## FREE OBJECTS IN PRIMITIVE VARIETIES OF *n*-GROUPOIDS Publ. de l'Inst. Math., Nouvelle série, 57 (71) (1995), 147–154

G. Čupona and S. Markovski

Dedicated to the memory of Professor D. Kurepa

Abstract. A variety of n-groupoids (i.e. algebras with one n-ary operation f) is said to be a primitive n-variety if it is defined by a system of identities of the following form:

 $f(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = f(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_n}) \tag{*}$ description of free objects in primitive x-varieties, and several properties

Here we give a convenient description of free objects in primitive n-varieties, and several properties of free objects are also established.

1. Introduction. Identities of the form (\*) are called primitive n-identities, where we take n to be a fixed positive integer, and  $i_{\lambda}$ ,  $j_{\mu}$  are positive integers. We do not make any distinction between two equivalent identities, and that is the reason why we assume  $1 \leq i_{\nu}$ ,  $j_{\nu} \leq 2n$ . A set  $\Sigma$  of primitive n-identities is said to be complete if it contains every primitive n-identity which is a consequence of  $\Sigma$ . Everywhere in this paper we suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a complete system of primitive n-identities, and we also take  $n \geq 2$ , since for n = 1 the only nontrivial primitive 1-identity is f(x) = f(y), which gives rise to constant unars.

The main results obtained here are the construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects with given basis B and the following theorems, which are corollaries of the obtained construction.

THEOREM A. A free  $\Sigma$ -object has a unique basis.  $\square$ 

THEOREM B. Every subobject of a free  $\Sigma$ -object is a free  $\Sigma$ -object as well.  $\square$ 

For any identity (\*) we put  $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}, J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}.$ 

THEOREM C. Assume that there is an identity (\*) in  $\Sigma$  such that  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ . If  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$  is the largest integer such that (\*) is in  $\Sigma$  for  $I = \{1\}$  and for every J with  $|J| \leq k$ , then any free  $\Sigma$ -object with rank k has a subobject with infinite rank.  $\square$ 

THEOREM D. For every identity (\*) in  $\Sigma$  let  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$  and assume that if (\*) is in  $\Sigma$  for  $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , then  $|J| \geq 2$ . Then every free  $\Sigma$ -object has a subobject with infinite rank.  $\square$ 

THEOREM E. The word problem is solvable in any primitive n-variety.

2. Complete sets of primitive n-identities. As we already mentioned in section 1, we assume that in (\*) we have  $1 \le i_{\nu}$ ,  $j_{\nu} \le 2n = m$  for each  $\nu$ . In such a way the primitive n-identities can be considered as transformations of the set  $M = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ , i.e. as elements of the set  $T = M^m (= \{\varphi | \varphi : M \to M\})$ . Next, in this paper we will not make any distinction between the sets  $M^m$  and  $M^n \times M^n$ , where  $M^n = \{\psi | \psi : \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to M\}$ . Namely, if  $\varphi \in M^m$  and  $\varphi_L$ ,  $\varphi_R \in M^n$  are defined by  $\varphi_L(i) = \varphi(i), \qquad \varphi_R(i) = \varphi(n+i)$ 

for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , then  $(\varphi_L, \varphi_R)$  will be considered as another notation of  $\varphi$ .

We stress again that we suppose here and further on that  $\Sigma$  denotes a complete set of primitive *n*-identities, where  $n \geq 2$  is a given integer. By the above agreement, we also have that  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

Every subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  induces a relation  $\sim_{\Lambda}$  on  $M^n$  defined by  $\varphi \sim_{\Lambda} \psi \Leftrightarrow (\varphi, \psi) \in \Lambda$ .

