

A CRITERION FOR POLYNOMIAL DECOMPOSITION

Petar R. Lazov

Abstract

Let $B = B(x)$ be a complex polynomial for which $\deg B(x) = m \cdot n$, $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, $m, n \in N$. In this work we state a criterion for the following proposition to hold:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex polynomials } y = y(x), \deg y(x) = m \\ \text{and } u = u(x), \deg u(x) = n, \text{ such that} \\ B(x) = u(y(x)). \end{array} \right.$$

In addition, as an auxiliary result we obtain a theorem that completely solves the problem of the polynomial solutions of the algebraic equation

$$B(x) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot y + \cdots + c_{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + c_n \cdot y^n,$$

giving also an algorithm for finding them.

1. All the polynomials, considered in this work (except the ones from remark in section 2), will be complex (i.e. elements of $C[x]$) and that will not be mentioned below.

Let $B = B(x)$ be a given complex polynomial (i.e. an element of $C[x]$) for the degree of which it holds:

$$\deg B = m \cdot n, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 2, \quad m, n \in N \quad (1.1)$$

Keywords: polynomial decomposition, polynomial solution of algebraic equation, polynomial part of a n -th root of polynomial.

The main goal of this work is to establish a criterion for decomposition of $B(x)$ into two polynomials of degrees m and n i.e. a criterion for the following condition to hold:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex polynomials } y = y(x), \text{ deg } y(x) = m \\ \text{and } u = u(x), \text{ deg } u(x) = n, \text{ such that} \\ B(x) = u(y(x)) \end{array} \right. \quad \dots(D)$$

For the purpose of this paper, we use the following equivalent form of the condition (D):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex constants } b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n (b_n \neq 0) \\ \text{and a complex polynomial } y = y(x) \text{ such that:} \\ B(x) = b_0 + b_1 \cdot y + b_2 \cdot y^2 + \dots + b_{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + b_n \cdot y^n \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

By $\left[\sqrt[n]{B(x)} \right]$ we shall denote the polynomial part of the expansion of $\sqrt[n]{B(x)}$ in decreasing powers of x . More precisely, we have the following definition:

Definition: If

$$B(x) = \beta_0 \cdot x^{m \cdot n} + \beta_1 \cdot x^{m \cdot n - 1} + \dots + \beta_{m \cdot n - 1} \cdot x + \beta_{m \cdot n}, \quad \beta_0 \neq 0,$$

$\beta_i \in C (i = 0, 1, \dots, m \cdot n), \quad m \geq 1, n \geq 2,$ then :

$$\left[\sqrt[n]{B(x)} \right] = p \cdot p \left\{ \sqrt[n]{\beta_0} \cdot x^m \cdot \left[1 + \binom{1/n}{1} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 \cdot x} + \dots + \frac{\beta_{m \cdot n}}{\beta_0 \cdot x^{m \cdot n}} \right) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \binom{1/n}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 \cdot x} + \dots + \frac{\beta_{m \cdot n}}{\beta_0 \cdot x^{m \cdot n}} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \right\},$$

where $p \cdot p$ stands for the polynomial part, and $\sqrt[n]{\beta_0}$ is some fixed value of a root of degree n of β_0 . Therefore, $\left[\sqrt[n]{B(x)} \right]$ is a polynomial of degree m determined up to a factor which is an n -th root of 1. The polynomials S and Q are specified as:

$$S = \left[\sqrt[n]{B(x)} \right], \quad B = S^n + Q. \quad (1.3)$$

In several works, starting with [6] (where $n = 2$ is considered), the polynomials S and Q , determined as (1.3), are used to describe some polynomials solutions of algebraic differential equations of the Riccati type.

If $A = A(x)$ is a polynomial, then we shall denote by $(A)_i$ its mean on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$(A)_i = \int_0^1 A(t) \cdot dt. \quad (1.4)$$

Using the notations introduced, we can formulate the main results of this work.

