

ON SEQUENCES ASSOCIATED WITH FREE (1,2)-GROUPOIDS

Aleksandar Samardžiski
Faculty of Science, University of Skopje
Macedonia

Ratko Tošić
Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad
Yugoslavia

Smile Markovski
Faculty of Science, University of Skopje
Macedonia

Abstract

General information on vector valued groupoids and (n, m) -operations can be found in [1]. In this paper we define and consider $(1, 2)$ -sequences, which are related to $(1, 2)$ -operations. By using these $(1, 2)$ -sequences we give a combinatorial proof of a problem posed in [2] (different to that given in [1]) that every free $(1, 2)$ -groupoid is a proper $(1, 2)$ -subsemigroup of a semigroup. Several combinatorial properties of $(1, 2)$ -sequences are also obtained.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 08A30

Key words and phrases: free $(1, 2)$ -groupoid, $(1, 2)$ -sequences.

1. $(1, 2)$ -operations

Let Q be a nonempty set, and denote by Q^n ($n \geq 0$) the n -th cartesian power of Q . A mapping $h : Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$ ($n, m \geq 1$) is said to be an (n, m) -operation on Q , and in the case $n = 1$, $m = 2$ we have a $(1, 2)$ -operation on Q . Then the pair $(Q; h)$ is said to be a $(1, 2)$ -groupoid. Note that any $(1, 2)$ -operation

can be considered as a pair $h = (h_1, h_2)$ of two unary operations h_1 and h_2 on Q , defined by

$$h(x) = (y, z) \iff h_1(x) = y, \quad h_2(x) = z.$$

Let h be a $(1, 2)$ -operation on a set Q , and let t, s be nonnegative integers. By $\mathcal{P}(h; p, s)$ (or, shortly, $\mathcal{P}(p, s)$) we denote the set of all polynomial $(1 + p, 1 + p + s)$ -operations on Q , obtained from h as follows:

Let $i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_s$ be nonnegative integers, such that

$$i_{n+1} + j_{n+1} = p + n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, s - 1,$$

and denote by 1 the identity mapping on Q . Then $\mathcal{P}(p, s)$ consists of all $(1 + p, 1 + p + s)$ -operations of this form:

$$(1^{i_s} \times h \times 1^{j_s}) \cdot \dots \cdot (1^{i_2} \times h \times 1^{j_2}) \cdot (1^{i_1} \times h \times 1^{j_1}),$$

where by " \cdot " is denoted the usual composition of mappings, and by " \times " is denoted the cross-product of mappings (i.e. if $h : Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$, $g : Q^k \rightarrow Q^r$, then $h \times g : Q^{n+k} \rightarrow Q^{m+r}$ is defined by

$$(h \times g)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k) = (h(x_1, \dots, x_n), g(y_1, \dots, y_k)).$$

Here, $1^k = \underbrace{1 \times \dots \times 1}_k$ is the identity mapping on Q^k , and we take $\mathcal{P}(p, 0) = \{1^{1+p}\}$.

It is clear that the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{P}(p, s)$ is $(p + s)!/p!$.

Further on, instead of $\mathcal{P}(0, s)$, we write $\mathcal{P}(s)$. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(0) &= \{1\}, \quad \mathcal{P}(1) = \{h\}, \quad \mathcal{P}(2) = \{(h \times 1)h, (1 \times h)h\}, \\ \mathcal{P}(3) &= \{(h \times 1^2)(h \times 1)h, (1 \times h \times 1)(h \times 1)h, (1^2 \times h)(h \times 1)h, \\ &\quad (h \times 1^2)(1 \times h)h, (1 \times h \times 1)(1 \times h)h, (1^2 \times h)(1 \times h)h\}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

2. (1, 2)–subsemigroups of semigroups

A (1, 2)–groupoid $\mathcal{Q} = (Q; h)$ is said to be a (1, 2)–subsemigroup of a semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S; \cdot)$ iff $Q \subseteq S$ and, for every $a, b, c \in Q$, the following implication holds:

$$h(a) = (b, c) \Rightarrow a = b \cdot c.$$

Moreover, if the equivalence

$$h(a) = (b, c) \iff a = b \cdot c$$

holds in \mathcal{Q} , then we say that \mathcal{Q} is a proper (1, 2)–subsemigroup of the semigroup \mathcal{S} .

