

## ON A CLASS OF $n$ -SEMIGROUPS

B. Trpenovski

1. **Introduction.** In this article we generalize the notion of  $\lambda$ -semigroups from binary to  $(n+1)$ -ary case, establishing some properties for the generalized algebraic systems obtained in that way.

An  $n$ -semigroup  $S$  ([...]) is a non-empty set  $S$  with an  $(n+1)$ -ary operation [...] such that for every  $x_j \in S$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$  and every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  the following holds:

$$[[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] x_{n+1} \dots x_{2n}] = [x_0 \dots x_{i-1} [x_i \dots x_{i+n}] x_{i+n+1} \dots x_{2n}]$$

A non-empty subset  $Q$  of an  $n$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be  $n$ -subsemigroup (left ideal) of  $S$  if  $[Q \dots Q] \subseteq Q$  ( $[S \dots SQ] \subseteq Q$ ). An  $n$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup if and only if each  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  is a left ideal in  $S$ .

2. **Cyclic  $n$ -semigroups.** We shall start with some remarks about the cyclic  $n$ -semigroups. Let  $S$  be an  $n$ -semigroup and, for  $a \in S$ , let us put:

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^{k^{n+1}}, \dots\},$$

where:  $a^{n+1} = [a \dots a]$ ,  $a^{(k+1)^{n+1}} = [a \dots a (a^{k^{n+1}})]$ ,  $k > 0$ . Obviously,  $\langle a \rangle$  is an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$ ;  $\langle a \rangle$  is called a cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  and, if  $S = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in S$ , then  $S$  is called a cyclic  $n$ -semigroup.

**Examples.** Let  $N$  be the set of all positive integers and  $N^0 = N \cup \{0\}$ .

1. If we put

$$[a_0 a_1 \dots a_n] = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j, \quad a_j \in N^0,$$

then  $N^0$  becomes a cyclic  $n$ -semigroup such that  $N^0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ . It is easily seen that every cyclic  $n$ -semigroup of infinite order is isomorphic to  $N^0$ .

2. Let  $I_{rm} = \{0, 1, \dots, r + m - 1\}$ ,  $r \in N^o$ ,  $m \in N$ . If we put

$$b = [a_0 a_1 \dots a_n], a_j, b \in I_{rm},$$

where  $b = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$  if  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j < r + m - 1$  and  $b = r + i$ ,  $i \equiv 1 - r + \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \pmod{m}$ , otherwise, then  $I_{rm}$  will turn out to be an  $n$ -semigroup

such that  $I_{rm} = \langle 0 \rangle$ . If  $S = \langle a \rangle$  is a cyclic  $n$ -semigroup of finite order  $k$ , then there exist  $r \in N^o$ ,  $m \in N$ ,  $r + m = k$ , such that  $S$  is isomorphic to  $I_{rm}$ . Nalemy, if  $s$  is the least positive integer with the property  $a^{s+1} = a^{sm+1}$ ,  $r \in N^o$ ,  $r < s$ , then we easily see that  $a^{(i+r)n+1} = a^{(j+r)n+1}$  if and only if  $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$  where  $m = s - r$ , and all the elements in  $S$  are:  $a, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^{(r+m-1)n+1}$ ; then  $f(i) = a^{i^{n+1}}$  is an isomorphism from  $I_{rm}$  to  $S$ . We call  $r$  and  $m$  index and period, respectively, for every  $n$ -semigroup which is isomorphic with  $I_{rm}$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $S = \langle a \rangle$  is a cyclic  $n$ -semigroup with index  $r$  and period  $m$ , then  $K = \{a^{rn+1}, \dots, a^{(r+m-1)n+1}\}$  is an  $n$ -subgroup of  $S \cdot K$  contains an idempotent if and only if  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime and in that case the idempotent element is unique — it is in fact a neutral element in  $K$ .

