

ON LEFT-IDEAL n -SEMIGROUPS

Branko Trpenovski, Naum Celakoski

A structure description of the class of n -semigroups in which every n -subsemigroup is a left ideal, is given in this note.

1. Introduction

Let $S = (S, [\])$ be an n -semigroup, $[\] : (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow [x_0 x_1 \dots x_n]$. We call S a *left-ideal n -semigroup* iff every n -subsemigroup Q of S is a left ideal of S , i. e.

$$Q \subseteq S, [Q^{n+1}] \subseteq Q \Rightarrow [S^n Q] \subseteq Q.$$

If S contains an idempotent, then every cyclic n -subsemigroup of S has an idempotent ([1], Th. 1 (iii)). The structure of such n -semigroups is described in [2]. We shall give here a structure description of left-ideal n -semigroups, from which will follow, as a corollary, another description of left-ideal n -semigroups with idempotents ([2]).

We shall use here the following results from [1]:

Lemma 1. *If S is a left-ideal n -semigroup, then for any $a \in S$, the cyclic n -subsemigroup*

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a, a^{n+1}, a^{2n+1}, \dots\}$$

is finite, such that:

- (i) *the index $r = r_a$ of a is not greater than 2;*
- (ii) *the n -subgroup K_a (the periodic part) of $\langle a \rangle$,*

$$K_a = \{a^{rn+1}, a^{(r+1)n+1}, \dots, a^{(r+m-1)n+1}\}$$

is generated by any of its elements. ■

Lemma 2. *An n -semigroup S is left-ideal n -semigroup iff for any $a \in S$*

$$[S^n a] \subseteq \langle a \rangle. \blacksquare$$

So, if S is a left-ideal n -semigroup, then S contains an n -group which is a left ideal of S and therefore the class \mathcal{L} of left-ideal n -semigroups is a subclass of the class \mathcal{M} of n -semigroups described in [3]. Note that \mathcal{L} is a proper subclass of \mathcal{M} . Namely, according to Theorem of [3], $S \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $S \cong \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$, where G is an n -group; $S \in \mathcal{L}$ only if G in the above description is an n -group which is generated by any of its elements and clearly $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{M}$. This implies that the structure of the n -semigroups in \mathcal{L} should be simpler than the structure of those in \mathcal{M} .

Let us state one definition and two lemmas before we consider the main question of this paper.

An n -group G is said to be *elementary* iff it is generated by any of its elements. As a consequence of Lemma 1 and 2 we have:

Lemma 3. *An n -group G is left-ideal iff it is elementary. \blacksquare*

We have the following description ([4] pp. 283—285) of elementary n -groups:

Lemma 4. *A nontrivial cyclic n -group of order g is elementary iff g is a prime.*

2. A structure description of left-ideal n -semigroups

Now we are interested in finding necessary and sufficient conditions for $\langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$ to be a left-ideal n -semigroup, making an appropriate modification in the Theorem of [3].

First, let $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$ be a left-ideal n -semigroup, where:

- (1) $S = (G \times A) \cup P$ and $(G \times A) \cap P = \emptyset$;
- (2) G is an elementary n -group;
- (3) A is a right-zero n -semigroup;
- (4) P is a partial n -semigroup;

(5) $\varphi : P \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism, which can be extended to a mapping from S into G by

$$(\forall (x, \alpha) \in G \times A) \quad \varphi(x, \alpha) = x;$$

(6) $\xi : P \rightarrow T_A$ is a homomorphism from P into the semigroup T_A of all transformations on A such that

$$p_0 \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n \in P, \quad p_0 p_1 \dots p_n \notin P \rightarrow \xi_{p_0} \xi_{p_1} \dots \xi_{p_n} \text{ is a constant};$$

(7) ξ can be extended to a mapping from S into T_A by

$$(\forall (x, \alpha) \in G \times A) \xi(x, \alpha) = \xi_{(x, \alpha)},$$

where $(\forall \gamma \in A) \gamma \xi_{(x, \alpha)} = \alpha$;

(8) the operation $[]$ in S is defined by

$$[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = \begin{cases} x_0 x_1 \dots x_n & \text{if } x_i \in P \text{ and } x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \in P, \\ (\varphi(x_0) \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n), \gamma \xi_{x_0} \xi_{x_1} \dots \xi_{x_n}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where γ is an arbitrary element of A .