Next Theorem was proved in [1]:

Theorem 2.1. *a) A (1, 2)–groupoid $\mathcal{Q} = (Q; h)$ is a (1, 2)–subsemigroup of a semigroup iff for each $s \geq 0$ and every $t, g \in \mathcal{P}(s)$, the implication*

$$(2.1) \quad t(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y$$

is true in \mathcal{Q} for every $x, y \in Q$.

b) \mathcal{Q} is a proper (1, 2)–subsemigroup of a semigroup iff, besides (2.1), for each $s \geq 0$ and every $t \in \mathcal{P}(s)$, $g \in \mathcal{P}(1, s)$, the implication

$$(2.2) \quad t(x) = g(y_1, y_2) \Rightarrow h(x) = (y_1, y_2)$$

is true in \mathcal{Q} for every $x, y_1, y_2 \in Q$. \square

Let B be a nonempty set, and define a set FB inductively, as follows:

$$B_0 = B, \quad B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \{(u, i) \mid u \in B_p, i \in \{1, 2\}\} \text{ for } p \geq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$FB = \cup(B_p \mid p \geq 0).$$

Define a (1, 2)–operation f on FB by

$$f(u) = ((u, 1), (u, 2))$$

for each $u \in FB$. Then $\mathcal{FB} = (FB; f)$ is a free (1, 2)–groupoid, freely generated by the set B , according to the following property:

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{Q} = (Q; h)$ be a $(1, 2)$ -groupoid and $\varphi : B \rightarrow Q$ a mapping. Then φ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism $\varphi^* : \mathcal{FB} \rightarrow Q$, i.e. such that

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi^*(f_i(u)) = h_i(\varphi^*(u)), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2)$, $h = (h_1, h_2)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_0 = \varphi : B_0 \rightarrow Q$, and suppose that $\varphi_p : B \rightarrow Q$ is defined. Then define φ_{p+1} by

$$\varphi_{p+1} = \begin{cases} \varphi_p(u), & \text{if } u \in B_p \\ h_i(\varphi_p(v)), & \text{if } u = (v, i) \in B_{p+1}B_p, i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

and take $\varphi^* = \cup(\varphi_p \mid p \geq 0)$ to be the union of the chain of mappings $\varphi_0 \subseteq \varphi_1 \subseteq \varphi_2 \subseteq \dots$. Clearly, (2.3) is satisfied and φ^* is unique, since B is a generating subset of \mathcal{FB} . \square

In what follows we give another proof of the property that \mathcal{FB} is a proper $(1, 2)$ -subsemigroup of a semigroup [1]. For this aim we use $(1, 2)$ -sequences of integers, defined below. In our opinion, these $(1, 2)$ -sequences are self-interesting as well.

3. $(1, 2)$ -sequences

At first, we make a modification in the denotation of the elements of a free $(1, 2)$ -groupoid \mathcal{FB} . Namely, by the construction of the elements of FB , we can take that the elements of B_{p+1} ($p \geq 0$) have the form (b, a_1^p) , where $b \in B$, $a_1^p = a_1 a_2 \dots a_p$, $a_j \in \{1, 2\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$; if $p = 0$, we take that (b, a_1^0) denotes simply the element $b \in B$.

