

## Primitive varieties of algebras

Ā. ĀUPONA AND S. MARKOVSKI

*Abstract.* A variety  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\Omega$ -algebras is said to be primitive if it is defined by a system of primitive identities, i.e. formulas of form (1.1). The main results are descriptions of closed set of primitive identities and of free objects in primitive varieties.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a set of (finitary) functional symbols, i.e. a type of algebras. By  $\Omega_n$  we denote the set of  $n$ -ary symbols in  $\Omega$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). A formula of form

$$f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = g(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f \in \Omega_n$ ,  $g \in \Omega_m$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are variables, is said to be a primitive  $\Omega$ -identity. (Further on we will usually omit the prefix “ $\Omega$ ”, and thus by an algebra we mean an  $\Omega$ -algebra, by an identity we mean an  $\Omega$ -identity, . . .)

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra and let  $\Sigma, \Sigma'$  be sets of primitive identities. Then  $\mathbf{A} \models \Sigma$  means that each identity from  $\Sigma$  holds on  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\Sigma \models \Sigma'$  means that for every algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  we have  $\mathbf{A} \models \Sigma \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \models \Sigma'$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is closed iff  $\Sigma \models \Sigma' \Rightarrow \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ .

We can certainly assume that the set of variables coincides with the set  $\mathbf{N}$  of positive integers, and so we can interpret the formula (1.1) as an ordered quadruple  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^m$  are such that  $\alpha(v) = i_v$ ,  $\beta(\lambda) = j_\lambda$  for each  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \mathbf{n}$ ,  $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} = \mathbf{m}$ . If  $n = 0$  then we take  $\mathbf{n} = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{N}^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . We say that  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g)$  is an equation.

The main result of Section 2 is a convenient description of closed sets of equations and, assuming that  $\Sigma$  is a closed set of equations, we give a special interpretation of  $\Sigma$ -algebras, i.e. of algebras in the primitive variety of algebras defined by  $\Sigma$ . By using this interpretation, in Section 3 we give a convenient description of free  $\Sigma$ -algebras. (Corresponding results for primitive varieties of  $n$ -groupoids are considered in [2] and [3].)

---

Presented by B. M. Schein.

Received January 30, 1997; accepted in final form October 21, 1997.

In what follows we will use the following convention about the notations. If  $S, T, U$  are sets and  $\eta: S \rightarrow T, \zeta: T \rightarrow U$  are mappings, then by  $\zeta \circ \eta$  we denote their superposition, i.e.  $\zeta \circ \eta(s) = \zeta(\eta(s))$  for each  $s \in S$ . The image of  $\eta$  is denoted by  $im \eta$ , i.e.  $im \eta = \{\eta(s) \mid s \in S\}$ . Let  $A$  be a set and  $\alpha \in A^n, \beta \in A^m$ , where  $n, m$  are nonnegative integers. Then by  $\alpha\beta$  we denote the concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , i.e.  $\alpha\beta \in A^{n+m}$  is defined by  $(\alpha\beta)(i) = \alpha(i), (\alpha\beta)(n+j) = \beta(j)$  for each  $i \in \mathbf{n}, j \in \mathbf{m}$ . If  $m = 0$  then  $\beta = \emptyset$  and  $\alpha\emptyset = \emptyset\alpha = \alpha$ . If  $e = (f, \alpha, \beta, g)$  is an equation then its kernel  $ker e$  is defined by  $ker e = ker \alpha\beta$ .

The set of all equations will be denoted by  $\Pi(\Omega)$ .

**2. Closed sets of equations**

Let  $e = (f, \alpha, \beta, g)$  be an equation,  $\mathbf{A}$  an algebra with carrier  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\zeta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  a mapping. Define  $R(e), L(e), e^{-1}, \zeta(e)$  as follows:

$$L(e) = (f, \alpha), \quad R(e) = (g, \beta), \quad e^{-1} = (g, \beta, \alpha, f),$$

$$\zeta(e) = (f, \zeta \circ \alpha, \zeta \circ \beta, g).$$

We say that  $e$  holds on  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\eta(\alpha(1)), \dots, \eta(\alpha(n))) = g_{\mathbf{A}}(\eta(\beta(1)), \dots, \eta(\beta(m)))$  for each mapping  $\eta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ , and then we write  $\mathbf{A} \models e$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{A} \models \Sigma \Leftrightarrow (\forall e \in \Sigma) \mathbf{A} \models e$ .

If  $e, e', e'' \in \Pi(\Omega)$  and  $\zeta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is a mapping then:

$$R(e^{-1}) = L(e), \quad (e^{-1})^{-1} = e, \quad e \models e^{-1} \tag{2.1}$$

$$L(e) = R(e) \Rightarrow \emptyset \models e, \quad e \models \zeta(e) \tag{2.2}$$

$$R(e) = L(e'), L(e) = L(e''), R(e') = R(e'') \Rightarrow e, e' \models e'' \tag{2.3}$$

$$e = (f, \alpha, \beta, g), e' = (f, \alpha', \beta', g), ker e \subseteq ker e' \Rightarrow e \models e'. \tag{2.4}$$

A description of the family of closed sets of equations is given by

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A set of equations  $\Sigma \subseteq \Pi(\Omega)$  is closed iff the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $L(e) = R(e) \Rightarrow e \in \Sigma$
- (ii)  $e \in \Sigma \Rightarrow e^{-1} \in \Sigma$
- (iii)  $R(e) = L(e'), L(e) = L(e''), R(e') = R(e'') \Rightarrow (e, e' \in \Sigma \Rightarrow e'' \in \Sigma)$
- (iv)  $e \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \zeta(e) \in \Sigma$ , for each mapping  $\zeta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (2.1)–(2.4) that if  $\Sigma$  is closed then (i)–(iv) are satisfied.

Assume now that  $\Sigma$  satisfies the above conditions. If  $\Sigma = \Pi(\Omega)$  then  $\Sigma$  is certainly closed, and thus we can assume that there is an equation  $e = (f, \alpha, \beta, g) \in \Pi(\Omega) \setminus \Sigma$ . Define an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  with a carrier  $\mathbf{N}$  as follows:

$$h_{\mathbf{A}}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (f, \alpha, \gamma, h) \in \Sigma \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $h \in \Omega_p$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}^p$  ( $p \geq 0$ ). Then  $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha) = 1$  by (i), and  $e \notin \Sigma$  imply  $g_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta) = 2$ , which means that  $\mathbf{A} \vDash e$ .

Let  $e' = (f', \alpha', \beta', g') \in \Sigma$ . If  $f'_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha') = 1$ , then  $(f, \alpha, \alpha', f') \in \Sigma$  and by (iii) we have  $(f, \alpha, \beta', g') \in \Sigma$ , i.e.  $g'_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta') = 1$ . If  $g'_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta') = 1$  then (iii) implies  $f'_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha') = 1$ , since by (ii) we have  $(g', \beta', \alpha', f') = e'^{-1} \in \Sigma$ . So,  $f'_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha') = g'_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta')$ . If  $\xi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is any mapping then by (iv) we get  $\xi(e') = (f', \xi \circ \alpha', \xi \circ \beta', g') \in \Sigma$ , and thus we have shown that  $\mathbf{A} \vDash e'$ .  $\square$

Further on we will assume that  $\Sigma$  is a given closed set of equations.

Let  $A$  be a set such that  $A \cup \Omega_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Define a set  $\Omega(A) = \bigcup \{ \Omega_n \times A^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$  and consider  $\Omega_0 \times A^0$  as another notation for  $\Omega_0$  (i.e. we take  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega(A)$ ). Further, define a relation  $\approx_{A, \Sigma}$  on the set  $\Omega(A)$  as follows:

$$(f, \mathbf{a}) \approx_{A, \Sigma} (g, \mathbf{b}) \Leftrightarrow (\exists (f, \alpha, \beta, g) \in \Sigma) \ker \mathbf{ab} = \ker \alpha\beta,$$

where  $(f, \mathbf{a}) \in \Omega_n \times A^n$ ,  $(g, \mathbf{b}) \in \Omega_m \times A^m$  and  $\mathbf{ab} \in A^{m+n}$  is the concatenation of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ . In what follows we will write  $\approx$  instead of  $\approx_{A, \Sigma}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.**  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Omega(A)$ . (We denote the quotient set  $\Omega(A)/\approx$  by  $\Sigma(A)$ .)

*Proof.* We need to show the transitivity of  $\approx$  only. Let  $(f, \mathbf{a}) \in \Omega_n \times A^n$ ,  $(g, \mathbf{b}) \in \Omega_m \times A^m$ ,  $(h, \mathbf{c}) \in \Omega_p \times A^p$  and  $(f, \mathbf{a}) \approx (g, \mathbf{b}) \approx (h, \mathbf{c})$ . Then there are  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g), (g, \gamma, \delta, h) \in \Sigma$  such that  $\ker \mathbf{ab} = \ker \alpha\beta$ ,  $\ker \mathbf{bc} = \ker \gamma\delta$ , and this implies

$$\ker \mathbf{a} = \ker \alpha, \quad \ker \mathbf{b} = \ker \beta = \ker \gamma, \quad \ker \mathbf{c} = \ker \delta.$$

We can assume that  $\text{im } \alpha\beta \cap \text{im } \gamma\delta = \emptyset$  since, in contrary, we can take an injection  $\eta: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\alpha' = \eta \circ \alpha$ ,  $\beta' = \eta \circ \beta$ ,  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g) \vDash (f, \alpha', \beta', g) \vDash (f, \alpha, \beta, g)$  and  $\text{im } \alpha'\beta' \cap \text{im } \gamma\delta = \emptyset$ . So we have

$$im \alpha \cap im \gamma = im \alpha \cap im \delta = im \beta \cap im \gamma = im \beta \cap im \delta = \emptyset \tag{2.5}$$

Define a mapping  $\xi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\xi$  is identical over  $\mathbf{N} \setminus im \gamma$  and  $\xi \circ \gamma = \beta$ . The equality  $ker \beta = ker \gamma$  implies that  $\xi$  is well defined and, by (2.5),  $\xi$  is injective over  $\mathbf{N} \setminus im \beta$ . Then  $ker \xi \circ \delta = ker \delta = ker \mathbf{c}$  and, by Theorem 2.1, we have  $(g, \beta, \xi \circ \delta, h), (f, \alpha, \xi \circ \delta, h) \in \Sigma$ . We remark that, as a consequence of (2.5), one can show that

$$ker \alpha(\xi \circ \delta) \subseteq ker \mathbf{ac} \tag{2.6}$$

and this complete the proof, since (2.6) implies the existence of a mapping  $\psi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  such that  $ker \psi \circ (\alpha(\xi \circ \delta)) = ker \mathbf{ac}$  and  $(f, \psi \circ \alpha, \psi \circ \xi \circ \delta, h) \in \Sigma$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an algebra with carrier  $A$ . We can interpret  $\mathbf{A}$  as a mapping  $\mathbf{A}: \Omega(A) \rightarrow A$ , such that  $\mathbf{A}(f, \mathbf{a}) = f_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a})$  for each  $f \in \Omega_n, \mathbf{a} \in A^n$ . Having in mind such an interpretation of the algebras, we obtain the following characterization of the  $\Sigma$ -algebras (i.e. algebras in the variety of algebras defined by  $\Sigma$ ):

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *An algebra  $\mathbf{A}: \Omega(A) \rightarrow A$  is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra iff  $\approx \subseteq ker \mathbf{A}$ .  $\square$*

So, any  $\Sigma$ -algebra with carrier  $A$  can be viewed as a mapping  $\mathbf{A}: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow A$ , and further on such an interpretation will be assumed.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *If  $A, G$  are sets and  $\varphi: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow G$  and  $\mathbf{G}: G \rightarrow A$  are mappings, then  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G} \circ \varphi$  is a  $\Sigma$ -algebra with carrier  $A$ . (If  $\varphi$  is bijective, then usually we do not make any distinction between  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$ .)  $\square$*

**EXAMPLE 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the variety of commutative groupoids which satisfy the identity  $x^2 = y^2$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be the corresponding closed set of equations. We may assume that  $\Omega(A) = A^2$ , where  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and then  $\Sigma(A)$  has the following description:  $u \in \Sigma(A)$  iff  $u = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$  or  $u = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$ , where  $a, b \in A$  and  $a \neq b$ . This suggests that we consider the set  $G = \{e\} \cup \{\{a, b\} \mid a, b \in A, a \neq b\}$  and define a bijection  $\varphi: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow G$  by

$$\varphi: \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\} \mapsto e, \quad \{(a, b), (b, a)\} \mapsto \{a, b\} \quad (a \neq b)$$

Moreover, having in mind this bijection, we could replace  $\Sigma(A)$  by  $G$ .  $\square$

A partial  $\Sigma$ -algebra with carrier  $A$  and domain  $D$  is said to be any mapping  $\mathbf{A}: D \rightarrow A$ , where  $D \subseteq \Sigma(A)$ . Given a partial  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}: D \rightarrow A$ , there exists a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}^*: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  is the restriction of  $\mathbf{A}^*$  on  $D$ . Also, we note that if  $\Omega$  is finite, then there are only finitely many nonequivalent primitive

$\Omega$ -identities. Consequently, as a corollary of Evans' Theorem ([4], p. 68), we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *If  $\Omega$  is finite then the word problem is solvable in any primitive variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras.  $\square$*

Let  $A, A'$  be sets and consider a mapping  $\tau: A \rightarrow A'$ . Then  $\tau$  induces two uniquely determined natural mappings  $\tau^{(n)}: A^n \rightarrow A'^n$ , where  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\tau^\Omega: \Omega(A) \rightarrow \Omega(A')$ . We will usually omit the upperscripts, i.e.  $\tau$  will be a common notation for each of the mappings  $\tau, \tau^{(n)}, \tau^\Omega$ . Then we have  $(f, \mathbf{a}) \approx (g, \mathbf{b}) \Rightarrow \tau(f, \mathbf{a}) = (f, \tau \circ \mathbf{a}) \approx (g, \tau \circ \mathbf{b}) = \tau(g, \mathbf{b})$  and, moreover, if  $\tau: A \rightarrow A'$  is injective, then  $\tau(f, \mathbf{a}) \approx \tau(g, \mathbf{b}) \Rightarrow (f, \mathbf{a}) \approx (g, \mathbf{b})$ . Therefore,  $\tau$  also induces a mapping  $\tau^\Sigma: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow \Sigma(A')$  and if  $\tau$  is injective then  $\tau^\Sigma$  is injective too. Hence, if  $A \subseteq A'$  we can assume  $\Sigma(A) \subseteq \Sigma(A')$  as well.

Homomorphisms, congruences and subalgebras are characterized as usual. Thus, a homomorphism from a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  into a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}'$  is a mapping  $\tau: A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $\tau \circ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}' \circ \tau^\Sigma$ .

### 3. Free $\Sigma$ -algebras

Here we assume again that  $\Sigma$  is a given closed set of equations. If  $f \in \Omega$  is such that there exists an equation  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g) \in \Sigma$ , where  $\text{im } \alpha \cap \text{im } \beta = \emptyset$ , then we say that  $f$  is a  $\Sigma$ -constant. (Thus, if  $f \in \Omega_0$ , then  $f$  is a  $\Sigma$ -constant for any  $\Sigma$ .) If  $f \in \Omega_n$  and  $k \leq n$  is the largest nonnegative integer such that

$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^n, \quad |\text{im } \alpha| \leq k, \quad |\text{im } \beta| \leq k \quad \Rightarrow \quad (f, \alpha, \beta, f) \in \Sigma,$$

then we say that  $k$  is the order of  $\Sigma$ -singularity of  $f$ .

For technical reasons only, the following conditions will be also supposed in this section:

- (I) If  $f, g \in \Omega_n$  and  $(f, \epsilon, \epsilon, g) \in \Sigma$ , where  $\epsilon(i) = i$  for each  $i \in \mathbf{n}$ , then  $f = g$ .
- (II) If  $f \in \Omega_n$  is a  $\Sigma$ -constant and  $n \geq 1$ , then there are  $g \in \Omega_0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$  such that  $(f, \alpha, \emptyset, g) \in \Sigma$ .
- (III) If  $f \in \Omega_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$  are such that  $\alpha(i) = i$  for each  $i \in \mathbf{n}$  and  $(f, \alpha, \beta, g) \in \Sigma$ , then  $\text{im } \beta = \mathbf{n}$ .<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> If the pair  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  does not satisfy the conditions (I)–(III), then we can define a new type of algebras  $\Omega'$  and a closed set of equations  $\Sigma'$  such that the pair  $(\Omega', \Sigma')$  does satisfy the mentioned conditions and the corresponding primitive variety defined by  $\Sigma'$  is not essentially distinct from that defined by  $\Sigma$  (see [6], 29–34).



As a consequence of (I)–(III) we can make the following assumptions:

- (a) If  $A \cup \Omega_0 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Sigma(A)$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbf{A}: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow A$  is injective, then  $\Omega_0 \subseteq A$ .
- (c) If  $f \in \Omega_1$  then  $f$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant.
- (d) If  $k$  is the order of  $\Sigma$ -singularity of  $f \in \Omega_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , then  $k < n$ .

Let  $A$  be a set,  $u \in \Sigma(A)$  and denote by  $[u]$  the following collection of subsets of  $A$ :

$$[u] = \{im \mathbf{a} \mid (f, \mathbf{a}) \in u\}.$$

We say that  $(f, \mathbf{a}) \in u$  is a minimal element of  $u$  iff  $im \mathbf{a}$  is a minimal member in  $[u]$ . (The existence of at least one minimal member in  $u$  is obvious.)

By an application of the condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1, one can show the following statement:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *If  $u \in \Sigma(A)$ , then there exists a unique minimal member  $im \mathbf{a}$  in  $[u]$ , and it is the least member in  $[u]$ . (We say that  $im \mathbf{a}$  is the content of  $u$  and denote it by  $Cont(u)$ ). Thus,  $Cont(u) = \emptyset$  iff  $u \in \Omega_0$ .)* □

**EXAMPLE 3.2.** If condition (II) does not hold, then the conclusion of the last proposition could not be true. Such a situation occurs in Example 2.5. Namely, if  $u_0 = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$  and if  $|A| \geq 2$ , then  $[u_0] = \{\{a\} \mid a \in A\}$ , i.e. each one-element subset of  $A$  is a minimal member in  $[u_0]$ . In this case we add a new 0-ary symbol  $e$  to  $\Omega = \{\cdot\}$  and obtain  $\Omega' = \{e, \cdot\}$ . If  $\Sigma'$  is the closed set of  $\Omega'$ -equations generated by  $x^2 = e$ ,  $xy = yx$ , then we obtain a pair  $(\Omega', \Sigma')$  which satisfies all three conditions. □

As a corollary of Proposition 3.1 we have

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *If  $A \subseteq A'$  and  $u \in \Sigma(A')$ , then  $u \in \Sigma(A)$  iff  $Cont(u) \subseteq A$ .* □

Now we are ready to “build” a free  $\Sigma$ -algebra with a given basis. Given a set  $B$  such that  $B \cap \Omega_0 = \emptyset$  and  $B \cup \Omega_0 \neq \emptyset$ , define a sequence of sets  $\{B_p \mid p \geq 1\}$  and a set  $U (= U(B, \Sigma))$  as follows:

$$B_1 = B \cup \Omega_0, \quad B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \Sigma(B_p), \quad U = \bigcup \{B_p \mid p \geq 1\}.$$

Define a mapping  $\chi$  (called hierarchy) from  $U$  into  $\mathbf{N}$  by:  $\chi(u) = k$  iff  $k$  is the least positive integer such that  $u \in B_k$ .

We note that  $U = B_1$  iff  $\Omega = \Omega_0$ , and if  $\Omega \neq \Omega_0$  then  $B_p \subsetneq B_{p+1}$  for each  $p \geq 1$ . Also, if  $u \in U$  then  $\chi(u) = 1 \Leftrightarrow u \in B_1$ ,  $\chi(u) = p + 1 (p \geq 1) \Leftrightarrow \text{Cont}(u) \subseteq B_p$  &  $(\exists v \in \text{Cont}(u))\chi(v) = p$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4.  $\Sigma(U) = U \setminus B$ . □

The following statement gives a description of free  $\Sigma$ -algebras.

THEOREM 3.5. *If  $\mathbf{U}$  is the embedding of  $\Sigma(U)$  into  $U$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{U}(u) = u$  for each  $u \in \Sigma(U)$ , then  $\mathbf{U}$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -algebra with unique basis  $B$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{U}$  is well defined by Proposition 3.4, and by an induction on hierarchy one can show that  $B$  is a generating subset of  $\mathbf{U}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow A$  be a  $\Sigma$ -algebra and let  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$  be a mapping. Define a chain of mappings  $\{\tau_p \mid p \geq 1\}$  as follows.  $\tau_1(b) = \tau(b)$  for each  $b \in B$ , and  $\tau_1(f) = \mathbf{A}(f)$  for each  $f \in \Omega_0$ . Further, if  $\tau_p: B_p \rightarrow A$  is defined, let  $\tau_{p+1}: B_{p+1} \rightarrow A$  be the extension of  $\tau_p$  such that it coincides with  $\mathbf{A} \circ \tau_p^\Sigma$  on  $\Sigma(B_p) \setminus B_p$ . Then  $\bar{\tau} = \bigcup (\tau_p \mid p \geq 1)$  is an extension of  $\tau$  and a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{U}$  into  $\mathbf{A}$  as well. □

Below we will give another description of free  $\Sigma$ -algebras, and for that purpose we need a few more definitions. Let  $\mathbf{A}: \Sigma(A) \rightarrow A$  be a  $\Sigma$ -algebra. If  $\mathbf{A}(u) = a$  and  $b \in \text{Cont}(u)$  then we say that  $b$  is a divisor of  $a$ . A sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , of elements of  $A$  is said to be a divisor chain in  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $a_{i+1}$  is a divisor of  $a_i$  for each  $i$ . An element  $a \in A$  is said to be prime in  $\mathbf{A}$  iff  $a \notin \text{im } \mathbf{A}$ .

THEOREM 3.6. *A  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{F}: \Sigma(F) \rightarrow F$  is free (in the primitive variety of  $\Sigma$ -algebras) iff it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\mathbf{F}$  is injective.
- (ii) Every divisor chain in  $\mathbf{F}$  is finite.

*Then, the set of prime elements in  $\mathbf{F}$  is the unique basis of  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, the free  $\Sigma$ -algebra defined in Theorem 3.5 satisfies (i) and (ii), and  $B$  is the set of primes in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

Assume now that (i) and (ii) hold in a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{F}: \Sigma(F) \rightarrow F$ . By (i) and Proposition 3.1, the set  $D$  of divisors of an element  $a \in F$  is finite, and  $D = \emptyset$  iff  $a$  is prime or  $a \in \mathbf{F}(\Omega_0)$ . By (ii) we obtain that the set of lengths of the divisor chains with a common first member is bounded. This can be shown, for example, by an application of König's lemma ([5], 381). If  $a \in F$ , then we denote by  $\delta(a)$  the largest possible length of a divisor chain with the first member  $a$ . Let  $B$  be the set of primes

in  $\mathbf{F}$ , and let  $\mathbf{U}: \Sigma(U) \rightarrow U$  be the free  $\Sigma$ -algebra defined in Theorem 3.5. Then there is a unique isomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$  such that  $\chi(a) = \delta(\varphi(a))$ ,  $\varphi(c) = c$ ,  $\varphi(f) = \mathbf{F}(f)$ , for any  $a \in U$ ,  $c \in B$ ,  $f \in \Omega_0$ . □

Since the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.6 are hereditary we have

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Every subalgebra of a free  $\Sigma$ -algebra is free too.* □

The following statement is a generalization of well known results concerning relations between the ranks of free  $\Omega$ -algebra and their subalgebras, in the variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *A free  $\Sigma$ -algebra contains subalgebras with an infinite rank iff at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $|\Omega_1| \geq 2$ .
- (ii) *There exists an  $f \in \Omega \setminus (\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1)$  which is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant.*
- (iii)  $|\Omega_1| \geq 1$  and  $\Omega \setminus (\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (iv)  $\Omega \setminus (\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $|\Omega_0| > k$ , where  $k$  is the least order of  $\Sigma$ -singularity of some functional symbol in  $\Omega \setminus (\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1)$ . □

**EXAMPLE 3.9.** Let  $\Omega = \Omega_3 = \{f\}$  and denote by  $\Sigma$  the closed set of equations induced by the following set of identities:

$$x^3 = y^2z, \quad xyz = xzy = yxz.$$

Note that the order of  $\Sigma$ -singularity of  $f$  is 2. Define a new signature  $\Omega' = \{e, f\}$ , where  $e$  is a 0-ary symbol, and a new set of equations  $\Sigma'$  generated by  $x^3 = x^2y = e$ ,  $xyz = xzy = yxz$ . Then  $(\Omega', \Sigma')$  satisfies the conditions (I)–(III), and we can use the pair  $(\Omega', \Sigma')$  to apply the construction of free objects given in this section. If  $B = \{a, b\}$  then by (iv) of Theorem 3.8 the free  $\Sigma$ -grouped  $\mathbf{U}$  with basis  $B$  contains subgroupoids with infinite ranks. To get such a subgroupoid, we give firstly a more detailed description of  $\mathbf{U}$ . Namely,  $U = \bigcup \{B_k \mid k \geq 1\}$ , where

$$B_1 = \{e, a, b\}, \quad B_2 = \{e, a, b, \{e, a, b\}\},$$

$$B_{k+1} = B_k \cup \{\{x, y, z\} \mid x, y, z \in B_k, x \neq y \neq z \neq x\}$$

and the ternary operation on  $U$  is defined by



$$xyz = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } |\{x, y, z\}| \leq 2 \\ \{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If we define an infinite subset  $C = \{c_k \mid k \geq 1\}$  of  $U$  by  $c_1 = \{e, a, b\}$ ,  $c_{k+1} = \{e, a, c_k\}$ , then the subgroupoid of  $U$  generated by  $C$  has infinite rank.

Note that for each  $u \in U$ ,  $\{u\}$  is the basis of the subgroupoid  $\{e, u\}$  of  $U$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their thankfulness to the unknown referee for her/his useful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] BRUCK, R. H., *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [2] ČUPONA, G. and MARKOVSKI, S., *Free objects in primitive varieties of  $n$ -groupoids*, Publ. de l'Inst. Math., Nouvelle serie, t.57 (71), Beograd, 1955, 147–154.
- [3] ČUPONA, G., MARKOVSKI, S. and POPESKA, Ž., *Primitive  $n$ -identities*, Contributions to general algebra 9 Wien (1995), 107–116.
- [4] EVANS, T., *The word problem for abstract algebras*, Jour. London Math. Soc. 26 (1952), 64–71.
- [5] KNUTH, DONALD E., *The Art of Computer Programming*, Vol. 1 (second edition), Addison-Wesley Publ., 1977.
- [6] SMIRNOV, D. M., *Mnogoobrazya Algebr*, Nauka Publ., Novosibirsk, 1992.

*Faculty of Sciences  
Univerzitet Sv. Kiril i Metodija  
p.f. 162  
Skopje  
Macedonia*



To access this journal online:  
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>

---