

A SET OF SEMIGROUP n -VARIETIES

Ā. Āupona

Let $\underline{S}=(S; \cdot)$ be a semigroup and $\underline{Q}=(Q; [])$ be an n -semigroup such that $\underline{Q} \subseteq \underline{S}$ and $[a_1 \dots a_n] = a_1 \dots a_n$, for any $a_i \in Q$. Then, \underline{Q} is called an n -subsemigroup of \underline{S} . If \underline{V} is a semigroup variety, then we denote by $\underline{V}(n)$ the class of n -semigroups that are n -subsemigroups of \underline{V} -semigroups, and it is well known that $\underline{V}(n)$ is a quasivariety of n -semigroups. (See, for example [6; p.274], or [3].) We say that \underline{V} is an n -variety iff $\underline{V}(n)$ is a variety of n -semigroups; otherwise, i.e. if $\underline{V}(n)$ is a proper quasivariety, \underline{V} is called a quasi n -variety. (Clearly, $\underline{V}(2) = \underline{V}$ for every semigroup variety). It is well known that both the set of semigroup n -varieties, and the set of semigroup quasi n -varieties are infinite for any $n \geq 3$. The same is true for the varieties of abelian semigroups. (The corresponding results can be found in [1], [7], [8] and [2]). Here we establish a sufficient condition for a semigroup variety to be an n -variety. It is shown that almost all the known n -varieties satisfy that condition, and some new examples are obtained.

0. PRELIMINARIES

0.1. Let $X=(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be an infinite countable set, elements of which are called variables and let X^+ be the free semigroup on X . Elements of X^+ are called semigroup terms, and if ξ, η are semigroup terms, then (ξ, η) is said to be a semigroup identity. A semigroup $\underline{S}=(S; \cdot)$ satisfies a semigroup identity $(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}, x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_q})$ if for every sequence a_1, a_2, \dots of elements of S the following equation holds in \underline{S} : $a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_p} = a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_q}$. If Λ is a set of semigroup identities, then by $\text{Var} \Lambda$ we denote the variety of semigroups which satisfy all the semigroup identities belonging to Λ . The complete system $\langle \Lambda \rangle$ of semigroup identities which are consequences of Λ is the transitive extension of Λ , where:

$$\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cup \Lambda^{-1} \cup \{(\xi, \xi) \mid \xi \in X^+\},$$

$$\Lambda_1 = \{(\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}, \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_q}) \mid (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}, x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_q}) \in \Lambda_0, \xi_k \in X^+,$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \{(\xi_1 \dots \xi_s, \eta_1 \dots \eta_s) \mid (\xi_k, \eta_k) \in \Lambda_1, s \geq 1\}.$$

(See also [4] or [5].)

If $\xi \in X^+$ and $x_i \in X$, then we denote by $|\xi|_i$ the number of occurrences of x_i in ξ , and thus $|\xi| = \sum |\xi|_i$ is the length of ξ .

A semigroup term ξ is said to be (n, Λ) -irreducible iff $(\xi, n) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$ implies $|\xi| \equiv |\eta| \pmod{n-1}$. Otherwise, i.e. if there is a $\zeta \in X^+$ such that $(\xi, \zeta) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$ and $|\xi| \not\equiv |\zeta| \pmod{n-1}$, then ξ is (n, Λ) -reducible.

0.2. To every set Λ of semigroup identities we associate an index $r = \text{ind } \Lambda$ and a period $m = \text{per } \Lambda$. First, if $|\xi|_i = |\eta|_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and for every semigroup identity $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda$, then we write $\text{ind } \Lambda = 1$, $\text{per } \Lambda = 0$. (Namely, this is satisfied iff the variety of abelian semigroups ABSEM is a subvariety of $\text{Var } \Lambda$). Assume now that there exists a semigroup identity $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda$ and an integer $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $|\xi|_i \neq |\eta|_i$. Then, $\text{per } \Lambda$ and $\text{ind } \Lambda$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } \Lambda &= \text{g.c.d.} \{ |\xi|_i - |\eta|_i \mid (\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda, i \in \{1, 2, \dots\} \}, \\ \text{ind } \Lambda &= \min \{ |\xi| \mid (\exists \eta) (\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda, |\xi| \neq |\eta| \}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that $\text{ind } \Lambda = \text{ind } \langle \Lambda \rangle$ and $\text{per } \Lambda = \text{per } \langle \Lambda \rangle$, and thus we can say that $\text{ind } \Lambda (\text{per } \Lambda)$ is the index (the period) of the variety $\text{Var } \Lambda$. We notice that if $m = \text{per } \Lambda > 0$ and $r = \text{ind } \Lambda$, then $(x_1^r, x_1^{r+m}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, and moreover if $(x_1^s, x_1^{s+k}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, where $k \geq 1$, then $s \geq r$ and m is a divisor of k .