The following completeness theorem is a consequence of a result from [2]:

Proposition 2.1. A subset  $\Lambda$  of T is complete iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) ~<sub>Λ</sub> is an equivalence relation on M<sup>n</sup>;
- (ii)  $\Lambda$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T} \circ \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$ , where : denotes the usual superposition of transformations.  $\square$

The following property (shown in [2]) will be used in the next section:

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $\xi, \eta \in \Sigma$  be such that  $\ker \xi_R = \ker \eta_L$ , and denote by  $T(\xi, \eta)$  the set of all elements  $\zeta \in T$  which satisfy the following conditions:  $\zeta_L = \xi_L$  and

 $\xi(i) = \xi(k+n), \ \eta(k) = \eta(j+n) \Rightarrow \zeta(i) = \zeta(j+n)$ 

for every  $i, k, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Then  $T(\xi, \eta) \neq \emptyset$  and  $T(\xi, \eta) \subseteq \Sigma$  (and, furthermore,  $T \circ T(\xi, \eta) \subseteq \Sigma$ ).  $\square$ 

Given any complete set  $\Sigma$  of primitive n-identities, by  $\Sigma[M]$  we denote the

quotient set  $M^n/\sim_{\Sigma}$ , and if  $\varphi\in M^n$ , then by  $[\varphi]\in\Sigma[M]$  we denote the corresponding class of equivalent elements. (Further on, we will write simply ~ instead of  $\sim_{\Sigma}$ .)

For any  $i \in M$ , let  $i \in M^n$  be defined by  $i(\nu) = i$  for each  $\nu \in \{1, 2, ... n\}$ .

We say that  $\Sigma$  is with constant if [1] = [2].

If  $\varphi \in M^n$ , then the set  $\{\varphi(1), \ldots, \varphi(n)\}$  is called the content of  $\varphi$ , and will be denoted by  $cnt(\varphi)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) Σ is with constant;

(ii) [i] = [j] for any  $i, j \in M$ ;

(iii) there exist  $\varphi$ ,  $\eta \in M^n$  such that  $|\varphi| = |\eta|$  and the contents of  $\varphi$  and  $\eta$  are

disjoint.

If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then any element of [i] is called a  $\Sigma$ -constant;  $\Sigma$  is said to be with absolute constant if  $\Sigma[M]$  is a singleton. Denote by  $\varepsilon$  the element of  $M^n$  defined by  $\varepsilon(\nu) = \nu$  for each  $\nu \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

Σ is with absolute constant;

(ii)  $\varphi \sim \eta$  for any  $\varphi$ ,  $\eta \in M^n$ ;

(iii) there is a  $\varphi \in M^n$  such that  $\varepsilon \sim \varphi$  and  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$  have disjoint contents.  $\square$ PROPOSITION 2.5. If  $\varphi \in M^n$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant, then there is an  $\eta \in [\varphi]$ such that  $cnt(\eta)$  is a subset of  $cnt(\psi)$  for any  $\psi \in [\varphi]$ .

(Then we say that  $\eta$  is a minimal member of  $[\varphi]$ .)

*Proof.* Since  $A = \{\operatorname{cnt}(\xi) | \xi \in [\varphi] \}$  is a finite set, there is an  $\eta \in [\varphi]$  such that  $\operatorname{cnt}(\eta)$  is a minimal member in A. Assume that  $\operatorname{cnt}(\eta)$  and  $\operatorname{cnt}(\eta')$  are different minimal members in A. Then  $\operatorname{cnt}(\eta) \cap \operatorname{cnt}(\eta') \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\varphi$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant. Let  $i \in \operatorname{cnt}(\eta) \cap \operatorname{cnt}(\eta')$  and let  $j \in \operatorname{cnt}(\eta') \setminus \operatorname{cnt}(\eta)$ . Define  $\zeta \in \mathcal{T}$  by  $\zeta(j) = i$  and  $\zeta(k) = k$  for any  $k \neq j$ . Then  $\zeta \circ (\eta, \eta') = (\eta, \eta'') \in \Sigma$  for some  $\eta'' \in M^n$  such that  $\operatorname{cnt}(\eta'') = \operatorname{cnt}(\eta') \setminus \{j\}. \square$ 