Lemma 5. *If $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$ and $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \in P$, then*

$$x_0 x_1 \dots x_n = x_n^{s_{n+1}} \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since S is a left-ideal n -semigroup, by Lemma 2 we have $[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] \in \langle x_n \rangle$ for any $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$. Since, by hypothesis, $[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \in P$, according to (8) it follows that $[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = x_n^{s_{n+1}} \in P$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

A partial n -semigroup P is said to be *partial left-ideal n -semigroup* iff $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in P, [x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] \in P \Rightarrow (\exists s \in \mathbb{N}) [x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = x_n^{s_{n+1}}$.

Lemma 6. $(\forall a \in P) \langle a \rangle \not\subseteq P, P$ being as in (4).

Proof. If some $x_i \notin P$, then by (8), $[x_1 \dots x_n a] \notin P$. On the other hand, if $\langle a \rangle \subseteq P$, since $[x_1 \dots x_n a] \in \langle a \rangle$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, it would be obtained $[x_1 \dots x_n a] \in P$, which is a contradiction. ■

Let us describe the cyclic n -subsemigroups of S .

Let $a \in S$. By Lemma 6, $a^{s_{n+1}} \notin P$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If k is the least integer with this property and $a^{k_{n+1}} = (g, \theta) \in G \times A$, then we write $\theta = \theta_a$. Then

$$\langle a^{k_{n+1}} \rangle = \langle (g, \theta_a) \rangle = (\langle g \rangle, \theta_a),$$

for A is a right-zero n -semigroup. Since G is generated by any of its elements we have that $\langle a^{k_{n+1}} \rangle = G \times \{\theta_a\}$. So

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^{(k-1)n+1}\} \cup G \times \{\theta_a\}.$$

By Lemma 1 (i), $r_a \leq 2$ and so $a^{2n+1} \in K_a$, which by Lemma 1 (ii), implies that $a^{2n+1} = (a^{k_{n+1}})^{s_{n+1}} \in G \times \{\theta_a\}$, for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

From the above discussion it follows that:

Lemma 7. For any $a \in S$

$$\langle a \rangle = L_a \cup G \times \{\theta_a\},$$

where $L_a = \{a, a^{n+1}, \dots, a^{(k-1)n+1}\}$.

If S is left-ideal, then: $L_a = \emptyset$ if $a \notin P$, $L_a = \{a\}$ if $a \in P$ and $a^{n+1} \notin P$, $L_a = \{a, a^{n+1}\}$ if $a, a^{n+1} \in P$. ■

If $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$ is a left-ideal n -semigroup, we can replace ξ by a homomorphism $\psi : P \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$(9) \quad (\forall p \in P) \quad \psi(p) = \theta_p,$$

θ_p being as above.

Clearly, by Lemma 6, ψ is a mapping. It remains to show that ψ is a homomorphism. Let $p_1, \dots, p_n, p \in P$. By Lemmas 2 and 6, $q = [p_1 \dots p_n p] \in \langle p \rangle \subseteq P$ and so, for any $\gamma \in A$

$$\gamma \xi_{p_1} \dots \xi_{p_n} \xi_p = \gamma \xi_{[p_1 \dots p_n p]} = \gamma \xi_{p^{sn+1}}$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p^{sn+1} \notin P$, then $\gamma \xi_{p^{sn+1}} = \theta_p$, since (by Lemma 7) $p^{sn+1} \in G \times \{\theta_p\}$. If $p^{sn+1} \in P$, then for $q = p_1 \dots p_n p$, we have that $q^{kn+1} \in G \times \{\theta_q\}$ for some k and $q^{kn+1} = (p^{sn+1})^{kn+1}$ is not in P . Therefore, $q^{kn+1} \in G \times \{\theta_p\}$ and $\theta_q = \theta_p$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p_1 \dots p_n p) &= \psi([p_1 \dots p_n p]) = \theta_p = \theta_{p_1} \dots \theta_{p_n} \theta_p = \\ &= \psi(p_1) \dots \psi(p_n) \psi(p). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that ψ can be extended to a homomorphism from S into A by putting

$$(9') \quad (\forall (x, \alpha) \in G \times A) \quad \psi(x, \alpha) = \alpha.$$