Thus, for the $(1, 2)$ -operation f on FB we have

$$f(u, a_1^p) = ((u, a_1^{p1}), (u, a_1^{p2})), \quad \text{i.e. } f_i(u, a_1^p) = (u, a_1^{pi}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

As before, by $\mathcal{P}(p, s)$ we denote the set of all $(1+p, 1+p+s)$ -operations on \mathcal{FB} , obtained by the $(1, 2)$ -operation f . If $u = (b, a_1^r) \in FB$ then we say

that r is the depth of u and we denote $d(u) = r$. If $g \in \mathcal{P}(s)$, $s \geq 0$, $u \in FB$, $d(u) = r$ and

$$g(u) = u_0 u_1 \dots u_s, \quad u_j \in FB, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, s$$

then $d(u_j) = r + a_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, s$, where a_j are nonnegative integers. Note that a_j are positive integers if $s > 0$, and $u_0 = u$, $a_0 = 0$ if $s = 0$. It is clear that the integers a_j do not depend on the element $u \in FB$. In such a way, to every element $g \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ we correspond a unique sequence of integers $\lambda(g) = a_0 a_1 \dots a_s$. We say that $\lambda(g)$ is the $(1, 2)$ -sequence of g of rank s , and $\Lambda = \cup\{\lambda(g) \mid g \in \mathcal{P}(s)\}$ is called the set of $(1, 2)$ -sequences. Thus we have:

s	$g \in \mathcal{P}(s)$	$\lambda(g)$
0	1	0
1	f	11
	$(f \times 1)f$	221
2	$(1 \times f)f$	122
	$(f \times 1^2)(f \times 1)f$	3321
	$(1 \times f \times 1)(f \times 1)f$	2331
	$(1^2 \times f)(f \times 1)f$	2222
	$(f \times 1^2)(1 \times f)f$	2222
	$(1 \times f \times 1)(1 \times f)f$	1332
3	$(1^2 \times f)(1 \times f)f$	1233

We note that the elements of Λ can be generated from O by using this rule:

$$(3.1) \quad a_0 \dots a_s \in \Lambda \Rightarrow a_0 \dots a_{i-1} (a_i + 1) (a_i + 1) a_{i+1} \dots a_s \in \Lambda, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, s.$$

Thus, every element $\sigma \in \Lambda$ of rank s derives $s + 1$ elements of Λ of rank $s + 1$ (but different elements of Λ of rank s can derive a same element of Λ of rank $s + 1$). Also, every of Λ of rank $s > 0$ is derived from 0 by using the rule (3.1) s times.

Let $\sigma = a_0 a_1 \dots a_n \in \Lambda$ be with a rank n , and let k be an integer. We will use these functions:

$x_\sigma(k)$ — the number of appearances of k in σ ,

$$m_\sigma = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i,$$

$$s_\sigma(r) = \sum_{k=0}^r x_\sigma(k), \quad r \geq 0,$$

$y_\sigma(k)$ — the number of replacements of $k - 1$ with kk in a derivation of σ from 0 by using rule (3.1). (Evidently, $y_\sigma(k)$ depends only of σ and k).

The preceding functions have these (easily checked) properties:

$$(3.2) \quad m_\sigma \leq n,$$

$$(3.3) \quad s_\sigma(m_\sigma) = n + 1,$$

$$(3.4) \quad k \geq 2 \Rightarrow x_\sigma(k) = s_\sigma(k) - s_\sigma(k - 1), \quad x_\sigma(i) = s_\sigma(i) \text{ for } i = 0, 1,$$

$$(3.5) \quad y_\sigma(0) = y_0(k) = 0, \quad k \geq m_\sigma \Rightarrow y_\sigma(k) = 0,$$

$$\sigma \neq 0 \Rightarrow y_\sigma(1) = 1 \text{ and } y_\sigma(k) \geq 1 \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \dots, m_\sigma,$$

$$(3.6) \quad y_\sigma(m_\sigma) = \frac{x_\sigma(m_\sigma)}{2}, \quad y_\sigma(k) = \frac{x_\sigma(k) + y_\sigma(k + 1)}{2}$$

$$\text{for } \sigma \neq 0 \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, m_\sigma - 1,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n y_\sigma(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{m_\sigma} y_\sigma(k) = n.$$

We will prove this proposition:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\xi = a_0a_1\dots a_n$, $\psi = b_0b_1\dots b_n \in \Lambda$, $n \geq 0$. Then there exist $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i \leq b_i$ and $a_j \leq b_j$.*

Proof. The property is clear if $m = m_\xi = m_\psi$. Assume that $m = m_\xi > m_\psi$. Then there is some j such that $a_j = m > m_\psi \geq b_j$, and therefore we have to show that $a_i \leq b_i$ for some i .

