

ABSOLUTE RIESZ SUMMABILITY FACTORS FOR FOURIER SERIES AND CONJUGATE SERIES

by

Prem Chandra

1. **Definitions and Notations.** Let $L = L(w)$ be a differentiable, monotonic increasing (\uparrow), function of w tending to infinity with w . For a given infinite series $\sum a_n^*$, we write

$$A_r(w) = \sum_{n \leq w} (L(w) - L(n))^r a_n \quad (r \geq 0).$$

The series $\sum a_n \in |R, L, r|$ ($r > 0$), if

$$\int_h^\infty \left| d \left\{ \frac{A_r(w)}{(L(w))^r} \right\} \right| < \infty,$$

where h is a positive number ([4], [5], also see [3]).

Now, for $r > 0$ and $m < w < m + 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dw} \left\{ \frac{A_r(w)}{(L(w))^r} \right\} = \frac{r L'(w)}{(L(w))^{r+1}} \sum_{n < w} (L(w) - L(n))^{r-1} L(n) a_n.$$

Hence, the series $\sum a_n \in |R, L, r|$ ($r > 0$), if

$$\int_h^\infty \frac{L'(w)}{(L(w))^{r+1}} \left| \sum_{n < w} (L(w) - L(n))^{r-1} L(n) a_n \right| dw < \infty.$$

* Summations are over $1, 2, \dots, \infty$ when there is no indication to the contrary.

We define the summability $|R, L, 0|$ equivalent to the absolute convergence.

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π , integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Without any loss of generality the constant term of the Fourier series of $f(t)$ can be taken to be zero, that is,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0.$$

Now let the Fourier series of $f(t)$ be

$$\sum (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum A_n(t).$$

So that the conjugate series of the Fourier series of $f(t)$ be

$$\sum (b_n \cos nt - a_n \sin nt) = \sum B_n(t).$$

The Fourier series $\sum A_n(t)$ and its conjugate series $\sum B_n(t)$, at the point $t = x$, will be denoted, respectively, by $\sum A_n(x)$ and $\sum B_n(x)$.

Throughout we use the following notations:

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x+t) + f(x-t)).$$

$$(1.2) \quad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x+t) - f(x-t)).$$

$$(1.3) \quad e(w) = \exp(w^a) \quad (0 < a < 1).$$

$$(1.4) \quad e^{(1)}(w) = \frac{d}{dw} (e(w)).$$

$$(1.5) \quad e^d(w) = (e(w))^d \quad (\text{for finite } d).$$

$$(1.6) \quad E(w, t) = \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^{b-1} \sin nt.$$

$$(r > 0, b > 0)$$

$$(1.7) \quad K(w, t) = \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^{b-1} \cos nt.$$

$$(r > 0, b > 0)$$

2. **Introduction.** In 1951, Mohanty [3, Theorems 2 and 3] proved the following:

Theorem A. If $\Phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then the series $\sum \frac{A_n(x)}{\log(n+1)} \in |R, e(w), 1|$.

Theorem B. Let $c > 0$ and $b = 1 + \frac{1}{c}$. Then $t^{-c} \Phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ implies that $\sum A_n(x) \in |R, \exp \left\{ \frac{w}{(\log w)^b} \right\}, 1|$.

By taking a hypothesis like that of Theorem B and the absolute Riesz summability process of the kind used in Theorem A, the present author [1] proved the following:

Theorem C. Let

$$(2.1) \quad a > 0 < b, \quad 1 > a + b \quad \text{and} \quad b = c(1 - a).$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad t^{-c} \Phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$$

implies that $\sum A_n(x) nb \in |R, e(w), 1|$.

In this context we prove the following theorem which replaces unity, the order of absolute Riesz summability of Theorem C, by $r > c > 0$:

THEOREM 1. Let (2.1) hold. Then (2.2) implies that $\sum A_n(x) nb \in |R, e(w), r|$ ($r > c$).

We also prove the following analogue of Theorem 1 for the conjugate series:

THEOREM 2. Let (2.1) hold. Then $t^{-c} \psi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ implies that $\sum B_n(x) nb \in |R, e(w), r|$ ($r > c$).