Now we define the notion of the  $\Sigma$ -content of an element  $\varphi \in M^n$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$ , as follows. We put  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = \emptyset$  if  $\varphi$  is a  $\Sigma$ -constant, and  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = \operatorname{cnt}(\eta)$ is  $\varphi$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -constant and  $\eta$  is a minimal member of  $[\varphi]$ . Note that  $\xi \sim \varphi$  implies

 $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\xi) = \operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi).$ 

Proposition 2.6. There exists a  $\varphi \in M^n$  such that  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$  is a singleton iff  $\Sigma$  is without constant.  $\square$ 

 $\Sigma$  is said to be essentially k-ary iff  $|\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)| = k$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then the order of the constant of  $\Sigma$  is said to be k iff  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)=\emptyset$  for each  $\varphi\in M^n$  such that  $|\operatorname{cnt}(\varphi)|\leq k$ , and k is the largest such integer. Therefore we have:

Proposition 2.7. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) Σ is with absolute constant;
(ii) Σ is with constant of order n. □

3.  $\Sigma$ -objects. Let A be a nonempty set and let  $\Sigma$  be a complete set of primitive n-identities. Define a relation  $\sim_{\Sigma,A}$  (shortly denoted by  $\sim_A$ ) on the set  $A^n (= \{\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{a} : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A\})$  as follows:

 $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \Longleftrightarrow (\exists \xi \in \Sigma) \ker \xi = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ 

where  $a, b \in A^n$  and  $(a, b) \in A^m$  is defined as in the preceding section, i.e.  $(a, b)(i) = a(i), (a, b)(i + n) = b(i), \text{ for each } i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}.$ 

The following statement is a corollary from Proposition 1.1 (and its general-

ization as well):

PROPOSITION 3.1. (i)  $\sim_A$  is an equivalence relation. (ii) If  $a \sim_A b$ , c is a transformation of A and  $c \circ (a, b) = (a', b')$ , then  $a' \sim_A b'$ .  $\square$ 

Proof. We will give only a sketch of the proof, and we will use the fact that

 $\Sigma$  is a complete set of identities. Let  $a, b, c \in A^n$ .

- (i) Then for suitably chosen  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  we have  $\ker \varphi(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \ker(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$ , and also if  $\ker \xi = \ker(a, b)$ , then  $\ker(\xi_R, \xi_L) = \ker(b, a)$ . The transitivity follows by using Proposition 2.2.
- (ii) If  $\ker \xi = \ker(a, b)$  and  $c \circ (a, b) = (a', b')$ , then there is a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\ker \varphi \xi = \ker (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}')$ , and  $\xi \in \Sigma$  implies  $\varphi \xi \in \Sigma$  by Proposition 2.1.  $\square$ We denote by  $\Sigma[A]$  the quotient set  $A^n/\sim_A$  and by [a] the class of equivalent

elements of  $a \in A^n$ . (So, [a] = [b] iff  $a \sim_A b$ .) If  $A = M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , then  $\sim_A$ and  $\sim$  have the same meaning as in section 2.

Proposition 2.2-2.6 have obvious generalizations, and we make a summary below

(1)  $|\Sigma[A]| = 1$  iff one of the following cases appears: 1.1) |A| = 1; 1.2)  $\Sigma$  is

with absolute constant; 1.3) |A| < k and  $\Sigma$  is with constant of order k.

(2) If  $a \in A^n$ , then the set  $cnt(a) = \{a(1), \ldots, a(n)\}$  is called the content of a. If  $\Sigma$  is with constant and  $|\operatorname{cnt}(a)|=1$ , then the class of equivalent elements [a] will be denoted by  $o(\notin A)$  and called the zero of  $\Sigma[A]$ . Then we also say that the  $\Sigma$ -content of o is empty, and we denote it by  $cnt_{\Sigma}(o) = \emptyset$ ; moreover, for each  $c \in o$  we put  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{c}) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathbf{b} \in A^n$ . If either  $\Sigma$  is without constant or  $[\mathbf{b}] \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then in the family of sets  $\{\operatorname{cnt}(c)|c \in [b]\}$  there is the least member which will be denoted by  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}[b]$ and called the  $\Sigma$ -content of [b]; in this case we also let  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(c) = \operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}[b]$  for each  $c \in [b]$ . And, if  $d \in [b]$  is such that  $cnt(d) = cnt_{\Sigma}(d)$ , then we say that d is a minimal member of [b]. (We note that [b] can contain distinct minimal members.)