Lemma 8. If $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$ is a left-ideal n -semigroup, then there is a homomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow A$ such that the operation in S is

$$(10) \quad [x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = \begin{cases} x_0 x_1 \dots x_n & \text{if } x_i \in P \text{ and } x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \in P, \\ (\varphi(x_0) \dots \varphi(x_n), \psi(x_0) \dots \psi(x_n)) & \text{otherwise.} \quad \blacksquare \end{cases}$$

The n -semigroup obtained from $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \xi \rangle$ by replacing ξ by ψ and the operation defined by (10), will be written by $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \psi \rangle$.

Now we shall consider the converse question.

Let $S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \psi \rangle$, where G is an elementary n -group, A is a right-zero n -semigroup, P is a partial left-ideal n -semigroup such that $\langle a \rangle \not\subseteq P$ for any $a \in S$, φ, ψ are homomorphisms defined by (5), (9) and (9') and the operation is defined by (10). Then S is a left-ideal n -semigroup which is seen from the following discussion.

By Lemma 2, it suffices to prove that for any $a \in S$

$$[S^n a] \subseteq \langle a \rangle.$$

Therefore, consider the element $u = [x_1 \dots x_n a] \in S$. If $u \in P$, then $u = a^{s^{n+1}}$ (since P is a partial left-ideal n -semigroup), i.e. $u \in \langle a \rangle$. If $u \notin P$, then by the definition (10) of the operation in S , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1 \dots x_n a] &= (\varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \varphi(a), \psi(x_1) \dots \psi(x_n) \psi(a)) = \\ &= (\varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \varphi(a), \psi(a)) \in G \times \{\theta_a\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by the first part of Lemma 7, implies that u belongs to $\langle a \rangle$. Hence $[S^n a] \subseteq \langle a \rangle$.

Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 1. *An n -semigroup S is a left-ideal n -semigroup iff*

$$S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \psi \rangle,$$

where G is an elementary n -group, A is a right-zero n -semigroup, P is a partial left-ideal n -semigroup such that $\langle a \rangle \not\subseteq P$ for any $a \in S$ and φ, ψ are homomorphisms such that (5), (9) and (9') hold. \blacksquare

3. Examples and notes

1) Let G be an elementary n -group, A a right-zero n -semigroup. Then $S = G \times A$ is a left-ideal n -semigroup. \parallel

2) Let G and A be as in 1) and P a nonempty set disjoint with $G \times A$. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow G$ and $\psi : P \rightarrow A$ be any mappings. Then $S = (G \times A) \cup P$ will become a left-ideal n -semigroup if an $(n+1)$ -ary operation in S is defined by

$$[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = (\varphi(x_0) \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n), \psi(x_n)). \parallel$$

3) Taking G and A to be as in 1), let $P = B \cup C$, $B \cap C = \emptyset$, $(G \times A) \cap P = \emptyset$ and $\varphi : P \rightarrow G$, $\psi : P \rightarrow A$, $f : B \rightarrow C$ be mappings such that for any $x \in B$

$$\varphi f(x) = [\varphi(x)]^{n+1},$$

$$\psi f(x) = \psi(x).$$

Define a partial $(n+1)$ -ary operation in P by

$$(\forall x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in B) \quad x_0 x_1 \dots x_n = f(x_n).$$

(Note that from this we have $f(x) = x^{n+1}$ for any $x \in B$.)