3. We shall use the following order-estimates for $1 \geq r > 0$, $b > 0$ and large w and uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) nb^{-1} = O\{e^r(w) w^{b-a}\}.$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E(w, t) \\ K(w, t) \end{array} \right\} = O \{ t^{-r} e^r(w) w b^{-a+r(a-1)} \} (w > t^{-1}).$$

Proof of (3.1). Since $e(n) \sim e(n-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n b^{-1} &= \\ &= O \left\{ \int_1^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x b^{-1} dx \right\} \\ &= O(1) + O\{I\}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

For w_1 , determined by the relation: $w^a - w_1^a = 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_1^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x b^{-1} dx \\ &= \left(\int_1^{w_1} + \int_{w_1}^w \right) \left((e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x b^{-1} dx \right) \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_1^{w_1} (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x b^{-1} dx \\ &= O \{ (e(w) - e(w_1))^{r-1} \int_1^{w_1} e(x) x b^{-1} dx \} \\ &= O \{ e^{r-1}(w) \int_1^w e^{(1)}(x) x b^{-a} dx \} \\ &= O \{ e^r(w) w b^{-a} \}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_1^w e^{(1)}(x) x b^{-a} dx = \left[e(x) x b^{-a} \right]_1^w - (b-a) \int_1^w e(x) x b^{-a-1} dx$$

$$< e(w) w^{b-a} - \frac{b-a}{a} \int_1^w e^{(1)}(x) x^{b-2a} dx$$

$$< e(w) w^{b-a} + \int_1^w e^{(1)}(x) x^{b-2a} dx$$

and hence we easily follow that

$$\int_1^w e^{(1)}(x) x^{b-a} dx = O\{w^{b-a} e(w)\}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{w_1}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x^{b-1} dx \\ &= O \left\{ \int_{w_1}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e^{(1)}(x) x^{b-a} dx \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ w^{b-a} \int_{w_1}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e^{(1)}(x) dx \right\} \\ &= O \{e^r(w) w^{b-a}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On combining the results we follow the proof of (3.1).

Proof of (3.2). Since the proof of $E(w, t)$ is exactly the same as that of $K(w, t)$, we simply sketch the proof of $K(w, t)$.

Let w_1 and m stand respectively for the integral part of $\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)$ and w . Then

$$K(w, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{w_1} + \sum_{w_1+1}^m = \sum_1 + \sum_2, \text{ say.}$$

Now, since $\{e(n) n^{b-1}\} \uparrow$ with $n > p$, where p be the integral part of $\left(\frac{1-b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^p (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) nb^{-1} \cos nt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) nb^{-1} \cos nt \\ &= O\{e^{r-1}(w)\} + P, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Abel's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} P &= O\left\{\left(e(w) - e\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)\right) r^{-1} e(w_1) w^{b-1} \max_{1+p \leq p' \leq w_1} \left| \sum_{n=p'}^{w_1} \sin nt \right|\right\} \\ &= O\left\{t^{-1} (t^{-1} e^{(1)}\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)) r^{-1} e^{(1)}\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right) w^{b-a}\right\} \\ &= O\left\{t^{-r} \left(e^{(1)}\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)\right) r w^{b-a}\right\} \\ &= O\{t^{-r} w^{b-a+r(a-1)} e^r(w)\}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. Thus

$$\sum_1 = O\{t^{-r} w^{b-a+r(a-1)} e^r(w)\}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 &= O\left\{\int_{\frac{1}{w}}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e(x) x^{b-1} dx\right\} \\ &= O\left\{\int_{\frac{1}{w}}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e^{(1)}(x) x^{b-a} dx\right\} \\ &= O\left\{w^{b-a} \int_{\frac{1}{w}}^w (e(w) - e(x))^{r-1} e^{(1)}(x) dx\right\} \\ &= O\left\{w^{b-a} \left(e(w) - e\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)\right)^r\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O \{ w b^{-a} (t^{-1} e^{(t)}(w))^r \} \\
 &= O \{ t^{-r} w b^{-a+r(a-1)} e^r(w) \},
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

On combining \sum_1 and \sum_2 , we follow the proof.

4. We use the following in this paper:

LEMMA 1. $\sum a_n \in |R, L, r|$ ($r \geq 0$) implies that $\sum a_n \in |R, L, r'|$ ($r' > r$).

This is due to Obrechhoff [4, 5].

LEMMA 2. Let $0 < \beta < \infty$ and $0 < c \leq 1$. Then, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \beta$,

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^t u^c \cos nu \, du = t^c \frac{\sin nt}{n} + O(n^{-1-c})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^t u^c \sin nu \, du = -t^c \frac{\cos nt}{n} + O(n^{-1-c}).$$

For the proof of (4.1), see Chandra [1], Lemma 2. And for the proof of (4.2), reference can be made of (2,2) of Chandra [2].

5. **Proof of the theorems.** In view of Lemma 1, we take $0 < r \leq 1$ for the proof of both the theorems.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Phi(t) \cos nt \, dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi t^{-c} \Phi(t) t^c \cos nt \, dt \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \pi^{-c} \Phi(\pi) \int_0^\pi t^c \cos nt \, dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} d(t^{-c} \Phi(t)) \int_0^t u^c \cos nu \, du,$$

integrating by parts.

