

**ON FINITE MULTIQUASIGROUPS**  
 Publications, Beograd, tom 29 (43), (1981), 53–59  
*Georgi Čupona, Zoran Stojaković, Janez Ušan*

In the present paper multiquasigroups and their relations to orthogonal systems of operations and codes are studied. In the first part of the paper the notion of an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$  is defined and it is shown that for  $n, m, q \geq 2$  it follows that  $m \leq q - 1$ , in the second part, as a corollary of the preceding result, an upper bound for the maximal number of  $n$ -ary operations in an orthogonal system of operations on a set with  $q$  elements is obtained. In the third part the existence of a class of multiquasigroups is shown, and in the fourth part a connection between multiquasigroups and a special kind of code is pointed out.

In the paper some results from [4] are used, but it is possible to read it independently.

1. Let  $Q$  be a finite, nonempty set with  $q$  elements,  $n, m$  positive integers and  $f$  a mapping of  $Q^n$  into  $Q^m$ . The structure  $Q(f)$  is said to be an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup, or simply multiquasigroup, iff the following condition is satisfied:

(A) For every injection  $\varphi$  from  $N_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $N_{n+m}$  and every sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q$ , there exists a unique sequence  $b_1, \dots, b_{n+m} \in Q$  such that:

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{n+m}) \text{ and } b_{\varphi(i)} = a_1, \dots, b_{\varphi(n)} = a_n.$$

$q$  is called the order of  $Q(f)$ .

One of the tasks of the paper is to discuss triples of natural numbers  $(n, m, q)$  for which  $[n, m]$ -quasigroups of order  $q$  exist. It is clear that: (i)  $Q(f)$  is an  $[n, 1]$ -quasigroup iff  $Q(f)$  is an  $n$ -quasigroup; (ii)  $Q(f)$  is an  $[1, m]$ -quasigroup iff there exist permutations  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  of  $Q$  such that  $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$ ; (iii) for each pair of natural numbers  $n, m$  there exists an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order 1. Therefore, in the sequel we shall assume that  $n, m, q \geq 2$ .

First, we shall prove the following proposition:

**1°.** If  $m, n, q \geq 2$  and if there exists an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$ , then

$$m \leq q - 1. \tag{1}$$

**Proof.** First, we note that if  $Q(f)$  is a  $[2, m]$ -quasigroup and if we put

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{m+2}) \mid f(x_1, x_2) = (x_3, \dots, x_{m+2})\}, \\ b_x^i &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_{m+2}) \in P \mid x_i = x\}, \\ B_v &= \{b_x^v \mid x \in Q\}, \quad B_1 = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{m+2}, \end{aligned}$$

we get a  $m+2$ -net (where  $P$  is the set of points,  $B$  is the set of blocks i.e. lines, and the incidence is the ordinary belonging) of order  $q$  ([4]). It is well known that from here it follows (see [1], p. 9) that  $m+2 \leq q+1$ , i.e. (1).

Now, we shall assume that  $Q(f)$  is an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$ , where  $n = p+2$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . If  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  is an arbitrary sequence of elements from  $Q$ , and if we put

$$f'(x, y) = f(a_1, \dots, a_p, x, y),$$

we get a  $[2, m]$ -quasigroup  $Q(f')$ . From here, considering the preceding result, it follows that  $m \leq q - 1$ .

As a corollary of the preceding we get:

1.1. If  $m, n \geq 2$ , then there does not exist an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order 2.

2. Let  $\Sigma = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$  be a sequence of  $n$ -ary operations defined on the same set  $Q$ , where  $k \geq n$ .  $\Sigma$  is said to be an orthogonal system of  $n$ -ary operations on  $Q$  (OSnO) iff the following condition is satisfied:

(B) For every injection  $\varphi: N_n \rightarrow N_k$  the mapping

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (y_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, y_{\varphi(n)})$$

is a permutation of  $Q^n$ , where  $y_v = f_v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

A sequence  $\Sigma = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$  of  $n$ -ary operations on a set  $Q$  is said to be a strongly orthogonal system, iff the sequence  $\Sigma_1 = (g_1, \dots, g_n, f_1, \dots, f_k)$  is an orthogonal system, where  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are defined by:

$$(\forall i \in N_n) g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i.$$

It can be easily proved that in a strongly orthogonal system all  $n$ -ary operations are  $n$ -quasigroups.

A system of binary quasigroups is orthogonal iff it is strongly orthogonal, but for  $n > 2$  a system of  $n$ -quasigroups which is orthogonal need not be strongly orthogonal\*).

We shall show that:

2°. If  $n, q \geq 2$  and if  $(f_1, \dots, f_k)$  is an OSnO on a set  $Q$  with  $q$  elements, then

$$k \leq n + q - 1. \quad (2)$$

Proof. For  $k = n$  and  $k = n + 1$  there is nothing to prove. So, we shall assume that  $k = n + m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ . If a mapping  $f: Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$  is defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(\exists t_1, \dots, t_n \in Q) x_1 = f_1(t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, x_k = f_k(t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

we get an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup  $Q(f)$ , and from 1° it follows that  $m = k - n \leq q - 1$ , i.e. (2).

As a corollary of 2° we get the following:

2.1. If  $n, q \geq 2$ , then the number of  $n$ -ary operations in an OSnO defined on a set with  $q$  elements is bounded, and if  $\omega_n(q)$  is the maximal number of elements in such a system, then

$$\omega_n(q) \leq n + q - 1. \quad (2.1)$$

From 2° it follows also that the maximal number of  $n$ -ary operations in a strongly orthogonal system on a set with  $q$  elements is not greater than  $q - 1$ .

We note that in [3] (the same result is quoted in [2]) the following theorem is proved:

2.2. If  $n \geq 2$ ,  $q \geq 3$  and if  $\pi_n(q)$  denotes the maximal number of  $n$ -quasigroups which make an orthogonal system of  $n$ -quasigroups on a set with  $q$  elements, then

$$\pi_n(q) \leq (n - 1)(q - 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Since every orthogonal system of  $n$ -quasigroups is also an OSnO, we have  $\pi_n(q) \leq \omega_n(q)$ , so (2.1) improves (2.2).

It is easy to see that the upper bound for  $\pi_n(q)$  is:

- (i) better in (2.2) for  $n = q = 3$  and for  $n = 2$ ,  $q$  arbitrary;
- (ii) the same in (2.1) and (2.2) for  $n = 3$ ,  $q = 4$  and for  $n = 4$ ,  $q = 2$ ;
- (iii) better in (2.1) in all other cases.

Using the corresponding result on the nonexistence of of an OSnO, we get that:

\* ) An example for this are four ternary quasigroups given in [2] on pages 181 and 182.

2.3. If  $n, m \geq 2$  then there does not exist an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order 6.

Proof. If  $Q(f)$  is an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup and if  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \Leftrightarrow y_v = f_v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

a system of  $n$ -quasigroups is obtained. For  $m \geq n$  this system is orthogonal. So, if we define a  $[2, m]$ -quasigroup  $Q(f')$  as in the proof of 1°, then we obtain an orthogonal system of binary quasigroups  $f'_1, \dots, f'_m$  and such a system, as it is well known, for  $m \geq 2, q = 6$  does not exist.

3. All the results of the two preceding have „negative character“, i.e. they consider the cases in which there do not exist multi-quasigroups. Here, we shall show the existence of a class of multi-quasigroups which we shall call linear multi-quasigroups.

3°. Let  $F$  be a field and  $A = [a_{ij}]$  an  $n \times (m+n)$  matrix over  $F$  such that every minor of  $A$  of order  $n$  is nonsingular. If a mapping  $f: F^n \rightarrow F^m$  is defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}) \Leftrightarrow (\exists t \in F^n) \mathbf{x} = tA, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m})$ , then we get an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup  $F(f)$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in F^n$  be a sequence of elements from  $F$ , and  $\varphi$  an injection from  $N_n$  into  $N_{n+m}$ . The matrix  $B = [b_{ij}]$  of order  $n$ , where  $b_{ij} = a_{i\varphi(j)}$ , is nonsingular, which means that the equation  $\mathbf{c} = tB$  has a unique solution  $t = \mathbf{c}B^{-1}$ , and from here we get that there exists a unique sequence  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n+m}) \in F^{n+m}$  such that  $b_{\varphi(v)} = c_v$  and  $\mathbf{b} = tA$ , i.e.  $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{n+m})$ .

Putting in 3°  $t = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  the following proposition is obtained:

3.1. Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix over a field  $F$ , such that every minor\*) of  $A$  is nonsingular. If a mapping  $f: F^n \rightarrow F^m$  is defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}A, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ , then an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup  $F(f)$  is obtained.

It is clear that, if an  $n \times m$  matrix  $A$  defines an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup, then the transpose  $A^T$  of the matrix  $A$  defines an  $[m, n]$ -quasigroup. Also, every  $p \times q$  submatrix of  $A$  defines a  $[p, q]$ -quasigroup.

From 3.1. it follows that if a matrix  $A$  with nonsingular minors can be defined over a Galois field  $F = GF(p^a)$ , then the corresponding linear multi-quasigroup is obtained. We give some examples.

$$3.1) F = GF(3) = \{0, 1, -1\}, \quad n = m = 2, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$f(x, y) = (u, v) \Leftrightarrow u = x + y, \quad v = x - y.$$

$$3.2) F = GF(5) = \{0, 1, 2, -1, -2\}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f_1(x, y, z) = (u, v) \Leftrightarrow z = x + y + z, \quad v = x + 2y - z,$$

$$f_2(x, y) = (u, v, w) \Leftrightarrow u = x + y, \quad v = x + 2y, \quad w = x - y$$

$$f_3(x, y, z) = (u, v, w) \Leftrightarrow u = 2x + y + z, \quad v = x + 2y + z, \quad w = x + y + 2z.$$

It is natural to ask when a matrix  $A$  with nonsingular minors can be constructed over a field  $F$ . A sufficient condition gives the following proposition.