0.3. Let $Q = (Q; [])$ be an n -semigroup. Then the general associative law holds, i.e. for any $k \geq 1$ and $a_0, \dots, a_{k(n-1)} \in Q$, the "product" $[a_0 \dots a_{k(n-1)}]$ is uniquely determined in Q ; we also write $[a] = a$, for every $a \in Q$.

If (ξ, η) is a semigroup identity such that $|\xi| \equiv |\eta| \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$ then it can be also interpreted as an n -semigroup identity. And, if every semigroup identity $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda$ is an n -semigroup identity, then we denote by $\text{Var}_n \Lambda$ the variety of n -semigroups which satisfy all the n -semigroup identities $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda$.

Assume now that Λ is a set of semigroup identities, and denote by $\Lambda^{[n]}$, the set of n -semigroup identities belonging to $\langle \Lambda \rangle$. It is clear that if $V = \text{Var } \Lambda$, $V_n = \text{Var}_n \Lambda^{[n]}$, then $V(n) \subseteq V_n$. Moreover: V is an n -variety iff $V(n) = V_n$.

1. MAIN RESULT

Theorem. Let $V = \text{Var } \Lambda$ be a semigroup variety with a period m , and let $n \geq 2$ be such that the following condition is satisfied:

- If ξ is an (n, Λ) -reducible semigroup term, then there
 (a) exist $x, y \in X$ such that $(x^{km} \xi, \xi), (\xi, \xi y^{km}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, for every positive integer k .

Then V is an n -variety.

The proof will be given in three steps, and the condition (a) will be not assumed in the first two of them.

1.1. Let $\underline{Q} = (Q; \Lambda)$ be an n -semigroup and let Q_Λ be the free semigroup in V with a basis Q . Thus, Q is a generating subset of Q_Λ , and if a_1, a_2, \dots is a set of different elements of Q then $a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_p} = a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_q}$ iff $(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}, x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_q}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$. Define a relation \vdash in Q_Λ by: $\dots a_1 \dots \vdash \dots a_0 \dots a_{k(n-1)} \dots$, where $a = [a_0 \dots a_{k(n-1)}]$ in \underline{Q} . Let \vdash be the symmetric extension of \vdash , and \approx be the transitive extension of \vdash . The following two propositions are obvious.

1.1.1. \approx is a congruence on the semigroup Q_Λ .

1.1.2. $\underline{Q} \in V(n)$ iff the following statement is satisfied:

$$a, b \in Q \implies (a \approx b \implies a = b).$$

1.2. Assume now that $\underline{Q} \in V_n = \text{Var}_n \Lambda^{[n]}$, and that $Q_\Lambda, \vdash, \vdash, \approx$ are defined as in 1.1. A partial mapping $u \mapsto [u]$ from Q_Λ in Q can be defined in a usual way. Namely, $u \in Q_\Lambda$ is in the domain of $[]$ iff $u = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k(n-1)}$, where $a_v \in Q$, and then the "value" $[u]$ of u is defined by $[u] = [a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k(n-1)}]$. The assumption $\underline{Q} \in V_n$ implies that $[]$ is a well defined partial mapping.

Let a_1, a_2, \dots be different elements of Q , and let $u = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_p}$. We say that u is irreducible (reducible) iff the semigroup term $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}$ is (n, Λ) -irreducible ((n, Λ) -reducible).

The following three proposition can be easily shown.

1.2.1. If $u \in Q_\Lambda$ is in the domain of $[]$, then $[u] \vdash u$.

1.2.2. Let $u, v \in Q_\Lambda$ be such that $u \sim v$, and u is irreducible. If u is in the domain of $[]$, then v is also in the domain of $[]$ and moreover $[u] = [v]$.

1.2.3. V is an n -variety iff every $Q \in V_n$ satisfies the following condition. If $u, v \in Q_\Lambda$ are in the domain of $[]$ and $u \sim v$, then $[u] = [v]$.

From 1.2.2 and 1.2.3 we obtain the following proposition.

1.2.4. If every semigroup term is (n, Λ) -irreducible, then $V = \text{Var } \Lambda$ is an n -variety.

1.3. The proof of Theorem will be completed here, by assuming that the condition (α) is satisfied.

If $m=0$, then all the semigroup terms are (n, Λ) -irreducible, and by 1.2.4 we obtain that V is an n -variety. Thus, we can assume that $m > 0$.