By a straightforward verification it can be seen that $\langle G, A, P, \varphi, \psi \rangle$ becomes a left-ideal n -semigroup. Note that

$$a \in B \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle = \{a, a^{n+1}\} \cup \langle (\varphi(a), \psi(a)) \rangle,$$

$$a \in C \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle = \{a\} \cup \langle (\varphi(a), \psi(a)) \rangle. \parallel$$

4) Let $G = \{e'\}$ and $A = \{\alpha\}$. Put $G \times A = \{e\}$. Let B, C, D be nonempty pairwise disjoint sets and $P = B \cup C \cup D$, where $e \notin P$. Let $g: B \rightarrow C$ be a surjection. Put $Q = B \cup D$ and define a function $f: Q^n \times B \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ (here Q^n denotes the n -th Cartesian power of the set Q) such that

$$(\forall x \in B) \quad f(x, \dots, x) = 1.$$

Define a partial $(n+1)$ -ary operation in P by

$$x_0 x_1 \dots x_n = g(x_n) \text{ iff } f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 1.$$

Note that $g(x) = x^{n+1}$ for any $x \in B$. It is easily seen that P is a partial left-ideal n -semigroup. Define an $(n+1)$ -ary operation in $S = P \cup \{e\}$ by

$$[x_0 x_1 \dots x_n] = \begin{cases} x_0 x_1 \dots x_n & \text{if it is defined in } P, \\ e & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then S becomes a left-ideal n -semigroup. \parallel

Note 1. If we take $n=1$ in the example 4), then we come to the structure description of unipotent left-ideal semigroups, given in [5] and [6].

Note 2. To obtain the structure description of the unipotent left-ideal n -semigroups of [2], it is necessary, in the example 4), to define a partial $(n+1)$ -ary operation in D in an appropriate way; namely, $D \cup \{e\}$ should become a reduced left-ideal n -semigroup if we put $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n = e$ whenever the product $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$ is not defined in D .

Note 3. Taking T to be one-element set and A to contain more than one element, it could be constructed an example which will correspond to the structure description of left-ideal n -semigroups with more than one idempotent. However, we are not going to state this example here because it includes to much technicalities.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Trpenovski: "On a class of n -semigroups", Bilten na DMF od SRM, Skopje, kn. XXIV (1973), 73—80.
- [2] B. Trpenovski: "n-semigroups with idempotents in which all n -subsemigroups are left ideals", God. zb. na EMF, Skopje, kn. 7 (1974), 5—11.
- [3] Ж. Мадевски: „ n -асоцијативи во кои некој лев идеал е n -група“, Год. зб. ПМФ, Скопје, кн. 17—18 (1966—1977), 5—10.
- [4] E. L. Post: "Polyadic groups", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 48 (1940), 208—350.
- [5] N. Kimura, T. Tamura, R. Merkel: "Semigroups in which all subsemigroups are left ideals", Canad. J. Math., 17, No 1 (1965), 52—62.
- [6] Э. Г. Шутов: „Полугруппы с идеальными подполугруппами“, Мат. сб. т. 57 (99): 1 Москва (1962), 179 — 186

Б. Трпеновски, Н. Целакоски

ЗА ЛЕВОИДЕАЛНИТЕ n -ПОЛУГРУПИ

Резиме

За една n -полугрупа S велме дека е *левоидеална* ако секоја n -потполугрупа е лев идеал на S . Еден структурен опис на левоидеалните n -полугрупи со идемпотенти е даден во [2]. Во оваа статија даваме структурен опис на левоидеалните n -полугрупи (било да имаат, било да немаат идемпотенти), т.е. главна цел ни е да ја докажеме следнава

Теорема. Една n -полугрупа S е левоидеална ако и само ако

$$S = \langle G, A, P, \varphi, \psi \rangle,$$

каде што G е елементарна n -група (т.е. n -група којашто е генерирана од секој свој елемент), A е деснонулта n -полугрупа, P е делумна левоидеална n -полугрупа (т.е. делумна n -полугрупа за која важи условот што стои непосредно пред лемата 6), таква што $\langle a \rangle \subseteq P$ за кој било $a \in S$ и φ, ψ се хомоморфизми такви што да важат (5), (9) и (9').

На крајот се даваат неколку примери на левоидеални n -полугрупи и неколку забелешки.