Theorem 1. Let $B = B(x)$ be a polynomial whose degree satisfies (1.1). Then (D) holds iff there exists a complex constant c such that:

$$\Gamma_{[0,1]}(Q - c, S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-2}) = \begin{vmatrix} (Q\bar{Q})_i - c(\bar{Q})_i - \bar{c}(Q)_i + c\bar{c} & (Q\bar{S})_i - c(\bar{S})_i & \dots & (Q\bar{S}^{n-2})_i - c(\bar{S}^{n-2})_i \\ (S\bar{Q})_i - \bar{c}(S)_i & (S \cdot \bar{S})_i & \dots & (S \cdot \bar{S}^{n-2})_i \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (S^{n-2}\bar{Q})_i - \bar{c}(S^{n-2})_i & (S^{n-2} \cdot \bar{S})_i & \dots & (S^{n-2} \cdot \bar{S}^{n-2})_i \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

where the polynomials S and Q are specified in (1.3). It can be seen (e.g. by looking at the expansion of this determinant by the first column) that the left-hand side of (1.5) is of the type:

$$\alpha \cdot c \cdot \bar{c} + \beta \cdot c + \gamma \cdot \bar{c} + \delta.$$

Therefore (D) is reduced to the condition that an equation of the type:

$$\alpha \cdot x \cdot \bar{x} + \beta \cdot x + \gamma \cdot \bar{x} + \delta = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

with known complex constant $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, have roots in C and easily verifiable (establishing the conditions for (1.6) to have roots in C is the simplest exercise).

Theorem 1 for $n = 2$ can be stated in the following simple form.

Corollary 1. Let $B = B(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $m, m \geq 1$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex constant } b_0, b_1, b_2 (b_2 \neq 0), \\ \text{and a polynomial } y = y(x), \text{ such that} \\ B = b_0 + b_1 \cdot y + b_2 \cdot y^2, \end{array} \right.$$

iff

$$B(x) - \left[\sqrt[n]{B(x)} \right]^2 = \text{const.}$$

We shall prove Theorem 1 in next section using another result, which is significant in its own right.

2. In this section we formulate necessary and sufficient conditions for the algebraic equation

$$B(x) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot y + c_2 \cdot y^2 + \cdots + c_{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + c_n \cdot y^n, \quad (2.1)$$

where $n \geq 2$, $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_n$ are complex constants ($c_n \neq 0$) and $B = B(x)$ is a polynomial, to have polynomial solutions. We also determine the explicit form of the polynomial solutions of (2.1).

If the degree of the polynomial $B = B(x)$ is not a multiple of n , then, clearly, equation (2.1) has no polynomial solutions (except the trivial case when $B(x)$ is a constant). Therefore we shall restrict our attention to the case $\deg B = m \cdot n$, $m \geq 1$. We shall also suppose that $c_n = 1$, which does not diminish the generality of the problem considered.

Theorem 2. Let $B = B(x)$ be a polynomial, $n \geq 2$ be a natural number and a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} be a complex constants. If $\deg B = m \cdot n$, $m \geq 1$, then the equation:

$$B(x) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot v + a_2 \cdot v^2 + \cdots + a_{n-2} \cdot v^{n-2} + v^n \quad (2.2)$$

has polynomial solutions v iff there exist a number $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that:

$$Q = a_0 + a_1(\omega_t S) + a_2(\omega_t S)^2 + \cdots + a_{n-2}(\omega_t S)^{n-2}, \quad (2.3)$$

where S and Q are given by (1.3), and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ are all the n^{th} roots of 1; also, if for some $t = t_0$ ($a \leq t_0 \leq n$) (2.3) holds, then the polynomial $v = \omega_{t_0} \cdot S$ is an solution of (2.2) and this equation cannot have polynomial solutions other than $v = \omega_t \cdot S$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Corollary 2. Let $B = B(x)$ be a polynomial, $c_n = 1$, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} complex constants. If $\deg B = m \cdot n$, $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, then equation (2.1) has a polynomial solution iff there exists a number $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that (2.3) holds, where the constants a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} are specified as:

$$a_{n-k} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n-i}{k-i} \cdot c_{n-i} \cdot \alpha^{k-i}, \quad c_n = 1,$$

$$\alpha = -\frac{c_{n-1}}{n}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n; \quad (2.4)$$

if (2.3) holds for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the polynomial

$$y = \omega_t \cdot S - \frac{c_{n-1}}{n} \quad (2.5)$$

is a solution of (2.1) and this equation cannot have polynomial solutions other than the functions (2.5) for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

So Corollary 2 completely solves the problem of polynomial solutions of the algebraic equation (2.1), including the algorithm for finding them. Let us note that the polynomial solutions of equation (2.1) (for $c_n = 1$), when they exist, do not depend on the constants c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2} , but only on c_{n-1} and $B(x)$.