**Proof.** We call  $K$  an  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$  if for every  $a_j \in K$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and every  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  there exists  $x_k \in K$  such that  $[a_0 \dots a_{k-1} x_k a_{k+1} \dots a_n] = a_k$ . Let  $a_j \in a^{(r+k_j)n+1}$ ,  $b = a^{(r+k)n+1}$ . If  $k < 1 + (n-1)r + \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$

we can choose  $p \in N^o$  such that  $pm - s = 1 + (n-1)r + \sum_{j=1}^n k_j - k$ ,

$0 \leq s < m$ , and if  $k \geq 1 + (n-1)r + \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$ , we shall put  $s = k - 1 - (n-1)r - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$ . If  $x = a^{(r+s)n+1}$ , then  $[a_1 \dots a_{j-1} x a_j \dots a_n] = b$  for

all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and so  $K$  is an  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$ . If  $b^{n+1} = b$ ,  $b \in S$ , we call  $b$  an idempotent in  $S$ . Let  $b = a^{(r+q)n+1}$  be an idempotent in  $K$ ;  $b^{n+1} = a^{(r+(r+q)n+q+1)n+1} = a^{(r+q)n+1} = b$  implies that  $(r+q)n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  which means that  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. Conversely, if  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, from  $um + vn = 1$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  integers, it follows that  $(r+q)n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  if we take  $q$  from  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  such that  $r+q+v \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Then  $b = a^{(r+q)n+1}$  will be an idempotent in  $K$ . If  $b' = a^{(r+q')n+1}$  is an idempotent, then  $(r+q')n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , and so,  $(q-q')n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , i. e.  $q-q' \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  because  $m$  and

$n$  are relatively prime. Finally, since  $0 \leq q, q' < m$ , we get  $q = q'$ , i. e.  $b = b'$ . If  $x \in K$ , from  $(r+q)n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  it follows that  $[b \dots b x b \dots b] = x$  for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and therefore the idempotent  $b$  is in fact a neutral element in  $K$ .

**3. Some general properties of  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroups.** From now on we suppose  $S$  to be a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup. It is obvious that.

**Lemma 2.** Every  $n$ -subsemigroup of a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup is  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup.

**Lemma 3.** An  $n$ -semigroup  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup if and only if  $[S \dots Sa] \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  for all  $a \in S$ .

**Proof.** If  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup and  $a \in S$ , then

$$[S \dots Sa] \subseteq S \dots S \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle.$$

Conversely, if  $Q$  is an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  and  $a \in Q$ , then  $[S \dots Sa] \subseteq \langle a \rangle \subseteq Q$  which implies that  $[S \dots SQ] \subseteq Q$ , i. e.  $Q$  is a left ideal in  $S$  and so  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $x, y \in S$ . Then  $[x \dots xy] = y$  if and only if  $y$  is an idempotent.

**Proof.** Let  $y$  be an idempotent. Then  $\langle y \rangle = \{y\}$  and for every  $x_j \in S, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , by Lemma 3,  $[x_1 \dots x_n y] \in [S \dots S \langle y \rangle] \subseteq \langle y \rangle = \{y\} \subseteq \{[y \dots y]\} \subseteq [S \dots S \langle y \rangle]$ , so,

$$(1) \quad [x_1 \dots x_n y] = y,$$

and the assertion follows from (1). Conversely, let  $[x \dots xy] = y$ . Then  $[y \dots yx] \in \langle x \rangle$  implies  $[y \dots yx] = x^{k^{n+1}}, k \in N^0$ . For  $k = 0$ , i. e. if  $[y \dots yx] = x$ , we have  $y = [x \dots xy] = [[y \dots yx] x \dots xy] = [y \dots y [x \dots xy]] = y^{n+1}$ , so,  $y$  is an idempotent. Let  $k > 0$  and let us write  $x^{kn}y$  instead of  $[(x^{(k-1)n+1})x \dots xy]$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{kn}y &= x^{kn}(x^n y) = [(x^{kn+1})x \dots xy] = \\ &= [(y^n x)x \dots xy] = y^n(x^n y) = y^n y = y^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$y^{n+1} = x^{kn}y = x^{(k-1)n}(x^n y) = x^{(k-1)n}y = \dots = x^n y = y,$$

and again  $y$  is an idempotent.