A top-down recursion applied to (3.6) gives:

$$y_\xi(m) = \frac{x_\xi(m)}{2},$$

$$y_\xi(m - 1) = \frac{2x_\xi(m - 1) + x_\xi(m)}{2^2}, \dots,$$

$$y_\xi(k) = \frac{2^{m-k}x_\xi(k) + \dots + 2x_\xi(m - 1) + x_\xi(m)}{2^{m-k+1}}, \dots,$$

$$y_\xi(1) = \frac{2^{m-1}x_\xi(1) + \dots + 2x_\xi(m - 1) + x_\xi(m)}{2^m}, \quad y_\xi(0) = 0.$$

In the same manner, we have

$$y_\psi(k) = \frac{2^{m-k}x_\psi(k) + \dots + 2x_\psi(m-1) + x_\psi(m)}{2^{m-k+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Now, (3.7) implies:

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{m-i} \right) x_\xi(k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{m-i} \right) x_\psi(k),$$

and, applying (3.4) to (3.8), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} 2^{m-k-1} s_\xi(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} 2^{m-k-1} s_\psi(k).$$

We note that $x_\xi(m) > x_\psi(m) = 0$ implies $s_\xi(m-1) < s_\psi(m-1) = n+1$, i.e.

$$(3.9') \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} 2^{m-k-1} s_\xi(k) > \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} 2^{m-k-1} s_\psi(k).$$

Hence, there is a $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-2\}$ such that $s_\xi(k) > s_\psi(k)$, i.e.

$$(3.10) \quad x_\xi(1) + \dots + x_\xi(k) > x_\psi(1) + \dots + x_\psi(k).$$

The inequality (3.10) means that the sequence ξ contains more members from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ than the sequence ψ , and so there exists an index i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) such that $a_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $b_i \notin \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Thus we obtained that $a_i < b_i$. \square

Consider now a $(1, 2)$ -sequence $\xi = a_0 a_1 \dots a_p \in \Lambda$ ($p \geq 0$) and let α be an integer. If $a_i + \alpha \geq 1$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p\}$, then we denote by $[\xi + \alpha] = (a_0 + \alpha)(a_1 + \alpha) \dots (a_p + \alpha)$ the sequence of integers obtained from ξ by adding an α to each of its members a_i .

The following proposition is true:

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the integers α, β and the $(1, 2)$ -sequences $\xi = a_0 a_1 \dots a_p$, $\psi = b_0 b_1 \dots b_q$ are such that $a_p + \alpha \geq 1$, $b_q + \beta \geq 1$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, q$ ($p, q \geq 0$). Then

$$[\xi + \alpha][\psi + \beta] \in \Lambda \iff \alpha = \beta = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mu = c_0 c_1 \dots c_n = [\xi + \alpha][\psi + \beta] \in \Lambda$, $n = p + q + 1$, i.e.

$$c_0 = a_0 + \alpha, \dots, c_p = a_p + \alpha, c_{p+1} = b_{p+1} + \beta, \dots, c_n = b_n + \beta.$$

The following equalities hold:

$$x_\mu(k) = x_\xi(k - \alpha) + x_\psi(k - \beta) \text{ for } k \geq \min\{\alpha, \beta\},$$

$$x_\mu(k) = 0 \text{ for } k < \min\{\alpha, \beta\},$$

$$s_\mu(r) = s_\xi(r - \alpha) + s_\psi(r - \beta), r \geq 0,$$

$$m_\mu = \max\{m_\xi + \alpha, m_\psi + \beta\},$$

$$(3.11) \quad y_\mu(k) = y_\xi(k - \alpha) + y_\psi(k - \beta) \text{ for } k > \min\{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

(Note that: $x_\lambda(k) = s_\lambda(k) = y_\lambda(k) = 0$ for any negative integer k ($\lambda \in \Lambda$).