Example. For the equation

$$\begin{aligned} B &\equiv x^6 + (2 + 3i) \cdot x^4 + (-6 + 4i) \cdot x^2 - 2 - 4i = \\ &= y^3 + 2 \cdot y^2 - 3 \cdot y \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

we have:

$$S = \left[\sqrt[3]{B} \right] = x^2 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot (2 + 3i), \quad Q = B - S^3 = -\frac{13}{3} \cdot x^2 - \frac{8}{27} - \frac{13}{3} i,$$

$$c_0 = 0, \quad c_1 = -3, \quad c_2 = 2, \quad a_0 = \frac{70}{27}, \quad a_1 = \frac{13}{3},$$

so the condition (2.3) is ($n = 3$):

$$-\frac{13}{3} \cdot x^2 - \frac{8}{27} - \frac{13}{3} i = \frac{70}{27} - \frac{13}{3} \cdot \omega_t \cdot \left(x^2 + \frac{2 + 3i}{3} \right), \quad (\omega_t^3 = 1, t = 1, 2, 3),$$

which is an identity for $\omega_t = 1$. Now, by (2.5) we obtain that the polynomial:

$$y = x^2 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot (2 + 3i) - \frac{2}{3} = x^2 + i$$

is only polynomial solution of the equation (2.6).

Remark. Let $B = B(t)$ be a real polynomial and c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} ($c_n = 1$) be real constants. Let us, similarly as in section 1, denote by $\left[\sqrt[n]{B(t)} \right]$ the real polynomial part of expansion of $\sqrt[n]{B(t)}$ in decreasing powers of t (if n is even, then we suppose that the highest coefficient of the polynomial $B(t)$ is positive). Then, necessary and sufficient conditions for the equation (2.1) to have real polynomial solutions can be obtained as a corollary of theorem 2 an corollary 2. We considered this case in [4].

We shall prove theorem 2 in next section as a corollary of a more general statement (theorem 3), which is significant in itself in connection with polynomial solutions of algebraic equations in two variables. We shall prove theorem 1 using theorem 2.

Proof of theorem 1. Let $B = B(x)$ be a polynomial, for the degree of which (1.1) holds. Then the condition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex constants } c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \\ \text{and a polynomial } y = y(x) \text{ such that} \\ B(x) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot y + \dots + c_{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + y^n, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.7)$$

is equivalent to the condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist complex constants } a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} \\ \text{and a polynomial } v = v(x) \text{ such that} \\ B - a_0 = a_1 \cdot v + a_2 \cdot v^2 + \dots + a_{n-2} \cdot v^{n-2} + v^n. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.8)$$

The condition (2.8), considering theorem 2, is equivalent to (for $n \geq 3$) the condition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist a number } t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and a complex constant } c \\ \text{such that the polynommmial } Q - c \\ \text{a linear combination of the plynomials } \omega_t S, (\omega_t S)^2, \dots, (\omega_t S)^{n-2} \end{array} \right.$$

(where S and Q are determined by (1.3)) i.e. to the condition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a complex constant } c \text{ such that the polynomial} \\ Q - c \text{ is a linear combination of the polynomials } S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-2} \end{array} \right.$$

which, due to linear independence of the polynomials S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-2} , is equivalent to the condition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a complex constant } c \text{ such that the polynomials} \\ Q - c, S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-2} \text{ are linearly dependent.} \end{array} \right.$$

This last condition (which is equivalent, to (2.8) and for $n = 2$) is equivalent for instance, to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a complex constant } c, \text{ such that the polynomials} \\ Q - c, S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-2} \text{ are linearly dependent on } [0, 1]. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.9)$$

The polynomials form a unitary space on $[0, 1]$ with the scalar product

$$(A, B) = \int_0^1 A(\tau) \cdot \overline{B(\tau)} d\tau$$

from which follows (e.g. [3, pp.207–208]—condition for linear dependence of a finite number of vectors of unitary space) that the condition (2.9) is fulfilled iff there exists a complex constant c such that

