially convergent mappings.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1968 White ([3]) introduces 2-Banach spaces. 2-Banach spaces are being studied by several authors, and certain results can be seen in [8]. Further, analogously as in normed space P. K. Hatikrishnan and K. T. Ravindran in [6] are introducing the term contraction mapping to 2-normed space as follows.

Definition 1 ([6]). Let \((L,|| \cdot ||)\) be a real vector 2-normed space. The mapping \(S : L \rightarrow L\) is contraction if there is \(\lambda \in (0,1)\) such that

\[ ||Sx - Sy, z|| \leq \lambda \| x - y, z \|, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in L.\]

Regarding contraction mapping Hatikrishnan and Ravindran in [6] proved that contraction mapping has a unique fixed point in closed and restricted subset of 2-Banach space. Further, in [1], [4], [5] and [7] are proven more results related to fixed points of contraction mapping of 2-Banach spaces, and in [7] are proven several results for common fixed points of contraction mapping defined on the same 2-Banach space.
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In our further considerations, we will give some generalizations of the above results for common fixed points of mapping defined on the same 2-Banach space. Thus, the mentioned generalizations we will do with the help of so-called sequentially convergent mappings which are defined as follows.

**Definition 2.** Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2-normed space. A mapping \(T : L \to L\) is said to be sequentially convergent if, for every sequence \(\{y_n\}\), if \(\{Ty_n\}\) is convergent then \(\{y_n\}\) also is convergent.

2. **COMMON FIXED POINTS OF MAPPING OF THE KANNAN TYPE**

**Theorem 1.** Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2- Banach space, \(S_1, S_2 : L \to L\) and mapping \(T : L \to L\) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \(\alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0\) are such that \(2\alpha + \gamma < 1\) and

\[
\|TS_1x - TS_2y, z\| \leq \alpha(\|Tx - TS_1x, z\| + \|Ty - TS_2y, z\|) + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\|, 
\]

for each \(x, y, z \in L\), then \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) have a unique common fixed point \(z \in L\).

**Proof.** Let \(x_0\) be an arbitrary point of \(L\) and let the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) be defined with \(x_{2n+1} = S_1x_n, x_{2n+2} = S_2x_{2n+1}\), for \(n = 0, 1, 2,...\). If there is \(n \geq 0\) such that \(x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}\), then it is easy to prove that \(u = x_n\) is a common fixed point for \(S_1\) and \(S_2\). Therefore, let's assume that there do not exist three different consecutive equal members of the sequence \(\{x_n\}\). So, using inequalities (1), it is easy to prove that for each \(n \geq 1\) and for each \(z \in L\) the following holds true

\[
\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}, z\| \leq \alpha(\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}, z\| + \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}, z\|) + \gamma \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}, z\|
\]

and

\[
\|Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z\| \leq \alpha(\|Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n-1}, z\| + \|Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z\|)
\]

\[+ \gamma \|Tx_{2n-2} - Tx_{2n-1}, z\|,\]

from which it follows that

\[
\|Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n, z\| \leq \lambda \|Tx_n - Tx_{n-1}, z\|, 
\]

for each \(n = 0, 1, 2,...\), where \(\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{1 - \alpha} < 1\). Now from inequality (2) it follows that

\[
\|Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n, z\| \leq \lambda^n \|Tx_1 - Tx_0, z\|, 
\]