(3) If Σ is with constant then |cnt<sub>Σ</sub>[a]| ≥ 2 for each [a] ≠ o, but if Σ is without constant then  $|\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$  for every  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$  such that  $|\operatorname{cnt}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$ . If  $\Sigma$ 

is essentially unary then  $|\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\mathbf{a})| = 1$  for every  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ .

(4) If  $A \subseteq B$  then the canonical mapping from  $\Sigma[A]$  into  $\Sigma[B]$  is injective, and then we can assume that  $\Sigma[A] \subseteq \Sigma[B]$ , in the following sence: if  $[a] \in \Sigma[B]$ and  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}[a] \subset A$ , then we take  $[a] \in \Sigma[A]$  as well.

An algebra (A, f) with n-ary operation f (i.e. an n-groupoid) is called a

 $\Sigma$ -object if it satisfies all the identities belonging to  $\Sigma$ .

Proposition 3.2. An n-groupoid (A, f) is a  $\Sigma$ -object iff

 $\mathbf{a} \sim_A \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{a}) = f(\mathbf{b})$ for every  $a, b \in A^n$ .  $\square$ 

Denote by  $nat(\sim_A)$  the natural mapping  $a \mapsto [a]$  from  $A^n$  into  $\Sigma[A]$ . Then by Proposition 3.2 we have:

Proposition 3.3. An n-groupoid (A, f) is a  $\Sigma$ -object iff there is a uniquie mapping  $f: \Sigma[A] \to A$  such that  $f \circ \operatorname{nat}(\sim_A) = f$ . (Certainly, the existence of such

a mapping f implies its uniqueness.)

Now we have a more convenient alternative definition of a  $\Sigma$ -object. Namely, if f is a mapping from  $\Sigma[A]$  into A, then the pair (A, f) is called a  $\Sigma$ -object with carrier A and operation f. Futher on, by a  $\Sigma$ -object we will understand the kind of structure we have just defined. Thus, for subobjects and homomorphisms we have the following characterizations:

If A = (A, f) is a  $\Sigma$ -object and  $C \subseteq A$ , then C is a Proposition 3.4.

subobject of A iff  $f(\Sigma[C]) \subseteq C$ .  $\square$ 

Thus, any subobject of a  $\Sigma$ -object is a  $\Sigma$ -object too.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let A = (A, f) and B = (B, g) be  $\Sigma$ -objects, and let  $h: A \to B$  be a mapping. Then h induces a unique mapping  $h: \Sigma[A] \to \Sigma[B]$  such that  $\underline{h} \circ \operatorname{nat}(\sim_A) = \operatorname{nat}(\sim_B) \circ h$ , and h is a homomorphism from A into B iff  $h \circ f = g \circ \underline{h}$ .  $\square$ 

(We note that  $h: A \to B$  induces a mapping  $h^{(n)}: A^n \to B^n$  such that [a] = [b] in  $\Sigma[A]$  implies  $[h^{(n)}(a)] = [h^{(n)}(b)]$  in  $\Sigma[B]$ , and then  $\underline{h}([a]) = [h^{(n)}(a)]$  for each  $a \in A^n$ .