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \int_0^1 (Q(\tau) - c) \cdot (\overline{Q(\tau)} - \bar{c}) \cdot d\tau & \int_0^1 (Q(\tau) - c) \cdot \overline{S(\tau)} \cdot d\tau & \dots & \int_0^1 (Q(\tau) - c) \overline{S(\tau)}^{n-2} \cdot d\tau \\ \int_0^1 S(\tau) \cdot (\overline{Q(\tau)} - \bar{c}) \cdot d\tau & \int_0^1 S(\tau) \cdot \overline{S(\tau)} \cdot d\tau & \dots & \int_0^1 S(\tau) \cdot \overline{S(\tau)}^{n-2} \cdot d\tau \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \int_0^1 S^{n-2}(\tau) \cdot (\overline{Q(\tau)} - \bar{c}) \cdot d\tau & \int_0^1 S^{n-2}(\tau) \cdot \overline{S(\tau)} \cdot d\tau & \dots & \int_0^1 S^{n-2}(\tau) \cdot \overline{S(\tau)}^{n-2} \cdot d\tau \end{array} \right| = 0$$

which, after (1.4), reduces to (1.5). The fact that (2.7) is equivalent to (1.2), completes the proof of the theorem 1.

3. Let us consider the algebraic equation

$$B_{v_0}(x) \cdot y^{v_0} + B_{v_1}(x) \cdot y^{v_1} + \dots + B_{v_n}(x) \cdot y^{v_n} = 0$$

$$0 \leq v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad v_n \geq 2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $B_{v_k} = B_{v_k}(x)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n$) is a polynomial of degree b_{v_k} .

Clearly, the degrees of the polynomial solutions of the equation (3.1) can only be the numbers:

$$r = \frac{b_{v_1} - b_{v_j}}{v_j - v_i} \quad (i < j; \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (3.2)$$

if they are non negative integers.

For fixed i and j (where $i < j$), for which $b_{v_i} - b_{v_j}$ is a multiple of $q = v_j - v_i$, the polynomials $S = S(x)$ and $Q = Q(x)$ shall be determined as:

$$S = \left[\sqrt[q]{-B_{v_i}/B_{v_j}} \right], \quad q = v_j - v_i, \quad (3.3)$$

$$-B_{v_i} = B_{v_j} \cdot S^q + Q. \quad (3.4)$$

These polynomials have been introduced in [5] to describe some polynomial solutions of Riccati-type algebraic differential equations. It can be shown that ([1], [5] or more fully [2. pp. 82-83]):

$$\deg Q < b_{v_j} + (q - 1) \deg S, \quad q = v_j - v_i; \quad (3.5)$$

and also

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the pair } (S, Q), \text{ with } S \text{ taken up to a factor of a } q\text{-th root unity,} \\ \text{is the only pair of polynomials for which (3.4) and (3.5) hold.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.6)$$

Following the procedure of [5], we shall prove the next theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $i < j$, $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ and let $b_{v_i} - b_{v_j}$ be a multiple of $q = v_j - v_i$. If the coefficient conditions hold:

$$b_{v_k} < \frac{(v_j - v_k - 1)b_{v_i} - (v_i - v_k - 1)b_{v_j}}{v_j - v_i} \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n; k \neq i, j), \quad (3.7)$$

then the equation (3.1) has polynomial solutions of degree (3.2) iff there exists a number $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ such that

$$Q \cdot (\omega_t \cdot S)^{v_i} = \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i, j}}^n B_{v_k} \cdot (\omega_t \cdot S)^{v_k}, \quad (3.8)$$

where the polynomials S and Q are determined by (3.3) and (3.4) and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_q$ are the q^{th} roots of 1; if (3.8) holds for same $t = t_0$ ($1 \leq t_0 \leq q$), then the polynomial $y = \omega_{t_0} \cdot S$ is a solution of (3.1) and the equation (4.1) cannot have polynomial solutions of degree (3.2), other than the function $y = \omega_t \cdot S$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