**Lemma 5.** For every  $a \in S$ ,  $\langle a \rangle$  has finite order. If  $\langle a \rangle$  contains an idempotent, then  $|\langle a \rangle| \leq 3$ . if  $\langle a \rangle$  does not contain any idempotent, then the index of  $\langle a \rangle$  is not greater than 2 and its  $n$ -subgroup  $K_a$  is generated by every of its elements. The set  $E$  of all the idempotents of  $S$  is a right zero  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$ .

**Proof.** If the order of  $\langle a \rangle$  is infinite, then  $T = \langle a^{n+1} \rangle$  will be an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $\langle a \rangle$  which does not contain the element  $a^{2n+1}$ ; by Lemma 2  $\langle a \rangle$  is a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup and  $a^{2n+1} = [a \dots a(a^{n+1})] \in T$  which is a contradiction. Let  $\langle a \rangle = \{a, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^{kn+1}\}$  has an idempotent  $e_a$ , which by Lemma 1 is unique. Let  $K_a$  be the corresponding  $n$ -subgroup of  $\langle a \rangle$  defined as in Lemma 1. If  $x \in K_a$ , taking into account (1) and the fact that  $e_a$  is neutral element in  $K_a$ , we get  $x = [xe_a \dots e_a] = e_a$ , and so  $K_a = \{e_a\}$ . Now, if the order of  $\langle a \rangle$  is greater than 3, i. e. if  $k > 2$ , then  $Q = \{a^{n+1}, a^{3n+1}, \dots, a^{kn+1}\}$ , where  $a^{kn+1} = e_a$ , is an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $a^{2n+1} \in [\langle a \rangle \dots \langle a \rangle Q] \subseteq Q$  which is, again, a contradiction. So,  $k \leq 2$ , i. e.  $|\langle a \rangle| \leq 3$ . Let  $\langle a \rangle$  does not contain any idempotent and let the index of  $\langle a \rangle$  be greater than 2. Then the above applies with the only difference that this time  $a^{kn+1}$  in  $Q$  is not an idempotent. Now, let  $a^{sn+1} \in K_a$ . By Lemma 2 we have that

$$a^{s+1(n+1)n+1} = [a \dots a(a^{sn+1})] \in [\langle a \rangle \dots \langle a \rangle \langle a^{sn+1} \rangle] \subseteq \langle a^{sn+1} \rangle,$$

and then

$$\langle a^{(s+1)n+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle a^{sn+1} \rangle.$$

Continuing in the same way as above, we have that  $a^{(s+2)n+1}$  belongs to  $\langle a^{(s+1)n+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle a^{sn+1} \rangle$  and so on. So,  $\langle a^{sn+1} \rangle$  contains the all elements of  $K_a$  i. e.  $K_a$  is generated by every of its elements. The last statement of the lemma follows from (1).

Let  $J$  be an index set for the family of all  $n$ -subgroups  $K_a$  of  $S$  where  $K_a$  is defined as above, i. e. it is the periodic part of the cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup  $\langle a \rangle$ . Let  $K = \cup \{K_j | j \in J\}$ . By Lemma 2 all  $K_j$  are left ideals in  $S$  which implies that  $K$  is an left ideal in  $S$ , too and therefore  $K$  is an  $n$ -semigroup which is a union of  $n$ -groups. Since  $K_j$  is a left ideal in  $S$ , then  $[SK_j \dots K_j] \subseteq K_j$  and so, all  $K_j$  are left ideals in  $S$  in the sense of the definition in [3]. By the dual of Theorem 1 of [3], all  $K_j$  are isomorphic with  $K_j \times J$  for a fixed  $K_j$  where in  $K_j \times J$  the  $(n+1)$ -ary operation is defined as follows:

$$[(x'_0, j_0) (x_1, j_1) \dots (x_n, j_n)] = ([x_0 x_1 \dots x_n], j_n),$$

$x_k \in K_j, j_k \in J$ , i. e.  $K$  is isomorphic with the direct product of an  $n$ -group  $K_j$  and a right zero  $n$ -semigroup  $J$ . This implies that any two cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroups  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  of  $S$  with the same index are isomorphic, since their corresponding  $n$ -subgroups  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  are isomorphic. If  $G$  is an  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$  and if  $c \in G$ , then there exist some  $d_j \in G, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $c = [d_1 \dots d_n (c^{2n+1})]$  and then, by Lemma 5,  $c \in K_c \subseteq K$ . So, every  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$  is contained in  $K$ .

If  $S$  contains an idempotent  $e$ , then there exists a cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup  $\langle a \rangle$  of  $S$  such that  $e \in \langle a \rangle$  (for example,  $e \in \langle e \rangle$ ). Then by

Lemma 5 we have that  $K_a = \{e\}$ . Now, since all  $K_j$  are isomorphic to each other, we get that every cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  contains an idempotent.

In summary we obtain the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $S$  be a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup. Then:*

(i) *all cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroups of  $S$  with the same index are isomorphic to each other,*

(ii) *the union  $K = U \{K_j \mid j \in J\}$  of the all cyclic  $n$ -subgroups of  $S$  is an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  which is isomorphic to the direct product of an  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$  and a right zero  $n$ -semigroup, and every  $n$ -subgroup of  $S$  is contained in  $K$ ,*

(iii) *if  $S$  contains an idempotent, then every cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  contains unique idempotent.*

If  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup, then by Theorem 1 we have that, either  $S$  does not contain any idempotent, either every cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  contains an idempotent. In the last case we have a similar situation as in the  $\lambda$ -semigroups studied in [2]. In the next part we shall establish some more (general) properties of the  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroups in which every cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup contains an idempotent; if every cyclic  $n$ -subsemigroup of a  $\lambda$ - $n$ -semigroup  $S$  contains an idempotent, then we call  $S$  a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup.

**4. Decomposition of a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup into union of unipotent  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroups.** Throughout this part  $S$  will be a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $[x_1 \dots x_n y] = y$ , then every of the following assertions:*

(i)  *$x_j = z^{n+1}$  for some  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $z \in S$ ,*

(ii)  *$[x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] \neq x_j$  for some  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,*

*implies that  $y$  is an idempotent.*

**Proof.** If (i) holds with  $z = x_j$ , i. e. if some  $x_j$  is an idempotent, then for  $j = 1$ ,  $y = [x_1 \dots x_n y] = [(x_1^{n+1}) x_2 \dots x_n y] = x_1^n [x_1 \dots x_n y] = x_1^n y$  and by Lemma 4  $y$  is an idempotent. If  $j > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} (x_j^{n+1}) x_{j+1} \dots x_n y] = \\ &= [[x_1 \dots x_{j-1} x_j \dots x_j] x_j \dots x_n y]. \end{aligned}$$

By (1),  $[x_1 \dots x_{j-1} x_j \dots x_j] = x_j$ , so  $y = [x_j x_j \dots x_n y]$  with  $x_j$  an idempotent and the previous applies. If  $x_j = z^{n+1}$ ,  $z \in S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} (z^{n+1}) x_{j+1} \dots x_n y] = \\ &= [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} z (z^n x_{j+1}) x_{j+2} \dots x_n y]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $z^n x_{j+1} = e_{j+1}$ , where  $e_{j+1}$  is the idempotent in  $\langle x_{j+1} \rangle$  then we have the case already considered; the same is if  $z^n x_{j+1} = x_{j+1}$ , since in this case, by Lemma 4,  $x_{j+1}$  will be an idempotent. If  $z^n x_{j+1} = x_{j+1}^{n+1}$ , then

$$y = [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} z x_{j+1} (x_{j+1}^n x_{j+2}) x_{j+3} \dots x_n y].$$