The series $\sum A_n(x) n^b \in |R, e(w), r| (r > c)$, if

$$I = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) A_n(x) n^b \right| dw$$

is convergent. Now

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{2|\Phi(\pi)|}{\pi^{1+c}} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^b \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \int_0^{\pi} u^c \cos nu \, du \right| dw \\ &+ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |d(t^{-c} \Phi(t))| \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. e(n) n^b \int_0^t u^c \cos nu \, du \right| dw. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.2), $\pi^{-c} |\Phi(\pi)|$ and $\int_0^{\pi} |d(t^{-c} \Phi(t))|$ are finite therefore

for the proof of Theorem 1 we only require to prove that

$$J = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^b \int_0^t u^c \cos nu \, du \right| dw = O(1),$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

By (4.1) of Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= t^c \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} |E(w, t)| dw \\
&+ O \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^{b-c-1} \right| dw \right\} \\
&= t^c J_1 + O \{J_2\}, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\sum n^{b-c-1} \in |R, e(w), 0|$ therefore the convergence of J_2 follows by Lemma 1. Thus, for the proof of Theorem 1, we only require to prove

$$J_1 = O(t^{-c}),$$

uniformly in $0 < t < \pi$.

For $T = t^{-(1-a)^{-1}}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \left(\int_1^T + \int_T^{\infty} \right) \left(\frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} |E(w, t)| dw \right) \\
&= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= O \left\{ \int_1^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e(w)} w^{b-a} dw \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ \int_1^T w^{b-1} dw \right\} \\
&= O(t^{-c}),
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), uniformly in $0 < t < \pi$.

And, by (3.2) for $E(w, t)$, we have

$$I_2 = O \left\{ t^{-r} \int_T^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e(w)} w^{b-a+r(a-1)} dw \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O \left\{ t^{-r} \int_T^{\infty} w^{b+r(a-1)-1} dw \right\} \\
&= O \{ t^{-r} T^{b+r(a-1)} \} \\
&= O(t^{-c}),
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), uniformly in $0 < t < \pi$.

On combining I_1 and I_2 we get, uniformly in $0 < t < \pi$,

$$J_1 = O(t^{-c})$$

This terminates the proof of Theorem 1.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} t^{-c} \psi(t) t^c \sin nt \, dt \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\psi(\pi)}{\pi^c} \int_0^{\pi} u^c \sin nu \, du \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} d(t^{-c} \psi(t)) \int_0^t u^c \sin nu \, du,
\end{aligned}$$

integrating by parts.

The series $\sum B_n(x) n^b \in |R, e(w) r| (r > c)$, if

$$J = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^b B_n(x) \right| dw$$

is convergent. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{|\psi(\pi)|}{\pi^c} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^b \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \int_0^{\pi} u^c \sin nu \, du \right| dw
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |d(t^{-c} \psi(t))| \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) \right. \\ \left. \cdot nb \int_0^t u^c \sin nu \, du \right| dw$$

Since, $t^{-c} \psi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, $\pi^{-c} |\psi(\pi)|$ and $\int_0^{\pi} |d(t^{-c} \psi(t))|$ are finite

herefore the theorem will be proved, if

$$I = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) n^c \int_0^t u^c \sin nu \, du \right| dw \\ = O(1),$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

Now, by (4.2) of Lemma 2, we have

$$I = t^c \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} |K(w, t)| dw \\ + O \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) nb^{-c-1} \right| dw \right\} \\ = t^c I_1 + O\{I_2\}, \text{ say.}$$

The proof of

$$I_1 = O(t^{-c}),$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$, runs very to the proof of J_1 of Theorem 1 by using (3.1) and (3.2) for $K(w, t)$. And the boundedness of I_2 immediately follows by the use of Lemma 1 since

$$\sum nb^{-c-1} \in |C, 0|.$$

This terminates the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. Chandra, P., Absolute Reisz summability factors for Fourier series, *Proc. Edin. Math. (2)*, 17 (1970), 65 — 70.
2. „ A new criterion for the absolute Riesz summability of the conjugate series of a Fourier series, *Mat. Vesnik*, 9 (24) (1972), 23 — 26.
3. Mohanty, R., On the absolute Riesz summability of Fourier series and allied series, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 52 (1951), 295 — 320.
4. Obrechhoff, N., Sur la sommation absolue des séries de Dirichlet, *Comptes Rendus*, Paris, 186 (1928), 215 — 217.
5. „ Über die absolute summierung der Dirichletzchen Reihen, *Math. Zeit*, 30 (1929), 375 — 386.

Prem Shandra

School of Studies in Mathematics and Statistics,
Vikram University, Ujjain, M. P.,
INDIA.