

SOME CLASSES OF L^1 - CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

Živorad Tomovski

Abstract

We study here L^1 -convergence of a trigonometric series, i.e. the extension is made for the Č. V. Stanojevic and V. B. Stanojevic theorem [5] and also for the theorem proved by the author in [7]. Namely, the new necessary-sufficient conditions for L^1 -convergence of Fourier series with δ -quasi-monotone coefficients are obtained.

Then it is verified that the three classes of Fourier coefficients defined by Fomin; Č. V. Stanojevic, V. B. Stanojevic and the author of the present note, are identical.

1. Introduction

It is well known that if a trigonometric series converges in L^1 to a function $f \in L^1$ then it is the Fourier series of the function f . Let $\{c_k: k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers, and the partial sums of the complex trigonometric series

$$\sum_{|n| \leq \infty} c_n e^{int} \quad (1.1)$$

be denoted by $S_n(c, t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikt}$, $t \in T$, where T is the unit circle.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 26D15, 42A20.

If the trigonometric series is the Fourier series of some $f \in L^1$, we shall write $c_n = \hat{f}(n)$, for all n and $S_n(c, t) = S_n(f, t) = S_n(f)$. Let

$$E_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ikt}.$$

The complex form of the above modified trigonometric sums is

$$g_n(c, t) = S_n(c, t) - (c_n E_n(t) + c_{-n} E_{-n}(t)).$$

We assume that $\{c_n\}$ is a null sequence i.e.

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

A complex null sequence $\{c_n\}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta(c_n - c_{-n})| \log n < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

is called weakly even. It is obvious that if $\{c_n\}$ is an even sequence then it is weakly even. Č. V. Stanojevic and V. B. Stanojevic introduced a class S_p^* defined as follows: A weakly even null sequence $\{c_n\}$ of complex numbers belongs to the class S_p^* if for some $1 < p \leq 2$ and some monotone sequence

$\{A_n\}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n < \infty$ the condition $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|\Delta c_k|^p}{A_k^p} = O(1)$ holds.

They [5] proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. *Let $\{c_n\} \in S_p^*$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Then*

- (i) *for $t \neq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(C, t) = f(t)$ exists.*
- (ii) *$f \in L^1(T)$*
- (iii) *$\|S_n(f) - f\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $\hat{f}(n) \log |n| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

On the other hand, a sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be δ -quasi-monotone if $A_k \rightarrow 0$, $A_k > 0$ ultimately and $\Delta A_k \geq -\delta_k$, where $\{\delta_k\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers.

We introduce here a new class $S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$ of sequence as follows. A weakly even sequence $\{c_k\}$ of complex numbers satisfies conditions $S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$, or $c_k \in S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$ if $c_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and there exists a sequence of numbers $\{A_k\}$ such that:

- a) $\{A_k\}$ is δ -quasi-monotone and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1+\alpha} \delta_k < \infty$

$$b) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha} A_k < \infty \quad c) \frac{1}{n^{p\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|\Delta c_k|^p}{A_k^p} = O(1).$$

Let $\{c_n\}$ is an even and real sequence ($c_{-k} = c_k = a_k$, for all k). Then series (1.1) is a cosine series:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} = 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx \right). \quad (C)$$

In this case, we denote $S_p^* = S_p$. But in [6], we defined a new integrability class $S_p(\delta)$, $p > 1$ (case $\alpha = 0$). Later the author of the present note proved the following theorem.

Theorem B [8] *For any $p > 1$, the classes S_p and $S_p(\delta)$ are identical.*

Fomin [3] have defined a class \mathcal{F}_p , $p > 1$ of Fourier coefficients as follows: a sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_p , $p > 1$ if $a_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |\Delta a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Theorem C [3]. *Let $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{F}_p$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Then the cosine series (C) is the Fourier series of its sum f and $\|S_n - f\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ iff $a_n \log n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

In [4] L. Leindler proved the following very important result.

Theorem D [4] *For any $p > 1$ the classes S_p and \mathcal{F}_p are identical.*

2. Lemmas

For the proofs of our results, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1 [2]. *For each non-negative integer n , there holds $\|\hat{f}(n)E_n(t) + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n}(t)\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\hat{f}(n) \log |n| = o(1) \rightarrow 0$.*

The following lemma, was proved by Boas in [1], but now we shall give a new elegant proof different from that of Boas.