Here we can repeat the previous considerations with  $x_{j+1}$  instead of  $z$  and  $x_{j+2}$  instead of  $x_{j+1}$ . Continuing in that way, or, at some part of that chain we shall conclude that  $y$  is an idempotent, or, at the end we shall come to

$$y = [x_1 \dots z x_{j+1} \dots x_{n-1} (x_n^n y)].$$

Here, again each of  $x_n^n y = e_y$  and  $x_n^n y = y$  implies that  $y$  is an idempotent. Finally, if  $x_n^n y = y^{n+1}$ , then  $y = [x_1 \dots z \dots x_{n-1} (y^{n+1})] \in \langle y^{n+1} \rangle = \{y^{n+1}, e_y\}$  because  $|\langle y \rangle| \leq 3$ , and in both cases  $y$  is an idempotent.

If (ii) holds, then any of the following two cases: a)  $[x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] = x_j^{n+1}$  and b)  $[x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] = x_j^{2n+1} (= e_j)$  implies that (i) is satisfied. Namely, when a) is true,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [x_1 \dots x_n y] = [x_1 \dots x_n [x_1 \dots x_n y]] = \\ &= [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} [x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] x_{j+1} \dots x_n y] = \\ &= [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} (x_j^{n+1}) x_{j+1} \dots x_n y, \end{aligned}$$

and when b) is true,  $y = [x_1 \dots x_{j-1} e_j x_{j+1} \dots x_n y]$  with  $e_j$  an idempotent.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $[x_1 \dots x_n y] = y$ . If  $y$  is not an idempotent, then: (i)  $x_j \neq e_j$ ,  $x_j^{n+1} = e_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; (ii)  $x_j = z_j^{n+1}$  for no  $z_j \in S$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; (iii) for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$   $[x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] = x_j$ ; (iv)  $y^{n+1} = e_y$ ,  $y = z^{n+1}$  for no  $z \in S$ .

**Proof.** The statements (ii), (iii) and the first part of (i) are consequences of Lemma 6. Let us prove that  $y^{n+1} = e_y$ ; by Lemma 5  $[y x_1 \dots x_n] \in \{x_n, x_n^{n+1}, x_n^{2n+1} = e_n\}$ . If  $[y x_1 \dots x_n] = x_n$  then  $[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} y x_1] = x_1$  as in the contrary, by lemma 6,  $x_1$  will be an idempotent which the first part of (i). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [x_1 \dots x_n y] = [[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} y x_1] x_2 \dots x_n y] = \\ &= [x_1 \dots x_{n-1} y [x_1 \dots x_n y]] = [x_1 \dots x_{n-1} y y] = \\ &= [x_1 \dots x_{n-1} [x_1 \dots x_n y] y] = [[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] x_3 \dots x_n y y]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] = t$ ; by Lemma 6, from  $[t x_3 \dots x_n y y] = y$ , if  $t = x_2^{n+1}$  or  $t = e_2$ , it would follow that  $y$  is an idempotent. So, it must be  $[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] = x_2$  in which case  $[x_2 \dots x_n y y] \dots = y$ . Continuing in the same way we shall obtain  $y = [x_n y \dots y]$  and then,

$$y = [x_n y \dots y] = [[y x_1 \dots x_n] y \dots y] = [y [x_1 \dots x_n y] y \dots y] = y^{n+1}.$$