By (3.5) we have $y_\mu(1) = 1$, $y_\xi(1 - \alpha) \geq 1$, $y_\psi(1 - \beta) \geq 1$ in the case $\alpha, \beta \leq 0$, which is a contradiction to (3.11). Hence, one of the integers α, β must be positive.

Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \leq 0$. By (3.11) we get:

$$y_\mu(1) = y_\psi(1 - \beta), y_\mu(2) = y_\psi(2 - \beta), \dots, y_\mu(\alpha) = y_\psi(\alpha - \beta),$$

$$y_\mu(\alpha + 1) = y_\xi(1) + y_\psi(\alpha + 1 - \beta), \dots, y_\mu(m_\mu) = y_\xi(m_\mu - \alpha) + y_\psi(m_\mu - \beta).$$

Having in mind (3.7), we have:

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{m_\mu} y_\mu(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{m_\xi} y_\xi(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{m_\psi} y_\psi(k) - \sum_{k=0}^{-\beta} y_\psi(k) = p + q - s(-\beta),$$

which implies $s_\psi(-\beta) = -1$. This is contradiction to $s_\psi(-\beta) \geq 0$.

We conclude that $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Now let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. Putting $k = \alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, m_\mu$ in (3.11), and taking a sum, we get

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{m_\mu} y_\mu(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha} y_\mu(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{m_\xi} y_\mu(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{m_\psi} y_\mu(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha} y_\mu(k) + p + q.$$

Therefore,

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha} y_\mu(k) = y_\mu(1) + \dots + y_\mu(\alpha)$$

and, by (3.5), $\alpha = 1$.

Suppose now that $\beta > 1 = \alpha$. Then, by (3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad y_\mu(2) = y_\xi(1) + y_\psi(2 - \beta) = y_\xi(1) \leq 1.$$

We consider two cases: $\xi \neq 0$ and $\xi = 0$.

At first, take $\xi \neq 0$. Then $x_\mu(1) = x_\xi(0) + x_\xi(1 - \beta) = 0$, which means that 1 does not appear in μ . Then $y_\mu(2) = 2$, since, deriving the μ from 0, we have in the first step 11, in the second step either 122 or 221, and in some of the next steps 1 must be changed by 22. This contradiction to (3.12) shows that $\beta = 1$.

Assume now that $\xi = 0$. Then by (3.12) we have $y_\mu(2) = y_0(1) = 0$, i.e. 22 is not derived from 1 in any derivation of μ . So $\mu = 11$, which means $\xi = \psi = 0, \alpha = \beta = 1$.

Conversely, let $\alpha = \beta = 1$, and then $\mu = [\xi + 1][\psi + 1]$. Using some derivations of ξ and ψ from 0, we can get a derivation of μ from 0 too. Namely, assume that the k -th and the $k + 1$ -th steps in some derivations of ξ and ψ are

$$\xi_k = d_0 \dots d_i \dots d_k, \quad \psi_k = e_0 \dots e_j \dots e_k,$$

$$\xi_{k+1} = d_0 (d_i + 1)(d_i + 1) \dots d_k, \quad \psi_{k+1} = e_0 \dots (e_j + 1)(e_j + 1) \dots e_k.$$

Assume also that we have a derivation of

$$\mu_{2k+1} = (d_0 + 1) \dots (d_i + 1) \dots (d_k + 1)(e_0 + 1) \dots (e_j + 1) \dots (e_k + 1) = [\xi_k + 1][\psi_k + 1].$$

Then we can continue:

$$\mu_{2k+2} = (d_0 + 1) \dots (d_i + 2)(d_i + 2) \dots (d_k + 1)(e_0 + 1) \dots (e_j + 1) \dots (e_k + 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2k+3} &= (d_0 + 1) \dots (d_i + 2)(d_i + 2) \dots (d_k + 1)(e_0 + 1) \dots \\ &(e_j + 2)(e_j + 2) \dots (e_k + 1) = [\xi_{k+1} + 1][\psi_{k+1} + 1]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. A combinatorial proof that \mathcal{FB} is a proper $(1, 2)$ -subsemigroup of a semigroup

By Theorem 2.1 we have to show that the implications (2.1) and (2.2) hold in $\mathcal{FB} = (FB; f)$. So, let $t, g \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ and $t(u) = g(v)$, where $u = (a, \rho)$, $v = (b, \sigma) \in FB$, $a, b \in B$, and ρ, σ are corresponding sequences of integers. Then for some sequences of integers $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_s, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_s$ we have

$$t(u) = ((a, \rho\rho_0), \dots, (a, \rho\rho_s)) = ((b, \sigma\sigma_0), \dots, (b, \sigma\sigma_s)) = g(v),$$

which implies $a = b$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \rho\rho_0 = \sigma\sigma_0, \rho\rho_1 = \sigma\sigma_1, \dots, \rho\rho_s = \sigma\sigma_s.$$

We have still to show that $\rho = \sigma$ and, by (4.1), it is enough to show that ρ and σ are of the same length, i.e. $|\rho| = |\sigma|$. Assume that $|\rho| < |\sigma|$. Then, by (4.1) we have

$$|\rho_0| > |\sigma_0|, |\rho_1| > |\sigma_1|, \dots, |\rho_s| > |\sigma_s|,$$

which is impossible by Theorem 3.1, since $|\rho_0| \dots |\rho_s|$ and $|\sigma_0| \dots |\sigma_s|$ are $(1, 2)$ -sequences from Λ . Thus, (2.1) holds in \mathcal{FB} .

Now, let $h \in \mathcal{P}(1, s)$ and $t(u) = h(v, w)$, where u, v are as above and $w = (c, \tau) \in FB$, $c \in B$, τ is a sequence of integers. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(u) &= ((a, \rho\rho_0), \dots, (a, \rho\rho_s)) = \\ &((b, \sigma\sigma_0), \dots, (b, \sigma\sigma_n), (c, \tau\tau_0), \dots, (c, \tau\tau_m)) = h(v, w), \end{aligned}$$

for corresponding sequences of integers $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_s, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n, \tau_0, \dots, \tau_m$ ($s = n + m + 1$). Then we have $a = b = c$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \rho\rho_0 = \sigma\sigma_0, \dots, \rho\rho_n = \sigma\sigma_n, \rho\rho_{n+1} = \tau\tau_0, \dots, \rho\rho_s = \tau\tau_m.$$

We note that $\rho' = |\rho_0| \dots |\rho_s|$, $\sigma' = |\sigma_0| \dots |\sigma_n|$, $\tau' = |\tau_0| \dots |\tau_m|$ are $(1,2)$ -sequences from Λ , and by (4.2) we have the equality

$$\rho' = [\sigma' + \alpha][\tau' + \beta],$$

where $\alpha = |\sigma| - |\rho|$, $\beta = |\tau| - |\rho|$. It follows by Theorem 3.2 that $\alpha = \beta = 1$, i.e. $|\sigma| = |\tau| = |\rho| + 1$, and by (4.2) we have

$$\sigma = \rho e_1, \quad \tau = \rho e_2,$$

for some $e_1, e_2 \in \{1, 2\}$.

Consider now the $(1, 1+s)$ -operation $t \in \mathcal{P}(s)$. The equality $t(u) = h(v, w)$ implies that there is an operation $t' \in \mathcal{P}(1, s)$ such that

$$t(u) = (t'f)(u)(t'((u, 1), (u, 2))) = t'((a, \rho 1), (a, \rho 2)).$$

Therefore, the first member of ρ_0 is 1, and the first member of ρ_s is 2, which implies that $e_1 = 1$, $e_2 = 2$. Thus we have $v = (a, \rho 1)$, $w = (a, \rho 2)$, i.e. $v = (u, 1)$, $w = (u, 2)$. Then $f(u) = (v, w)$, and (2.2) holds in \mathcal{FB} as well.