Lemma 2 [1]. *If $\{A_n\}$ is a δ -quasi-monotone sequence with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1+\alpha} \delta_n < \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$, then the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} A_n < \infty$ implies that $n^{1+\alpha} A_n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By inequalities

$$n^{\alpha+1} A_{2n} \leq n^\alpha \left[\left(A_n + \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \delta_k \right) + \left(A_{n+1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} \delta_k \right) + \dots + (A_{2n-1} + \delta_{2n-1}) \right] \leq n^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} A_i + \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} i \delta_i \right)$$

we obtain

$$(2n)^{\alpha+1} A_{2n} \leq 2^{\alpha+1} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} i^\alpha A_i + 2^{\alpha+1} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} i^{\alpha+1} \delta_i = o(1) + o(1) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2n+1)^{\alpha+1} A_{2n+1} \leq (2n)^{\alpha+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right)^{\alpha+1} (A_{2n} + \delta_{2n}) \leq \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{\alpha+1} \left[(2n)^{\alpha+1} A_{2n} + (2n)^{\alpha+1} \delta_{2n} \right] = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $n^{\alpha+1} A_n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3 [1]. Let $\{A_n\}$ is a δ -quasi-monotone sequence with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1+\alpha} \delta_n < \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha A_n < \infty$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1+\alpha} |\Delta A_n| < \infty$.

Lemma 4 [3]. A sequence $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{F}_p$, $p > 1$ iff $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \Delta s^{(p)} < \infty$ where

$$\Delta s^{(p)} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s} |\Delta a_k|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Lemma 5 If $\{c_n\} \in S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, then following relation holds,

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt = O_p(k^{\alpha+1}),$$

where O_p depends only on p .

Proof. We have

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt = \int_0^{\pi/k} + \int_{\pi/k}^\pi = I_k + J_k.$$

Applying the Holder-Hausdorff-Young technique as in the proof of the theorems of [6] and [7], we have:

$$I_k \leq Mk \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_k|^p}{A_k^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = Mk^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{1}{k^{p\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = O(k^{\alpha+1}),$$

where $M > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &\leq \left(\frac{\pi}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} k^{(p-1)/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= D_p k^{\alpha+1} \left(\frac{1}{k^{p\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = O_p(k^{\alpha+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $D_p > 0$. Thus

$$\left| \int_0^\pi \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) dt \right| = O(k^{\alpha+1}) + O_p(k^{\alpha+1}) = O_p(k^{\alpha+1}).$$

Lemma 6 *If $\{c_n\} \in S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$, then*

$$A_n \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof. Applying firstly Lemma 5, then Lemma 2, we obtain:

$$A_n \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt = O_p(n^{\alpha+1} A_n) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. Main results

We shall prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{c_n\} \in S_{p\alpha}^*(\delta)$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Then,*

- (i) *for $t \neq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(c, t) = f(t)$ exists:*

(ii) $f \in L^1(T)$

(iii) $\|S_n(f) - f\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $\hat{f}(n) \log |n| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Applying the same technique as in proof of the theorem of [6], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta c_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k |\Delta A_k| \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + n A_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{1+\alpha} |\Delta A_k| \left(\frac{1}{k^{p\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + n^{1+\alpha} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^{p\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\Delta c_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= O(1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{1+\alpha} |\Delta A_k| + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{c_n\}$ is of bounded variation and for $t \neq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(c, t) = f(t)$ exists. Then,

$$g_n(c, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\Delta(c_{-n} - c_k)) (E_{-k}(t) - 1) - c_{-n} + \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta c_k D_k(t).$$

From (i) it follows that for $t \neq 0$,

$$f(t) - g_n(c, t) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta c_k D_k(t) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta(c_{-k} - c_k) E_{-k}(t).$$

From the last identity we have the estimate:

$$\|f - g_n(c)\| \leq \int_T \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta c_k D_k(t) \right| dt + A \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta(c_{-k} - c_k)| \log k,$$

where A is an absolute constant. Thus

$$\|f - g_n(c)\| \leq B \int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta c_k D_k(t) \right| dt + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where B is an absolute constant. Then applying the Abels' transformation, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we obtain:

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta c_k D_k(t) \right| dt \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta A_k| \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt$$

$$+ A_n \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Delta c_j}{A_j} D_j(t) \right| dt = O_p(1) \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta A_k| k^{\alpha+1} + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \right).$$

Then by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we get $\|f - g_n(c)\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since g_n is a polynomial, it follows that $f \in L^1(T)$.

The proof (iii) follows from the estimate:

$$\| \|f - S_n(f)\| - \| \hat{f}(n)E_n + \hat{f}(-n)E_{-n} \| \| \leq \|f - g_n(c)\| = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and from the Lemma 1.

Corollary 1 [7]. Let $\{a_n\} \in S_p(\delta)$, $1 < p \leq 2$. Then cosine series (C) is the Fourier series of its sum f and $\|S_n - f\| = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ iff $a_n \log n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In [3], Fomin note that it is easy to see that the class \mathcal{F}_p is wider when p is closer to 1. But now we shall present the proof of this fact.