This shows that it can not happen to be  $[y x_1 \dots x_n] = x_n$ . If  $[y x_1 \dots x_n] = e_n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} y^{n+1} &= [y [x_1 \dots x_n y] [x_1 \dots x_n y] \dots [x_1 \dots x_n y]] = \\ &= [[y x_1 \dots x_n] [y x_1 \dots x_n] \dots [y x_1 \dots x_n] y] = e_n^n y, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e_n^n (y^{n+1}) = e_n^n (e_n^n y) = [(e_n^{n+1}) e_n \dots e_n y] = e_n^n y = y^{n+1},$$

and by Lemma 4,  $y^{n+1}$  is an idempotent; the idempotent in  $\langle y \rangle$  is unique and  $y^{n+1} \in \langle y \rangle$ , so  $y^{n+1} = e_y$ . Finally, if  $[yx_1 \dots x_n] = x_n^{n+1}$ , then

$$x_n^{n+1} = [yx_1 \dots x_n] = [[x_1 \dots x_n y] x_1 \dots x_n] =$$

$$= [x_1 \dots x_n [yx_1 \dots x_n]] = [x_1 \dots x_n (x_n^{n+1})] = [[x_1 \dots x_n x_n] x_n \dots x_n].$$

Now,  $[x_1 \dots x_n x_n] = e_n$  or  $x_n^{n+1}$  implies  $x_n^{n+1} = e_n$  and we have the previous situation. If  $[x_1 \dots x_n x_n] = x_n$ , then

$$y = [x_1 \dots x_{n-1} [x_1 \dots x_n x_n] y] = [[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] x_3 \dots x_n x_n y].$$

$[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] \neq x_2$  implies  $y = [ux_3 \dots x_n x_n y]$  with  $u = y^{n+1}$  which, by Lemma 6, implies that  $y$  is an idempotent; so, we must take  $[x_1 \dots x_{n-1} x_1 x_2] = x_2$  and then,

$$y = [x_2 \dots x_n x_n y] = [x_2 \dots x_{n-1} [x_n x_2 \dots x_n x_n] x_n y].$$

From  $y = [x_2 \dots x_n x_n y]$ , by (iii) of this Lemma, it follows that  $x_n = [x_n x_2 \dots x_n x_n]$ , and by similar reasons as before,  $[x_2 \dots x_{n-1} x_n x_2 x_3] = x_3$ . So,

$$y = [[x_2 \dots x_{n-1} x_n x_2 x_3] x_4 \dots x_n x_n x_n y] = [x_3 \dots x_n x_n y_n y].$$

Continuing in the same way we shall come to  $y = [x_n \dots x_n y]$  which implies that  $y$  is an idempotent. Summarizing all we have done till now we can conclude that  $y^{n+1} = e_y$ . If we apply this to  $[x_j \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_j] = x_j$ , taking into account that  $x_j$  is not an idempotent, we shall get that  $x_j^{n+1} = e_j$  which completes the statement (i). To complete the proof of the Lemma we have, finally, to prove that  $y = z^{n+1}$  for no  $z \in S$ . If  $y = z^{n+1}$  for some  $z \in S$ , then

$$y = [x_1 \dots x_n y] = [x_1 \dots x_n (x_n z^{n+1})] = [[x_1 \dots x_n z] z \dots z]$$

implies that  $y = e_z$ . Namely, if  $[x_1 \dots x_n z] = z$ , by the first part of (iv); we have  $z = e_z$  or  $z^{n+1} = e_z$  and in both cases  $y = e_z$ ; if  $[x_1 \dots x_n z] = z^{n+1}$ , then  $y = z^{2^{n+1}} = e_z$ , and if  $[x_1 \dots x_n z] = z^{2^{n+1}} = e_z$ , it is obvious that  $y = e_z$ . From  $y = z^{n+1} \in \langle z \rangle$  it follows that  $e_y = e_z$ , since  $\langle z \rangle$  contains unique idempotent.

Let us put  $S(e) = \{x \in S \mid \text{the idempotent of } \langle x \rangle \text{ is } e\}$ ,  $T = \{x \in S \mid x \neq e_x, x^{n+1} = e_x, \text{ there is no } z \in S \text{ such that } x = z^{n+1}\}$ , and  $R = T \cup E$ , where  $E$  is the set of all the idempotents in  $S$ . Let  $Q$  be an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  with the following properties:  $Q$  contains unique idempotent and, every  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  which contains the idempotent  $Q$  as its unique idempotent is contained in  $Q$ . Then we call  $Q$  the *maximal unipotent  $n$ -subsemigroup* of  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $S$  be a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup. Then:

- (i)  $R$  is a left ideal in  $S$ ,  
(ii)  $S = \cup \{S(e) \mid e \in E\}$ , disjoint union, and  $E$  right zero  $n$ -subsemigroup of all the idempotents of  $S$ ,  
(iii) Every  $S(e)$  is a left ideal in  $S$ ;  $S(e)$  is the maximal unipotent  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  with  $e$  as its idempotent which is a zero in  $S(e)$ .

**Proof.** If  $x_j \in R$  and  $y = [x_0 x_1 \dots x_n]$ , then there are two possibilities for  $y$ :  $y = e_n$ ; in the first case  $y \in E$  and in the second one, by Lemma 7,  $y \in T$ . This proves (i). The part (ii) of the Theorem follows from Lemma 5 and the definitions of  $S(e)$  and of  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup. If  $y_j \in S(e)$ , then from  $y = [y_0 y_1 \dots y_n] \in \langle y_n \rangle$  it follows that the idempotent  $e$  corresponds to  $y$ , i. e.  $y \in S(e)$ , so  $S(e)$  is an  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  and therefore a left ideal in  $S$ . By (1),  $[z_1 \dots z_n e] = e$ , for every  $z_j \in S(e)$ , and then,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= [z_1 \dots z_n (z_n^{k^n+1})] = [z_1 \dots z_{n-1} (z_n^{k^n+1}) z_n] = \\ &= [z_1 \dots z_{n-1} e z_n] = [z_1 \dots z_{n-1} (z_{n-1}^{s^n+1}) z_n] = \\ &= [z_1 \dots z_{n-2} (z_{n-1}^{s^n+1}) z_{n-1} z_n] = [z_1 \dots z_{n-2} e z_{n-1} z_n] = \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $k, s, \dots$  are some of the integers  $0, 1, 2$ . This proves that  $e$  is a zero in  $S(e)$ . Finally, let  $Q$  be a unipotent  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$  with  $e$  as its idempotent. If  $x \in Q$ , then  $\langle x \rangle \subset Q$ ;  $Q$  is unipotent and so, the corresponding idempotent of  $x$  must be  $e$ , i. e.  $x \in S(e)$  which proves that  $S(e)$  is the maximal unipotent  $n$ -subsemigroup of  $S$ .

The left ideal  $R$  of Theorem 2 is itself a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup. We call  $R$  the *reduced  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup*. If  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ -semigroup and  $R$  its corresponding reduced  $\lambda$ -subsemigroup, then  $xy = e_y$  for every  $x, y \in R$ . This is not the case when  $S$  is a  $\overline{\lambda}$ -semigroup if  $n > 1$ , as the following example shows:

**Example.** Let  $R = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, e\}$  and let us define an  $(n+1)$ -ary operation by;  $[a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_j] = a_j$ ,  $[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = e$  otherwise. It is easy to see that  $R$  is an  $n$ -semigroup and that  $[R \dots R a_j] = \{a_j, e\} = \langle a_j \rangle$  which, by Lemma 3 implies that  $R$  is a  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup;  $R$  is the reduced  $\overline{\lambda}$ - $n$ -semigroup such that  $E = \{e\}$  and  $T = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. C. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups* Providence, 1961  
[2] N. Kimura, T. Tamura and R. Merkel, Semigroups in which all subsemigroups are left ideals, *Canad. J. Math.*, 17, N, 1 (1965), 52—62  
[3] Б. Л. Трпеноски, за некои  $n$ -полугрупи што се унији од  $n$ -групи, *Билтен ДМФ на СРМ*, т. 16, 1965, 11—17.