\*) Of order  $k, k = 1, \dots, \min(n, m)$ .

**3.2.** If  $F$  is a finite field with  $q$  elements and if  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers such that

$$\sum_i \binom{n-1}{i} \binom{m-1}{i} < q, \quad (3.2)$$

then there exists an  $n \times m$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  such that every minor of  $A$  is nonsingular.

**Proof.** It is clear that the proposition is true for  $n=1$  or  $m=1$ , hence, we shall assume that  $n, m \geq 2$ . If (3.2) is true then the inequality

$$\sum_i \binom{k-1}{i} \binom{s-1}{i} < q \quad (3.2')$$

is also true for every  $k \leq n, s \leq m$ . We shall suppose that  $k < n, s < m$  and that we have constructed the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{km} \end{bmatrix} = B, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1s} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{ks} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_s \end{bmatrix} = C,$$

with nonsingular minors. The proof will be completed if we show that there exists an element  $b \in F$  such that all minors of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1s} & a_{1s+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2s} & a_{2s+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{ks} & a_{ks+1} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_s & b \end{bmatrix} = D,$$

are nonsingular. It is clear that  $D$  has

$$\binom{k}{0} \binom{s}{0} + \binom{k}{1} \binom{s}{1} + \binom{k}{2} \binom{s}{2} + \cdots$$

minors in which  $b$  appears, and every such minor is singular only for one value of  $b$ , i.e. there exist at most  $\sum_i \binom{k}{i} \binom{s}{i}$  values of  $b$  for which a minor of

$D$  in which  $b$  appears is singular. From (3.2) it follows that we can find  $b$  such that all minors of  $D$  are nonsingular, which completes the proof.

The matrix  $A_3$  from the example 3.2) shows that, in general, the condition (3.2) is not necessary for the existence of a matrix with the given property.

A corollary of 3.2. is the following:

**3.3.** For every pair of natural numbers  $m, n \geq 2$  and every prime  $p$ , there exist an infinite number of natural numbers  $\alpha$  such that there exist an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q = p^\alpha$ .

It is clear that the propositions 3° and 3.1. can be formulated in a more general form, where instead of a field we use a commutative and associative ring with identity, and the term „nonsingular minor“ we replace by „invertible square submatrix“. As a consequence of such more general proposition, we get:

**3.4.** If there exists an integer  $n \times m$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , such that every minor of  $A$  is relatively prime with  $q$ , then there exists an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$ .

**Proof.** If we consider  $A$  as a matrix over the ring  $Z_q = Z/qZ$  (of residue classes modulo  $q$ ) we get that every minor of  $A$  is invertible.

We give some examples.

3.3) Using the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  we can construct a  $[2, 2]$ -quasigroup of any odd order.

The matrix 
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

defines a  $[3, 3]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$ , where  $q$  is any natural number relatively prime with 6.

4. Multiquasigroups can be interpreted as a special kind of relations, i.e. codes. First, every subset  $K$  of  $Q^k$  is called a  $k$ -code over  $Q$ . Two elements  $a_1 \cdots a_k$  and  $b_1 \cdots b_k$  form  $Q^k$  are said to be on a distance  $d$  iff they differ in exactly  $d$  components. If  $d$  is the minimal distance between different sequences from  $K$ , then we say that  $K$  has the code distance  $d$ . It is easy to see that the following proposition is valid:

4° If  $Q(f)$  is an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$  and if a code  $K$  is defined by

$$a_1 \cdots a_{m+n} \in K \Leftrightarrow f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{m+n}), \quad (4)$$

then a  $m+n$ -code with  $q^n$  elements and of the code distance  $m+1$  is obtained. And conversely, if  $K$  is a  $m+n$ -code with  $q^n$  elements and of the code distance  $m+1$  over a set  $Q$  with  $q$  elements, then by (4) an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup of order  $q$  is defined.

From the above proposition it follows that there exists an equivalence between multiquasigroups and a special kind of codes.

It is natural to ask what structure  $Q(f)$  is defined by (4) if it is given only that  $K$  is a  $m+n$ -code of the code distance  $d=m+1$ . In this case, a partial  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup  $Q(f)$  is obtained (the definition of which we shall not give here). In [4] it is shown that every partial  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup can be completed to an  $[n, m]$ -quasigroup, but then the carrier of the multiquasigroup is essentially enlarged, and this is not of interest in the case when the carrier is finite.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] В. Д. Белоусов, *Конфигурации в алгебраических сетях*, Кишинев, Штиинца, 1979.
- [2] J. Dénes, A. D. Keedwell, *Latin squares and their applications*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1974.
- [3] L. Humblot, *Sur une extension de la notion de carrés latin*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 273 (1971), 795—798.
- [4] G. Čurona J. Ušan, Z. Stojaković, *Multiquasigroups and some related structures*, Prilozi MANU, Skopje, I/1, 1980.