(3)
for each \( z \in L \) and for each \( n = 0,1,2,\ldots \). But, then from inequality (3) follows that for each \( m,n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n > m \) and for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[
\| T_{x_n} - T_{x_m}, z \| \leq \frac{z^m}{1-X} \| T_{x_1} - T_{x_0}, z \| ,
\]
which means that the sequence \( \{ T_{x_n} \} \) is Cauchy and because space \( L \) is 2-Banach we get that the sequence \( \{ T_{x_n} \} \) is convergent. Further, the mapping \( T : L \rightarrow L \) is sequentially convergent and because the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) is convergent, i.e. exists \( u \in L \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u \). Now from the continuity of \( T \) follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} T_{x_n} = Tu .
\]
Then, for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[
\| Tu - TS_1 u, z \| \leq \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \| T_{x_{2n+2}} - TS_1 u, z \|
\]
\[
= \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \| TS_2 x_{2n+1} - TS_1 u, z \|
\]
\[
\leq \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \alpha(\| Tu - TS_1 u, z \| + \| T_{x_{2n+1}} - TS_2 x_{2n+1}, z \|)
\]
\[
+ \gamma \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+1}}, z \|
\]
\[
\leq \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \alpha(\| Tu - TS_1 u, z \| + \| T_{x_{2n+1}} - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \|)
\]
\[
+ \gamma \| Tu - T_{x_{2n+1}}, z \|
\]
If in the last inequality we take that \( n \to \infty \), for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[
\| Tu - TS_1 u, z \| \leq \alpha \| Tu - TS_1 u, z \| ,
\]
and since \( \alpha < 1 \), we conclude that \( \| TS_1 u - Tu, z \| = 0 \), for each \( z \in L \), i.e. \( TS_1 u = Tu \). But, \( T \) is injection, so \( S_1 u = u \), i.e. \( u \) is fixed point on \( S_1 \). Analogically can be proved that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_2 \). Let \( v \in L \) is another fixed point of \( S_2 \), i.e. \( S_2 v = v \). Then, for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[
\| Tu - Tv, z \| = \| TS_1 u - TS_2 v, z \|
\]
\[
\leq \alpha(\| Tu - TS_2 v, z \| + \| T_{v} - TS_1 u, z \|) + \gamma \| Tu - Tv, z \|
\]
\[
= (2\alpha + \gamma) \| Tu - Tv, z \|
\]
and as \( 2\alpha + \beta < 1 \) we get that for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[
\| Tu - Tv, z \| = 0 ,
\]
from which follows \( Tu = Tv \). But, \( T \) is injection, so \( u = v \). ■

**Corollary 1.** Let \( (L, \| \cdot, \cdot \|) \) be a 2-Banach space, \( S_1, S_2 : L \rightarrow L \) and mapping \( T : L \rightarrow L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( \alpha > 0, \ \gamma \geq 0 \) are such that \( 2\alpha + \gamma < 1 \) and
\[ \|TS_1x - TS_2y, z\| \leq \alpha \left[ \frac{\|Tx - TS_1x, z\|^2 + \|Ty - TS_2y, z\|^2}{\|Tx - TS_1x, z\| + \|Ty - TS_2y, z\|} + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\| \right], \]

for each \( x, y, z \in L \), \( z \neq 0 \), then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( z \in L \).

**Proof.** From inequality of condition follows inequality (1). Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1. \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 2.** Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2- Banach space, \( S_1, S_2 : L \to L \) and mapping \( T : L \to L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) and
\[ \|TS_1x - TS_2y, z\| \leq \lambda \cdot \sqrt[3]{\|Tx - TS_1x, z\| \cdot \|Ty - TS_2y, z\| \cdot \|Tx - Ty, z\|}, \]

for each \( x, y, z \in L \), then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( z \in L \).

**Proof.** From the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric mean follows that
\[ d(TS_1x, TS_2y) \leq \frac{\lambda}{3}(d(Tx, TS_1x) + d(Ty, TS_2y) + \beta d(Tx, Ty)). \]

Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1 for \( \alpha = \gamma = \frac{\lambda}{3} \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 3.** Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2- Banach space, \( S_1^p, S_2^q : L \to L \), \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \) and mapping \( T : L \to L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( \alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0 \) are such that \( 2\alpha + \gamma < 1 \) and
\[ \|TS_1^px - TS_2^qy, z\| \leq \alpha (\|Tx - TS_1^px, z\| + \|Ty - TS_2^qy, z\|) + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\|, \]

for each \( x, y, z \in L \). Then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( u \in L \).

**Proof.** From Theorem 1 follows that mappings \( S_1^p \) and \( S_2^q \) have a unique common fixed point \( u \in L \). That means \( S_1^pu = u \), so \( S_1u = S_1(S_1^pu) = S_1^p(S_1u) \), and \( S_1u \) is fixed point of \( S_1^p \). Analogously, we can prove that \( S_2u \) is fixed point of \( S_2^q \). But, from the proof of Theorem 1 follows that mappings \( S_2^q \) and \( S_1^p \) have unique fixed point, so \( u = S_2u \) and \( u = S_1u \). According to that, \( u \in L \) is a unique common fixed point of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \). Clearly, if \( v \in L \) is another unique common fixed point of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), then it is a common fixed point of \( S_1^p \) and \( S_2^q \). But, \( S_1^p \) and \( S_2^q \) have a unique common fixed point, so \( v = u \). \( \blacksquare \)
Remark 1. Mapping \( T : L \to L \) defined by \( Tx = x, x \in L \) is sequentially convergent. Therefore, if in theorem 1 and the corollaries 1, 2 and 3 we take that \( Tx = x \) follows Theorem 4 and corollaries 6, 7 and 8, [7].

3. COMMON FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS OF CHATTERJEA TYPE

Theorem 2. Let \((L, \| \cdot \|)\) be a 2- Banach space, \( S_1, S_2 : L \to L \) and mapping \( T : L \to L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( \alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0 \), are such that \( 2\alpha + \gamma < 1 \) and

\[
\| TS_1x - TS_2y, z \| \leq \alpha(\| Tx - TS_2y, z \| + \| Ty - TS_1x, z \|) + \gamma \| Tx - Ty, z \|, \quad (4)
\]

for each \( x, y, z \in L \), then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( u \in L \).

Proof. Let \( x_0 \) is arbitrary point from \( L \) and the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is defined with

\[
x_{2n+1} = S_1x_{2n}, \quad x_{2n+2} = S_2x_{2n+1}, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

If there is \( n \geq 0 \) such that \( x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} \), then \( u = x_n \) is common fixed point of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \). Therefore, let's assume that there are three different consecutive equal members of the sequence \( \{x_n\} \). Then, from inequality (4) follows that for every \( z \in L \) and for every \( n \geq 1 \) the following holds true

\[
\| Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}, z \| \leq \alpha(\| Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z \| + \| Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, z \|) + \gamma \| Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}, z \|,
\]

and

\[
\| Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z \| \leq \alpha(\| Tx_{2n-2} - Tx_{2n-1}, z \| + \| Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z \|) + \gamma \| Tx_{2n-2} - Tx_{2n-1}, z \|,
\]

so for each \( z \in L \) and for each \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) the following holds true

\[
\| Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n, z \| \leq \lambda \| Tx_n - Tx_{n-1}, z \|,
\]

where \( \lambda = \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{1 - \alpha} < 1 \). Then, for each \( z \in L \) and for each \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) the following holds true

\[
\| Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n, z \| \leq \lambda^n \| Tx_1 - Tx_0, z \|. \quad (5)
\]

Furthermore, using the inequality (5), in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 can be proved that the sequence \( \{Tx_n\} \) is convergent, from where it follows that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is convergent, i.e. there is \( u \in L \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = Tu \). We will prove that \( u \) is a fixed point of \( S_1 \).

For each \( z \in L \) we have
\[ ||Tu - TS_1u, z|| \leq ||Tu - Tx_{2n+2}, z|| + ||Tx_{2n+2} - TS_1u, z|| \]
\[ = ||Tu - Tx_{2n+2}, z|| + ||TS_2x_{2n+1} - TS_1u, z|| \]
\[ \leq ||Tu - Tx_{2n+2}, z|| + \alpha(||Tx_{2n+1} - TS_1u, z|| + ||Tu - TS_2x_{2n+1}, z||) \]
\[ + \gamma ||Tu - Tx_{2n+1}, z|| \]
\[ \leq ||Tu - Tx_{2n+2}, z|| + \alpha(||Tx_{2n+1} - TS_1u, z|| + ||Tu - Tx_{2n+2}, z||) \]
\[ + \gamma ||Tu - Tx_{2n+1}, z|| , \]
and if in the last inequality we take \( n \to \infty \) we get that for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true \( ||Tu - TS_1u, z|| \leq \alpha ||Tu - TS_1u, z|| \), and how \( \alpha < 1 \), from the last inequality follows \( ||TS_1u - Tu, z|| = 0 \), for each \( z \in L \). Now, as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can conclude that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_1 \). Analogously can be proved that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_2 \). Let \( v \in L \) is another fixed point of \( S_2 \), i.e. \( S_2v = v \). For each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[ ||Tu - Tv, z|| = ||TS_1u - TS_2v, z|| \]
\[ \leq \alpha(||Tu - TS_2v, z|| + ||Tv - TS_1u, z||) + \gamma ||Tu - Tv, z|| \]
\[ = (2\alpha + \gamma) ||Tu - Tv, z|| . \]
Since \( 2\alpha + \gamma < 1 \) from the last inequality it follows that for every \( z \in L \) the following holds true \( ||Tu - Tv, z|| = 0 \), from which follows that \( Tu = Tv \). But, \( T \) is injection, so \( u = v \).