The notion of a partial  $\Sigma$ -object can be defined as follows. Let A be a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{D}$  a subset of  $\Sigma[A]$  and f a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}$  into A. Then we say that the triple  $(A, \mathcal{D}, f)$  is a partial  $\Sigma$ -object. It can be easily seen that this definition is compatible with Evans' definition of partial algebras in a variety of algebras (see [3], where the words "incomplete" and "a class of algebras V" are used instead of "partial" and "a variety V"). Furthermore, if  $(A, \mathcal{D}, f)$  is a given partial  $\Sigma$ -object and q a fixed element of A, then if we define  $g: \Sigma[A] \to A$  by

$$\underline{g}([\mathbf{a}]) = \begin{cases} f([\mathbf{a}]), & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \mathcal{D} \\ q, & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \Sigma[A] \setminus \mathcal{D}' \end{cases}$$

 $\underline{g}([\mathbf{a}]) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f([\mathbf{a}]), & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \mathcal{D} \\ q, & \text{if } [\mathbf{a}] \in \Sigma[A] \backslash \mathcal{D}' \end{array} \right.$  then  $(A,\underline{g})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -object which is an extension of  $(A,\mathcal{D},\underline{f})$ . Now we can apply the well known Evans' result [3, p. 68] "if V is a class of algebras having the property

that any incomplete V-algebra can be embedded in a V-algebra, then the word problem can be solved for this class" to obtain the proof of Theorem E of section 1.

4. A construction of free Σ-objects. Here we will give a construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects with basis B, where B is a given nonempty set. Let  $(B_p|p \geq 0)$  be a sequence of sets defined inductively as follows:

and let

$$B_0 = B, B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \Sigma[B_p],$$
  
$$F(\Sigma, B) = \bigcup (B_p | p \ge 0).$$

(We will write simply F instead of  $F(\Sigma, B)$ , when  $\Sigma$  and B are known.) By induction on p one can easily prove that  $\Sigma[F] = F \setminus B$ .

If  $u \in F$  and if p is the least number such that  $u \in B_p$ , then we say that p is the hierarchy of u and write  $\chi(u)=p$ . It is clear that if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then  $\chi(0)=1$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $u \in F$  and let u not be a constant. Then  $\chi(u) = p+1$ iff  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(u) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is such that  $\chi(v_i) \leq p$  for each i and  $\chi(v_i) = p$  for some  $j \ (i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\})$ .  $\square$ 

Define an operation  $f: \Sigma[F] \to F$  by f(u) = u for each  $u \in \Sigma[F]$ . Then we have:

Proposition 4.2. (F, f) is a  $\Sigma$ -object generated by the set B.  $\square$ 

Let (C,g) be an arbitrary  $\Sigma$ -object and let  $h:B\to C$  be a mapping. Put  $h_0 = h$  and suppose that  $h_r: B_r \to C$  is a well defined mapping for each  $r \leq p$ in such a way that  $h_r$  is an extension of  $h_{r-1}$ , and if r > 0,  $\chi(u) = r$ , then  $h_r(u) = \underline{g} \circ \underline{h}_{r-1}(u)$ , where  $\underline{h}_{r-1} : \Sigma[B_{r-1}] \to \Sigma[C]$  is defined as in Proposition 3.5. Now define  $h_{p+1}: B_{p+1} \to C$  to be the extension of  $h_p$  such that  $h_{p+1}(u) = g \circ \underline{h}_p(u)$ for each u with  $\chi(u) = p + 1$ . (Note that if  $\chi(u) = p + 1$ , then  $u \in \Sigma[B_p]$ , and thus  $\underline{h}_p(u) \in \Sigma[C]$  is well defined by Proposition 3.5.) In such a way we have defined a chain of mappings  $(h_p|p \ge 0)$ , and its union  $\overline{h} = \bigcup (h_p|p \ge 0)$  is an extension of h and a homomorphism from (F, f) into (C, g) as well. Thus we have the following

THEOREM 4.3. If B is a nonempty set, then (F, f) is a free object with basis B.  $\square$ The preceding construction of free  $\Sigma$ -objects is somewhat obscure, but in

some cases it can be considerably simplified.