Remark 5. Here we give a few results and remarks about the $(1,2)$ -sequences. The truth of the next statements is either evident or easily proved.

5.1. Consider a $(1,2)$ -sequence $\lambda = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{s-1}$ of length $s > 1$. Then the following inequalities hold:

$$s[\log_2 s] + 2(s - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 s \rfloor}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i \leq \frac{(s-1)(s+2)}{2},$$

where $[\log_2 s]$ denotes the integer part of $\log_2 s$ (see also [3]).

5.2. We can extend the Theorem 3.2. in one direction. Namely, let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$ and $\alpha = a_0 a_1 \dots a_s$ are $(1,2)$ -sequences (a_i are integers). Then $[\xi_0 + a_0][\xi_1 + a_1] \dots [\xi_s + a_s]$ is a $(1,2)$ -sequence as well. The opposite statement is not true: for example, $11, 2222 \in \Lambda$, $212 \notin \Lambda$, but

$$33333333 = [11 + 2][2222 + 1][11 + 2] \in \Lambda.$$

5.3. Define a set Λ_k of $(1, k)$ -sequences ($k \geq 1$) as follows:

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_{11} = 1 \underbrace{\dots}_k 1, \lambda_{21} = 2 \underbrace{\dots}_k 21 \underbrace{\dots}_{k-1} 1, \lambda_{22} = 12 \underbrace{\dots}_k 21 \underbrace{\dots}_{k-2} 1, \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{2k} = 1 \underbrace{\dots}_{k-1} 12 \underbrace{\dots}_k 2, \lambda_{31} = 3 \underbrace{\dots}_k 32 \underbrace{\dots}_{k-1} 21 \underbrace{\dots}_{k-1} 1, \dots,$$

i.e. a sequence λ_{ij} (of rank i) is derived from a sequence $\lambda_{i-1,m}$ (of rank $i-1$) by using this rule: replace some member j of $\lambda_{i-1,m}$ by the sequence $(j+1) \underbrace{\dots}_k (j+1)$. It is clear that every $(1, k)$ -sequence λ of a rank i has length $|\lambda| = i(k-1) + 1$. Note that the set Λ_1 coincides with the set of nonnegative integers, i.e. the sets Λ_k are generalization of the set of natural numbers.

In the same manner as Theorem 3.1, one can prove this property:

If $a_0 a_1 \dots a_{i(k-1)}$ and $b_0 b_1 \dots b_{i(k-1)}$ are $(1, k)$ -sequences of rank i , then there exist indices n and m such that $a_n \leq b_n, a_m \geq b_m$.

References

- [1] Čupona G., Celakoski N., Markovski S., Dimovski D.: Vector valued grupoids, semigroups and groups, "Vector valued semigroups and groups", Maced. Acad. Sci. and Arts, Skopje (1988), 1-78.
- [2] Čupona G., Markovski S.: Vector valued subgroupoids of semigroups, Maced. Acad. Sci. and Arts, Contributions, VII/2, Sect. Math. Techn. Sci., Skopje (1986), 5-12.
- [3] Tošić R., Bošnjak I.: Counting, generation and recognition of s-sequences, Rev. of Research, Faculty of Science, Math. Series, Univ. Novi Sad, 19, 2 (1989), 53-60.

REZIME

O NIZOVIMA PRIDRUŽENIM SLOBODNIM (1,2)-GRUPOIDIMA

U radu su definisani i izučavani $(1, 2)$ -nizovi, koji su povezani sa $(1, 2)$ -operacijama. Korišćenjem tih $(1, 2)$ -nizova dat je kombinatorni dokaz da je svaki slobodni $(1, 2)$ -grupoid prava $(1, 2)$ -podsemigrupa neke semigrupe. Dokazano je takodje nekoliko kombinatornih svojstava $(1, 2)$ -nizova.