**Corollary 4.** Let \((L, ||., ||)\) be a 2-Banach space, \( S_1, S_2 : L \to L \) and the mapping \( T : L \to L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( \alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0 \) are such that \( 2\alpha + \gamma < 1 \) and
\[ ||TS_1x - TS_2y, z|| \leq \alpha \frac{||Tx - TS_2y, z||^2}{||Tx - TS_2y, z||^2 + ||Ty - TS_1x, z||^2} + \gamma ||Tx - Ty, z|| , \]
for each \( x, y, z \in L , z \neq 0 \), then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( u \in L \).

**Proof.** From inequality of condition follows inequality (4). Now the assertion follows from Theorem 2.

**Corollary 5.** Let \((L, ||., ||)\) be a 2-Banach space, \( S_1, S_2 : L \to L \) and mapping \( T : L \to L \) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) and
\[ ||TS_1x - TS_2y, z|| \leq \lambda \frac{3}{2} ||Tx - TS_2y, z|| \cdot ||Ty - TS_1x, z|| \cdot ||Tx - Ty, z|| , \]
for each \( x, y, z \in L \), then \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) have a unique common fixed point \( z \in L \).
Proof. From the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric mean follows that
\[
d(TS_1 x, TS_2 y) \leq \frac{2}{3} (d(Tx, TS_2 y) + d(Ty, TS_1 x) + d(Tx, Ty)) .
\]
Now the assertion follows from Theorem 2 for \( \alpha = \gamma = \frac{2}{3} \). ■

Corollary 6. Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2-Banach space, \(S_1^p, S_2^q : L \to L\), \(p, q \in \mathbb{N}\) and mapping \(T : L \to L\) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \(\alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0\) are such that \(2\alpha + \gamma < 1\) and
\[
\|TS_1^p x - TS_2^q y, z\| \leq \alpha (\|Tx - TS_2^q y, z\| + \|Ty - TS_1^p x, z\|) + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\| ,
\]
for each \(x, y, z \in L\). Then \(S_1^p\) and \(S_2^q\) have a unique common fixed point \(u \in L\).
Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of the corollary 5. ■

Remark 2. The mapping \(T : L \to L\) determined by \(Tx = x, x \in L\) is sequentially convergent. Therefore, if in Theorem 2 and corollaries 4, 5 and 6 we take \(Tx = x\), follows the correctness of Theorem 5 and corollaries 9, 10 и 11, [7].

4. COMMON FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS OF KOPARDE-WAGHMODE TYPE

Theorem 3. Let \((L, \|\cdot\|)\) be a 2-Banach space, \(S_1, S_2 : L \to L\) and mapping \(T : L \to L\) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \(\alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0, 2\alpha + \gamma < 1\) and
\[
\|TS_1 x - TS_2 y, z\|^2 \leq \alpha (\|Tx - TS_1 x, z\|^2 + \|Ty - TS_2 y, z\|^2) + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\|^2 ,
\]
for each \(x, y, z \in L\), then \(S_1^p\) and \(S_2^q\) have a unique common fixed point \(u \in L\).
Proof. Let \(x_0\) be an arbitrary point of \(L\) and let the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) is defined with \(x_{2n+1} = S_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = S_2 x_{2n+1}\), for \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\). If there is an \(n \geq 0\) such that \(x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}\), then \(u = x_n\) is a common fixed point for \(S_1^p\) and \(S_2^q\). Therefore, let’s assume that there do not exist three consecutive equal members of the sequence \(\{x_n\}\). Then, from inequality (6) follows that for each \(n \geq 1\) and for each \(z \in L\) the following holds true
\[
\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}, z\|^2 \leq \alpha (\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}, z\|^2 + \|Tx_{2n-1} - Tx_{2n}, z\|^2) + \gamma \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n-1}, z\|^2 ,
\]
and
\[ \| T_{x_{2n-1}} - T_{x_{2n}}, z \|^2 \leq \alpha (\| T_{x_{2n-2}} - T_{x_{2n-1}}, z \|^2 + \| T_{x_{2n-1}} - T_{x_{2n}} \|^2) \]
\[ + \gamma \| T_{x_{2n-2}} - T_{x_{2n-1}}, z \|^2, \]