Let $Q \in V_n$, and $u, v \in Q_\Lambda$ be such that $u \sim v$ and both u and v are in the domain of $[]$. By 1.2.3 we have to show that $[u] = [v]$.

From $u \sim v$ it follows that there exists a sequence $w_1, \dots, w_k \in Q_\Lambda$ such that $k \geq 0$ and $u \sim w_1 \sim w_2 \sim \dots \sim w_k \sim v$. If one of u, v is irreducible, then, by 1.2.2, the sequence u, w_1, \dots, w_k, v can be shortened in the case $k > 0$, and we have $[u] = [v]$ in the case $k = 0$. Thus we can assume that both u and v are reducible.

Let s be such that $w = w_s$ is reducible, and w_t is irreducible for any $t < s$. (If w_1 is reducible, then $w = w_1$, and $w = v$ if all the w_1, \dots, w_k are irreducible.)

The condition (α) implies that there exist $a, b \in Q$ such that $u = a^{im}u$, $w = wb^{jm}$, for any pair of positive integers i, j . The assumption u to be in the domain of $[]$ implies that i can be chosen in such a way that all the members of the sequence $a^{im}w_1, \dots, a^{im}w_{s-1}, a^{im}w$ are in the domain of $[]$. Then we also have: $u \sim a^{im}w_1 \sim \dots \sim a^{im}w$, and this implies that $[u] = [a^{im}w] = \dots = [a^{im}w]$. Let j be such that $j(n-1)m \geq r$, where r is the index of V . Then we have: $w = wb^{j(n-1)m}$, $b^{j(n-1)m+im}$

$=b^{j(n-1)m}$, and this implies that: $a^{im}ub^{j(n-1)m} = ub^{j(n-1)m+im}$.

Therefore we have:

$$a^{im}_w = a^{im}wb^{j(n-1)m} \mid \dots \mid a^{im}ub^{j(n-1)m} = ub^{j(n-1)m+im},$$

and:

$$ub^{j(n-1)m+im} \mid w_1b^{j(n-1)m+im} \mid \dots \mid wb^{j(n-1)m+im} = w$$

Finally, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [u] &= [a^{im}_w] = [a^{im}ub^{j(n-1)m}] = [ub^{j(n-1)m+im}] = \\ &= \dots = [wb^{j(n-1)m+im}] = [w]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem.

2. COROLLARIES

Cor. 1. If ABSEM is a subvariety of a variety V , then V is an n -variety for any $n \geq 2$.

Proof. The assumption is equivalent to the statement that $\text{per}V=0$, and then all the semigroup terms are (n, Λ) -irreducible for every $n \geq 2$.

Cor. 2. Let m be a non-negative integer and $n \geq 2$ be such that $n-1$ is a divisor of m . If V is a semigroup variety with a period m , then V is an n -variety.

Proof. If $V = \text{Var} \Lambda$, then every semigroup term is (n, Λ) -irreducible. (Clearly Cor. 1 is a special case of Cor. 2.)

Cor. 3. If V is a semigroup variety with an index $r=1$, then V is an n -variety for every $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $m = \text{per}V$. If $m=0$, then we can apply Cor. 1, and thus we can assume that $m > 0$. If $\xi = x_1 \dots x_j$, and $k > 0$, then we have: $(x_1^{km} \xi, \xi), (\xi, \xi x_j^{km}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, where $V = \text{Var} \Lambda$. Thus, the condition (a) is satisfied.

A semigroup variety $V = \text{Var} \Lambda$ is a variety of periodic groups iff $\text{ind}V=1$, $\text{per} \Lambda = m \geq 1$ and $(x_1 x_2^m, x_1), (x_1^m x_2, x_2) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$. From Cor. 3 we obtain the following one:

Cor. 4. A variety of periodic groups is an n -variety for every $n \geq 2$.

Cor. 5. Let $\mathcal{V} = \text{Var } \Lambda$ be a variety of abelian semigroups with an index r , and let the following condition be satisfied:

(β) If (ξ, η) is a nontrivial semigroup-identity belonging to Λ , i.e. $(\xi, \eta) \in \Lambda$ is such that $|\xi|_i \neq |\eta|_i$ for some $i \geq 1$, then there exist $j, k \geq 1$ such that $|\xi|_j \geq r$ and $|\eta|_k \geq r$.

Then \mathcal{V} is an n -variety for every $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We notice first that $\langle \Lambda \rangle$ also satisfies the condition (β). If $r=1$, then the conclusion follows from Cor. 3. Thus we can assume that $r > 1$ and $m > 0$. Let ξ be an (n, Λ) -reducible semigroup-term. Then there is a semigroup term η such that $(\xi, \eta) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, and $|\xi| = |\eta| \pmod{n-1}$. Therefore, $|\xi|_i \neq |\eta|_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and this implies that there is an $x_j \in X$ such that $|\xi|_j \geq r$. Thus, we have $(x_j^{km} \xi, \xi), (\xi, \xi x_j^{km}) \in \langle \Lambda \rangle$, for any $k > 0$, and we can apply Theorem.

Cor. 5. $A_{r,m} = \text{Var}\{x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1, x_1^r = x_1^{r+m}\}$ is an n -variety for every $n \geq 2$, $r \geq 1$, $m \geq 0$. (This is in fact Theorem 2 of [1].)

Cor. 6. Denote by $\Delta_{(k)}$ the following set of semigroup identities:

$$\Delta_{(k)} = \{(x_1 \dots x_k, x_1 \dots x_i x_j x_{i+1} \dots x_k) \mid 2 \leq i \leq k-1, j \in \{1, k\}\},$$

where $k \geq 3$. Then $D_k = \text{Var } \Delta_{(k)}$ is an n -variety for every $n \geq 2$.

Proof. First, it can be easily shown that if $n \geq 3$, and a semigroup term ξ is $(n, \Delta_{(k)})$ -reducible, then $|\xi| \geq k$. In this case, if $\xi = x \eta y$, then $(x^i \xi, \xi), (\xi, \xi y^i) \in \langle \Delta_{(k)} \rangle$ for any $i > 0$, and thus the condition (α) is satisfied.

(We notice that it is shown in the paper [8] that $D = D_3$ is an n -variety for any $n \geq 2$, and that the same proof can be applied for the general case.)

Cor. 7. $D_k \wedge \text{ABSEM}$ is an n -variety for every $k \geq 3$, $n \geq 2$.

Proof. It is easy to show that (α) is satisfied.

(Cor. 7 is also proved in [2]).

The following proposition is the main result of the paper [7].

Prop. 8. If $L_k = \text{Var}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$, $R_k = \text{Var}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $O_k = L_k \cap R_k$, then L_k , R_k , O_k are n -varieties for any $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$.

We note that O_k satisfies the condition (a), but neither of the varieties L_k , R_k satisfies (a).

The above examples exhaust all the known semigroup n -varieties. A list of the known semigroup quasi n -varieties will be given below. (see [1], [7], [2]).

Prop. 9. If $r > 1$, and $n-1$ is not a divisor of m , then $P_{r,m} = \text{Var}(x_1^r, x_1^{k+m})$ is a quasi n -variety.

Prop. 10. If $n \geq 3$ and $D^l = \text{Var}(x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_1 x_3)$, $D^r = \text{Var}(x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_3 x_2 x_3)$, then both D^l and D^r are quasi n -varieties.

Prop. 11. Let s, m, n and k be positive integers such that:

$$n \geq 3, m \equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}, m \neq 2s+1, m \neq 2s+2, s+2 \leq m, k \geq m+2,$$

and let $\Delta_{(k)}$ be as in Cor. 6, and

$$\Delta_{(k,s,m)} = \Delta_{(k)} \cup \{(x_1^s x_2^{m-s}, x_1^{s+2} x_2^{m-s-1})\}.$$

Then both the varieties

$$\text{Var } \Delta_{(k,s,m)} \text{ and } \text{ABSEM} \cap \text{Var } \Delta_{(k,s,m)}$$

are quasi n -varieties.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] Ć.Ćupona: n -subsemigroups of semigroups satisfying the law $x^r = x^{r+m}$, Annuaire de la Faculté des Mathématiques, Skopje, T.30 (1979), 5-14
- [2] Ć.Ćupona: n -subsemigroups of some commutative semigroups, Annuaire T.32 (1981)
- [3] S.Markovski: On quasivarieties of generalized subalgebras, Algebraic Conference Skopje 1980, 125-129
- [4] E.Nelson: The lattice of equational classes of commutative semigroups, Can.J. Math Vol. XXIII, No 5 (1971), 875-895
- [5] А.Я.Айзенштат, Б.К.Богута: О решетке многообразий полугрупп, Ленингр. Госуд. педаг. институт, Сборник научных трудов, Ленинград (1979)
- [6] А.И.Мальцев: Алгебраические системы, Москва 1970
- [7] С.Марковски: За една класа полугрупи, Математ.Билтен 1(XXVII) (1978), 29-36
- [8] С.Марковски: За дистрибутивните полугрупи, Годишен зборник на Матем.фак., Скопје 30(1979), 15-27

Ć.Ćupona, Matematički fakultet,
 P.F. 504,
 91000 Skopje
 Jugoslavija