Proof. Assuming that the coefficient conditions (3.7) hold, we determine polynomials S and Q by (3.3) and (3.4). Because of (3.4), we can write the equation (3.1) as:

$$B_{v_j} \cdot (y^{v_j} - S^{v_j - v_i} \cdot y^{v_i}) = Q \cdot y^{v_i} = - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i, j}}^n B_{v_k} \cdot y^{v_k}. \quad (3.9)$$

Let the polynomial $y = y(x)$ of degree r , specified by (3.2), be a solution of the equation (3.1). Using (3.5) and (3.7) for $k \neq i, j$, we obtain easily

$$\deg(B_{v_k} \cdot y^{v_k}), \deg(Q \cdot y^{v_i}) < (v_j - 1) \cdot r + b_{v_j}$$

after which, considering that (3.9) is assumed to be an identity assuming further that $y = a_r \cdot x^r + a_{r-1} \cdot x^{r-1} + \dots + a_0$, $S = s_r \cdot x^r + s_{r-1} \cdot x^{r-1} + \dots + s_0$, and equating the coefficients of terms with degree $v_j \cdot r$, $v_j \cdot r - 1$, \dots , $v_j \cdot r - r$ of y^{v_j} and $S^{v_j - v_i} \cdot y^{v_i}$, we obtain by elementary calculation that $y = \omega_t \cdot S$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. Hence, substituting into (3.9), the condition (3.8) follows directly. Conversely, if the condition (3.8) holds for same $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ then, because of the fact that, by (3.4),

$$B_{v_j} \cdot (\omega_t \cdot S)^{v_j} + B_{v_i} \cdot (\omega_t \cdot S)^{v_i} = -Q \cdot (\omega_t \cdot S)^{v_i},$$

it immediately follows that $y = \omega_t \cdot S$ is a solution of (3.1). Taking (3.6) into consideration completes the proof.

Proof of theorem 2. Let us apply theorem 3 to the equation (2.2), taking $v_i = v_0 = 0$, $v_j = v_{n-1} = n$ (here $v_k = k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$). The coefficient conditions (3.7) are trivially fulfilled in this case. Clearly, the equation (2.2) can have polynomial solutions of degree $m = \deg B/n$ only. In this case the polynomials S and Q are determined by (1.3) ($B_{v_i} = B_0 = -B$, $B_{v_j} = B_n = 1$, $v_j - v_i = n$) because of which (3.8) reduces to (2.3).

References

- [1] Bhargava, M., Kaufman, H.: *Collect. Math.*, v. 17, p. 135–143, 1965
- [2] Горбузов, В., Н., Самодуров, А., А.: *Уравнения Риккати и Абеля* Гродно, шп. 101, 1986
- [3] Дочев, К., Димитров, Д.: *Линейна алгебра*, София 1977
- [4] Лазов, Р., П.: Зб. тр. Ел. фак., Скопје, Вол. 14, т.8, с. 33–40, 1991
- [5] Орещенко, Л., Г., *Диффер. Уравн.*, т.10, N 2, п.253–257, 1974
- [6] Rainville, E., D., *Amer. Math. Monthly*, v. 43, p. 473–476, 1936

КРИТЕРИУМ ЗА ДЕКОМПОЗИЦИЈА НА ПОЛИНОМИ

Петар П. Лазов

Резиме

Нека $B = B(x)$ е комплексен полином за чиј степен важи:

$$\text{st } B(x) = m \cdot n, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 2, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Во работата е добиен критериум (теорема 1) за декомпозиција на полиномот $B(x)$ т.е. за важење на следниот резултат

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{постојат комплексни полиноми } y = y(x) \text{ со } \text{sty}(x) = m \text{ и} \\ u = u(x) \text{ со } \text{stu}(x) = n \text{ такви што } B(x) = u(y(x)). \end{array} \right.$$

Критериумот е ефективно проверлив. Помощниот резултат што притоа се користи (теорема 2, односно последица 2), од своја страна, комплетно го решава проблемот за полномошните решенија на алгебарската равенка

$$B(x) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot y + \cdots + c_{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + c_n \cdot y^n,$$

вклучувајќи и алгоритми за нивно наоѓање. Теоремата 2 е специјален случај на многу поопштото тврдење (теорема 3) за алгебарските равенки од две променливи, докажано во полседната точка од работата.

Elektrotehnički fakultet

p. fah 574, Karpoš II

91000 Skopje,

Makedonija