from which it follows that for each \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[ \| T_{x_{n+1}} - T_{x_n}, z \| \leq \lambda \| T_{x_n} - T_{x_{n-1}}, z \|, \quad (7) \]

where \( \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{1 - \alpha}} < 1 \). Now from inequality (7) follows
\[ \| T_{x_{n+1}} - T_{x_n}, z \| \leq \lambda^n \| T_{x_1} - T_{x_0}, z \|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \]

for each \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and for each \( z \in L \). Furthermore, from inequality (8), in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 it follows that the sequence \( \{ T_{x_n} \} \) is convergent, and therefore the sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) is convergent also, i.e. exists \( u \in X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T_{x_n} = Tu \). We will prove that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_1 \). We have
\[ \| T_u - TS_1u, z \| \leq \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \| T_{x_{2n+2}} - TS_1u, z \| \]
\[ = \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \| TS_1u - TS_2x_{2n+1}, z \| \]
\[ \leq \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \sqrt{\alpha (\| T_u - TS_1u, z \|^2 + \| T_{x_{2n+1}} - TS_2x_{2n+1}, z \|^2) + \gamma \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+1}}, z \|^2} \]
\[ = \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \| + \sqrt{\alpha (\| T_u - TS_1u, z \|^2 + \| T_{x_{2n+1}} - T_{x_{2n+2}}, z \|^2 + \gamma \| T_u - T_{x_{2n+1}}, z \|^2} \]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and for each \( z \in L \). If in the last inequality we take \( n \to \infty \) we get that
\[ \| T_u - TS_1u, z \| \leq \sqrt{\alpha d} \| T_u - TS_1u, z \|, \]

for each \( z \in L \) and how \( \sqrt{\alpha} < 1 \), it follows that \( \| T_u - TS_1u, z \| = 0 \). Now, again as in the proof of Theorem 1 we conclude that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_1 \). Analogously it can be proved that \( u \) is fixed point of \( S_2 \). Let \( v \in L \) be another fixed point of \( S_2 \), i.e. \( S_2v = v \). Then, for each \( z \in L \) the following holds true
\[ \| T_u - Tv, z \|^2 = \| TS_1u - TS_2v, z \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \alpha (\| T_u - TS_1u, z \|^2 + \| Tv - TS_2v, z \|^2) + \gamma \| Tu - Tv, z \|^2 \]
\[ = \gamma \| Tu - Tv, z \|^2, \]

and how \( 0 \leq \beta < 1 \) we get that \( \| Tu - Tv, z \| = 0 \), from where it follows that \( Tu = Tv \). But, \( T \) is injection, so \( u = v \). \( \blacksquare \)
Corollary 7. Let \((L,\|\cdot\|)\) be a 2-Banach space, \(S_1^p,S_2^q : L \to L, \ p,q \in \mathbb{N}\) and mapping \(T : L \to L\) is continuous, injection and sequentially convergent. If \(\alpha > 0, \gamma \geq 0\) are such that \(2\alpha + \gamma < 1\) and
\[
\|TS_1^p x - TS_2^q y, z\|^2 \leq \alpha (\|Tx - TS_1^p x, z\|^2 + \|Ty - TS_2^q y, z\|^2) + \gamma \|Tx - Ty, z\|^2,
\]
for each \(x, y, z \in L\). Then \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) have a unique common fixed point \(u \in L\).

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of the corollary 6. ■

Remark 3. The mapping \(T : L \to L\) determined by \(Tx = x, x \in L\) is sequentially convergent. Therefore, if in Theorem 3 and corollary 7 we take \(Tx = x\), it follows the correctness of Theorem 6 and corollary 12, [7].
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