Example 4.4. If  $\Sigma$  is with constant and  $a, b \in B$ , then we have  $[a^n] =$  $[b^n] = 0$ , where o is the zero of F. (Here, and later on,  $a^n : i \mapsto a$  for each  $a \in A$ ,  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .) Clearly,  $o \in B_1 \setminus B$  and if  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant, then  $F = B \cup \{o\}$  and f(u) = o for each  $u \in \Sigma[B \cup \{o\}]$ . Therefore, if  $\Sigma$  is with absolute constant, then every constant n-groupoid is freely generated by the set of elements distinct from the constant (i.e. o). We have the same result if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, of order k and |B| < k. (Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then any one-element groupoid can be considered as free  $\Sigma$ -object with empty basis.)  $\square$ 

Example 4.5. Assume that  $\Sigma$  is essentially unar, i.e. for each  $\varphi \in M^n$  there is an  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that  $(\varphi, \mathbf{j}) \in \Sigma$  for  $j = \varphi(i)$ . Then the class of  $\Sigma$ -objects can be viewed as the class of unars. Namely, if (G, h) is a unar and if we define a mapping  $\underline{g}: \Sigma[G] \to G$  by  $\underline{g}(\mathbf{a}) = h(\mathbf{a}(i))$ , then we get a  $\Sigma$ -object  $(G, \underline{g})$ , and any  $\Sigma$ -object can be obtained in such a manner. Moreover,  $(G, \underline{g})$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -object

with basis B iff (G, h) is a free unar with basis B.  $\square$ 

We note that a subunar of a finitely generated free unar is finitely generated too, and thus Example 4.5 shows that the assumptions of Theorem D are essential.

Example 4.6. Let n=3 and let V be a variety defined by the identities  $f(x,x,x) = f(x,x,y) = f(y,y,y), \quad f(x,y,z) = f(y,x,z) = f(x,z,y).$  If  $B = \{b\}, o \neq b$  and if we put  $G = \{o,b\}$  and g(u,v,w) = o for each  $u,v,w \in G$ , then (G,g) is a free object in V with basis B of rank 1. Now, take  $B=\{b,c\}, b\neq c$ and  $o \notin B$ , and define the sets  $B_p$  inductively by

and  $0 \notin B$ , and define the sets  $B_p$  inductively by  $B_0 = B \cup \{0\}$ ,  $B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \{\{u, v, w\} | u \neq v \neq w \neq u, u, v, w \in B_p\}$ Let  $H = \bigcup (B_p | p \geq p)$  and let  $h(u, v, w) = \begin{cases} \{u, v, w\}, & \text{if } u \neq v \neq w \neq u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Then  $\mathbf{H} = (H, h)$  is a free object in  $\mathcal{V}$  with basis B. The subset D of H, where  $D = \{\{u, v, w\}, u \neq v \neq w \neq u, u, v, w \in B_p\}$  $\{d_i|i\geq 0\}$  and the elements  $d_i$  are defined inductively by  $d_0=\{0,b,c\}, d_{p+1}=\{0,b,c\}$ 

 $\{o, b, d_p\}$  is a basis of infinite rank of the subobject L of H generated by D.  $\square$ 

Example 4.7. There exist exactly 6 nonequivalent primitive 2-identities: xy = xy, xy = yx, xy = xx, xy = yy, xx = yy, xy = zw. (Here a usual notation of identities is used.) One can form 7 primitive 2-varieties, 6 of them being defined by a single identity of the above ones, and  $\mathcal{V} = \text{Var}(\{xy = yx, xx = yy\})$ . In the variety  $\mathcal{V}$  we can describe a free object with nonempty basis B by  $F = \bigcup (B_p | p \ge 0)$ , where  $B_0 = B$ ,  $B_1 = B \cup \{0\} \cup \{\{u,v\} | u,v \in B,u \ne v\}$ ,  $o \notin B$ , and  $B_{p+1} = B_p \cup \{\{u,v\} | u,v \in B_p,u \ne v\}$  when  $p \ge 1$ .  $\square$ 

5. Some properties of free  $\Sigma$ -objects. Here we will give proofs of Theorems A, B, C and D of section 1. Although one can prove these theorems by using

an induction on hierarchy, we will rather use the ideas involved in [1].

Assume that G = (G, g) is a  $\Sigma$ -object. An element  $a \in G$  is said to be prime in G if  $a \neq g([b])$  for any  $[b] \in \Sigma[G]$ . If  $\Sigma$  is with constant, then each element of G is said to be an improper divisor of the zero  $o \in \Sigma[G]$ . If  $c \in G$  is nonzero and nonprime element, then there is a  $[b] \in \Sigma[G]$  such that c = g([b]), and let a be a minimal member of [b]. Then each element  $d \in \text{cnt}(a) = \text{cnt}_{\Sigma}[a]$  is called a proper divisor of c. A sequence (finite or infinite) of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  of G is said to be a divisor chain in G iff for every i > 1  $a_i$  is a proper divisor of  $a_{i-1}$ .

Now we have another characterization of free  $\Sigma$ -objects:

Theorem 5.1. A  $\Sigma$ -object  $\mathbf{H} = (H, \underline{h})$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -object with a nonempty basis  $B \subseteq H$  iff the following conditions hold:

(i) B is the set of prime elements in H.

(ii) If  $c \in H$  is nonprime, then there is a unique  $[b] \in \Sigma[B]$  such that  $c = \underline{h}([b])$ .

(iii) Every divisor chain in H is finite.

*Proof.* It is clear that (F, f) satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).

Conversely, if **H** satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii), then it is easy to show by induction on hierarchy that there is an isomorphism  $g:(F,\underline{f})\to (H,\underline{h})$  such that g(b)=b for each  $b\in B$ .  $\square$ 

Now, Theorem A is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1, for the set of prime elements of a free  $\Sigma$ -object is its unique basis. (We should emphasize here that we do not need Theorem 5.1 to prove Theorem A, since it follows directly from the definition of primitive n-identities.)

Assume that G is a subobject of  $(F,\underline{f})$ . The set of prime elements in G (considered as a  $\Sigma$ -object) is empty only if  $\Sigma$  is with zero and  $G = \{o\}$ , and then G is free with an empty basis. If the set C of prime elements in G is nonempty, then C is a basis of G, since conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 5.1 are hereditary.

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

Now, let  $\Sigma$  be with constant of order k < n, and let  $B = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ . Then  $B_1 = B \cup \{o\}$  and  $\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(a_1a_2 \ldots a_ko^{n-k}) = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, o\}$ . Consider the subset  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p, \ldots\}$  of F, where  $c_1 = [a_1 \ldots a_ko^{n-k}]$ ,  $c_{p+1} = [a_1 \ldots a_kc_p^{n-k}]$ . Let Q be the subobject of  $(F, \underline{f})$  generated by C. Clearly, C is the set of prime elements in Q. (Namely,  $c_p$  is a divisor of  $c_{p+1}$  in F, but this does not hold in Q.) This completes the proof of Theorem C, since the conditions for  $\Sigma$  stated in Theorem C show that  $\Sigma$  is with constant of order k. It remains to show Theorem D. First we note that the assumption in this

Theorem can be expressed by  $|\operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)| = k \geq 2$ . Take  $\varphi$  to be a minimal member in  $[\varepsilon]$ , and  $i \in \operatorname{cnt}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$ . Let B be a nonempty set,  $b \in B$  and define a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  by  $a_1 = b$ ,  $a_{i+1} = [a_i^n]$  for 0 < i < n, and an infinite sequence  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_p, \ldots$  by  $c_1 = a_n$ ,  $c_{p+1} = [a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{i-1} c_p a_{i+1} \ldots a_n]$ . Then  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $c_r \neq c_s$  for  $r \neq s$ . This implies that  $C = \{c_r | r \geq 1\}$  is an infinite basis of

the subobject Q of (F, f) generated by C.

## REFERENCES

R.H. Bruck, A Survey of Binary Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958
 G. Cupona, S. Markovski S., Z. Popeska, Primitive n-identities, Contributions to General

Algebra 9 (1995), 107-116

3. T. Evans, The word problem for abstract algebras, J. London Math. Soc. 26(1951), 64-71