Theorem 2. For any $1 < r < p$ the following embedding relation holds: $\mathcal{F}_p \subset \mathcal{F}_r$.

Proof. By inequality $\frac{1}{r} > \frac{1}{p}$, we have $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, where $q > 0$. This equality imply that $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, where $p' = \frac{p}{r}$ and $q' = \frac{q}{r}$. Applying the Hölder's inequality, we have:

$$\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^r = \sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^r \cdot 1 \leq \left(\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^{rp'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} 1^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}$$

$$= (2^s)^{1/q'} \left(\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \Delta_s^{(r)} &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \cdot 2^{-s/r} \cdot 2^{s/q'r} \left(\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p'r}} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \left(\frac{1}{2^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q'}} \left(\sum_{k=2^s+1}^{2^{s+1}} |\Delta a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \Delta_s^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 4, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Theorem 3. For any $p > 1$ the classes S_p , $S_p(\delta)$ and \mathcal{F}_p are identical.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem B and Theorem D.

Remark. Concerning the embedding relation $S_p \subseteq \mathcal{F}_p$, L. Leindler [4] verified the inequalities

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m(1-\frac{1}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} |\Delta a_n|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^m A_{2^m} \left\{ 2^{-m} \sum_{n=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{|\Delta a_n|^p}{A_n^p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

Now we shall present a new proof of this embedding relation, different from that of L. Leindler.

Namelly, applying the Abel's transformation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s} |\Delta a_k|^p &= \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s} A_k^p \frac{|\Delta a_k|^p}{A_k^p} \\ &= \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s-1} \Delta(A_k^p) \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} + A_{2^s}^p \sum_{j=1}^{2^s} \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} - A_{2^{s-1}+1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{2^{s-1}} \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} \\ &= \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s-1} k \Delta(A_k^p) \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right) + 2^s A_{2^s}^p \left(\frac{1}{2^s} \sum_{j=1}^{2^s} \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right) \\ &\quad - 2^{s-1} A_{2^{s-1}+1}^p \left(\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{s-1}} \frac{|\Delta a_j|^p}{A_j^p} \right) \\ &= O(1) \left[\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s-1} k \Delta(A_k^p) + 2^s A_{2^s}^p + 2^{s-1} A_{2^{s-1}+1}^p \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \left[\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^s} A_k^p - 2^s A_{2^s}^p + 2^s A_{2^s}^p + 2^{s-1} A_{2^{s-1}+1}^p \right] \\
&= O(2^{s-1} A_{2^s}^p).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Cauchy condensation test, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \Delta_s^{(p)} &\leq O(1) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \left(\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} 2^{s-1} A_{2^{s-1}}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= O \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{s-1} A_{2^{s-1}} \right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 4, we obtain $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{F}_p$.

References

- [1] R. P. Boas: *Quasi-positive sequence and trigonometric series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **14A** (1965), 38-48.
- [2] W. O. Bray and Č. V. Stanojević: *L^1 -convergence classes of Fourier series*, Transs. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 59-69.
- [3] G. A. Fomin: *A class of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki, **23** (1978), 117-124. (Russian)
- [4] L. Leindler: *On the equivalence of classes of Fourier coefficients*, Math. Inequalities & Applications, **3** (1) (2000), 45-50.
- [5] Č. V. Stanojević and V. B. Stanojević: *Generalizations of the Sidon-Telyakovskii theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 679-684.
- [6] Ž. Tomovski, *An application of the Hausdorff-Young inequality*, Math. Inequalities & Appl., **1** (4) (1998), 527-532.
- [7] Ž. Tomovski, *Necessary and sufficient condition for L^1 convergence of cosine trigonometric series with δ -quasi monotone coefficients*, Mathematical Communications, (4) N.2 (1999), 219-224.
- [8] Ž. Tomovski, *Remarks on some classes of Fourier coefficients*, Analysis Mathematica, (Szeged-Moscow), (accepted).

НЕКОИ КЛАСИ НА L^1 -КОНВЕРГЕНЦИЈА НА ФУРИЕОВ РЕД

Томовски Живорад

Резиме

Во трудот се разгледува проблемот на L^1 -конвергенција на тригонометриски ред, т.е. дадено е проширување на теоремата на Ч. В. Станојевиќ и В. Б. Станојевиќ [5], а исто така и на теоремата од авторот докажана во [7]. Имено, дадени се потребни и доволни услови за L^1 -конвергенција на Фуриеов ред со δ -квазимонотони коефициенти. Потоа е утврдено дека трите класи Фуриеови коефициенти, дефинирани од Фомин; Ч. В. Станојевиќ, В. Б. Станојевиќ [5] и авторот од овој труд се идентични.

Faculty of Mathematical and Natural Sciences

P. BOX 162

1000 Skopje

MACEDONIA

e-mail: tomovski@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk