

# THE PROBLEM OF SOLVABILITY OF POLYLINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS IN SEMIGROUPS

Год. збор. Мат. фак. Скопје, 33-34 (1982-83), 63-68

G. Čupona, S. Markovski, B. Janeva

The problem of effectiveness of different kinds of embeddings of universal algebras in semigroups is treated in this paper.

1. Consider an  $\Omega$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A} = (A; \Omega)$ , i.e.  $\Omega = \cup \{ \Omega(n) \mid n \geq 1 \}$  is a set of finitary operators such that  $n \neq m \Rightarrow \Omega(n) \cap \Omega(m) = \emptyset$ , and every  $n$ -ary operator  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$  induces an  $n$ -ary operation  $\omega_A$  on  $A$ . (We will use the same notation for an operator and the corresponding operation in the algebra, i.e. we will write  $\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  instead of  $\omega_A(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .) We associate three semigroups to the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}_1^\Delta = \langle A \cup \Omega \mid \{ a = \omega a_1 \dots a_n \mid a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ in } \mathbf{A} \} \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_2^\Delta = \langle A \cup \Omega^\wedge \mid \{ a = \omega_0 a_1 \omega_1 \dots a_n \omega_n \mid a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ in } \mathbf{A} \} \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_3^\Delta = \langle A \cup \Omega \mid \{ a = a_1 \omega a_2 \dots a_n \mid a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ in } \mathbf{A} \} \rangle \quad (1.3)$$

It is assumed in (1.2) that for any  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ ,  $\omega^\wedge = \{ \omega_0, \dots, \omega_n \}$  is a set with  $n+1$  elements such that  $\omega^\wedge \cap \tau^\wedge \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \omega = \tau$ , and  $\Omega^\wedge = \cup \{ \omega^\wedge \mid \omega \in \Omega \}$ . It is also assumed that  $\Omega(1) = \emptyset$  in (1.3).

We notice that, in all the above presentations, the right-hand sides of the defining relations have greater lengths than the ones on the left-hand sides. So, we can define reduced words to be those words which have no subwords which are the right-hand sides of the defining relations. It is clear that for any word  $u$  there is a reduced word  $\bar{u}$ , such that  $\bar{u}$  is obtained from  $u$  by a finite application of the defining relations.

It is easy to prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** The irreducible representative  $\bar{u}$  for any word  $u$  is uniquely defined in (1.1) and (1.2) for every algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ . Every word has a unique irreducible representative in (1.3) iff the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the identities

$$\omega \tau(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \omega(x_m, \dots, x_{m+n-1})) \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ ,  $\tau \in \Omega(m)$ . ■

An  $\Omega$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be recursive iff  $A$  and  $\Omega$  are recursive sets, and every operation  $\omega_A : A^n \rightarrow A$  induced by  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$  is recursive. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we have:

**Corollary 1.2.** If the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is recursive, then the semigroups  $\mathbf{A}_1^\Delta$  and  $\mathbf{A}_2^\Delta$  are also recursive; furthermore, if the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the identities (1.4), then  $\mathbf{A}_3^\Delta$  is recursive as well. ■

Since the elements of the set  $A$  are reduced in all of the presentations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we have:

**Corollary 1.3.** For any  $\Omega$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  there exists a semigroup  $\mathbf{S}$  such that  $A \cup \Omega \subseteq S$  and the equality

$$\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \omega a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$$

holds for every  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ . ■

**Corollary 1.4.** For any  $\Omega$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  there exists a semigroup  $\mathbf{S}$  and a mapping  $\omega \rightarrow \omega^\wedge = (\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n)$  of  $\Omega$  into  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n$  such that  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$

$\Rightarrow \omega \in S^{n+1}, A \subseteq S$  and the equality

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \omega_0 a_1 \omega_1 \dots a_n \omega_n$$

holds for every  $\omega \in \Omega(n), a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ . ■

**Corollary 1.5.** If the algebra  $A$  satisfies the identities (1.4), then there exists a semigroup  $S$  such that  $A \cup \Omega \subset S$  and the equality

$$\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_1 \omega a_2 \dots a_n$$

holds for every  $\omega \in \Omega(n), a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ . ■

Namely, we can take  $S$  to be the semigroup  $A_1^\Delta, A_2^\Delta$  and  $A_3^\Delta$  in the corresponding cases.

Remark that Corollary 1.3 is the well known Cohn-Rebane's theorem- ([2], [7]) and Corollary 1.5 is proved in [3].

2. We will consider here more general representations of  $\Omega$ -algebras into semigroups, and (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) will be special cases of them.

Let  $\Omega$  be a set of finitary operations,  $C$  be a given set and  $e \notin \Omega \cup C$ . Assume that for any  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$  we have a sequence  $\omega^\Delta = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ , where  $\omega_i \in C \cup \{e\}$ . If  $A$  is a given  $\Omega$ -algebra with a carrier  $A$ , then we consider the semigroup  $A^\Delta$  given by the following presentation:

$$A^\Delta = \langle A \cup C; \{a = \omega_0 a_1 \omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1} a_n \omega_n \mid a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ in } A\} \rangle \quad (2.1)$$

We suppose that  $e$  is the empty word in (2.1), i.e. if  $\omega_i = e$  for some  $i$ , then we do not write  $\omega_i$  on the corresponding right-hand side of the defining relation. (We say that  $\Delta$  is the kind of the multilinearity)

It is clear that (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are special cases of (2.1). Namely, if  $C = \Omega$  and  $\omega^\Delta = (\omega, e, \dots, e)$  ( $\omega^\Delta = (e, \omega, e, \dots, e)$ ), we obtain (1.1) ((1.3)). If  $\omega_i \neq e$  for every  $\omega \in \Omega(n), i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\omega_i = \tau_j \Leftrightarrow \omega = \tau, i = j$ , then we obtain (1.2).

The reduced words could be defined as above, and so we have

**Theorem 2.1.** Let the algebra  $A$  be defined such that for any word  $u$  in the presentation (2.1) there exists a unique reduced representative  $\bar{u}$ .

Then, if the algebra  $A$  is recursive, the semigroup  $A^\Delta$  is recursive as well. ■

We are looking now for conditions under which we can have a unique reduced representatives for a given word.

Define the set of  $\Omega$ -words, which is a subset of  $(A \cup C)^+$ , in this inductive way:

- (i) every element of  $A$  is an  $\Omega$ -word;
- (ii) if  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  are  $\Omega$ -words and  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ , then  $\omega_0 u_1 \omega_1 u_2 \dots \omega_{n-1} u_n \omega_n$  is an  $\Omega$ -word;
- (iii) a word  $u \in (A \cup C)^+$  is an  $\Omega$ -word iff it is obtained by a finite application of (i) and (ii).

Let  $A$  be an  $\Omega$ -algebra. For every  $\Omega$ -word  $u$  let us define its value  $[u] \in A$  as follows:

$$a \in A \Rightarrow [a] = a;$$

$$\text{if } \omega \in \Omega(n) \text{ and } u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \text{ are } \Omega\text{-words with values}$$

<sup>1)</sup>  $B^+$  is the free semigroup on  $B$ .

$[u_i] = b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $b = \omega(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  is one value of the  $\Omega$ -word  $u = \omega_0 u_1 \omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1} u_n \omega_n$ . Thus the value of an  $\Omega$ -word need not be uniquely defined.

It is clear what we mean by „an  $\Omega$ -word  $u$  is a maximal  $\Omega$ -subword of a given word  $v$ “. (Note that  $u$  can have both maximal and non maximal appearances in  $v$ .)

We can formulate now the wanted condition:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\Delta$  satisfy the conditions:

1) Every word  $v \in (A \cup C)^+$  can be represented uniquely in the form

$$v = \alpha_0 u_1 \alpha_1 u_2 \dots \alpha_{p-1} u_p \alpha_p \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\alpha_p \in C^{*1}$  and  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p$  are maximal  $\Omega$ -subwords of  $v$ . (We say that (2.2) is a canonical representation of  $v$ .)

2) Every  $\Omega$ -word  $u$  has a uniquely defined value  $[u]$ .

Define a relation  $\approx$  on  $(A \cup C)^+$  as follows:  $v \approx w$  iff  $v$  has a canonical representation of the form (2.2),  $w$  has a canonical representation

$$w = \alpha_0 u'_1 \alpha_1 u'_2 \dots \alpha_{p-1} u'_p \alpha_p$$

and  $[u'_i] = [u_i]$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

Then  $\approx$  is an equivalence on  $(A \cup C)^+$ .

If it is satisfied the condition

3)  $\approx$  is a congruence on the semigroup  $(A \cup C)^+$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^\Delta$  is isomorphic to  $(A \cup C)^+ / \approx$  and every word  $v$  with a canonical representation (2.2) has uniquely defined reduced representation

$$\bar{v} = \alpha_0 a_1 \alpha_1 a_2 \dots \alpha_{p-1} a_p \alpha_p \quad (2.2)$$

where  $[u_i] = a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . ■

The condition 3) is independent from 1) and 2). Namely, let  $\Omega = \{\tau, \omega\}$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega(3)$ ,  $\tau \in \Omega(4)$ , and let  $\omega^\Delta = (e, e, e, e)$ ,  $\tau^\Delta = (e, e, e, e, e)$ ,  $C = \emptyset$ . Then if  $u \in A$  or  $u = a_1 a_2 \dots a_p, p \geq 3$ ,  $u$  is an  $\Omega$ -word, and if  $u = ab, a, b \in A$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are maximal subwords of  $u$ . Thus, 1) is satisfied for any algebra  $\mathbf{A} = (A; \omega, \tau)$ . The condition 2) is satisfied iff  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the general associative law, i.e. iff  $\mathbf{A}$  is an associative ([1], [4]). But, there are associatives which do not satisfy 3).

For example, let  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3) = a$  for every  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$ ,  $\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = a$  for every  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in A$  such that  $x_i \neq c$  for at least one  $i$ , and  $\tau(c, c, c, c) = b$ . Then  $(A; \omega, \tau)$  is an associative ([4]), but the relation  $\approx$  is not a congruence, since  $c^3 \approx a^3, c^4 \approx b, a^3 c \approx a$ , but  $a$  and  $b$  are not equivalent.

Notice that the condition 1) depends on  $\Delta$ , but not on the considered algebra, and that 2) is satisfied iff the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies some corresponding system of identities. Thus 2) is satisfied in (1.3) iff the algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the identities (1.4).

3. Assume that the  $\Omega$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  has a presentation

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle B; \Lambda \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

in the class of all  $\Omega$ -algebras, or in some variety of  $\Omega$ -algebras. We want to give a presentation of the semigroup  $\mathbf{A}^\Delta$ , for a given  $\Delta$ .

Define the set  $\Omega_A$  of  $\Omega$ -terms without variables to be the intersection of all subsets  $H$  of  $(A \cup C)^+$  with the properties

(i)  $A \subseteq H$

1)  $B^*$  is the free monoid on  $B$ .

(ii)  $\omega \in \Omega(n), \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in H \Rightarrow \omega \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \in H$ .

For every  $\Omega$ -term  $\xi$  without variables we have an  $\Omega$ -word  $\xi^\Delta$ , the „translation“ of  $\xi$ , obtained in this inductive way:

$$a^\Delta = a, \text{ for every } a \in A,$$

$$(\omega \xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^\Delta = \omega_0 \xi_1^\Delta \omega_{11} \dots \xi_n^\Delta \omega_n \quad \text{for every}$$

$$\omega \in \Omega(n), \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n \in \Omega_A.$$

The translation  $\Lambda^\Delta$  of  $\Lambda$  is defined by

$$\Lambda^\Delta = \{\xi^\Delta = \eta^\Delta \mid \xi = \eta \in \Lambda\}$$

Now, we have the following results:

**Theorem 3.1** If the algebra  $A$  has the presentation (3.1), then the semigroup  $A^\Delta$  has the presentation

$$A^\Delta = \langle B \cup C \mid \Lambda^\Delta \rangle \blacksquare \quad (3.2)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then the presentation (3.1) is solvable iff the presentation (3.2) is solvable.  $\blacksquare$

We notice that an  $n$ -group is recursive iff its universal covering is recursive ([6], [5]), but it is not known whether the same result is true when  $n$ -semigroups are considered.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Celakoski: On semigroup associatives, MANU, Contributions IX. 2, 1977, 5—19.
- [2] P. M. Cohn: Universal Algebra, Harper and Row, New York, 1965.
- [3] G. Čupona: On some primitive classes of universal algebras, Matem. Ves. 3 (18), 1966, 105—108.
- [4] G. Čupona: On Associatives, MANU, Contributions I. 1, 1969, 9—20.
- [5] G. Čupona, N. Celakoski: Polyadic subsemigroups of semigroups, Algebraic Conference Skopje, 1980, 131—151.
- [6] Janeva: Word problem for  $n$ -groups, Proc. Sym. on  $n$ -ary structures, Skopje, 1982, B. 157—159.
- [7] A. Г. Курош: Общая алгебра, Москва 1974.

#### ПРОБЛЕМОТ НА РЕШЛИВОСТ НА ПОЛИЛИНЕАРНИТЕ ПРЕТСТАВУВАЊА НА УНИВЕРЗАЛНИ АЛГЕБРИ ВО ПОЛУГРУПИ

Една  $\Omega$ -алгебра  $(A, \Omega)$  е полилинеарна подалгебра од полугрупа  $(S, \cdot)$  ако  $A \subseteq S$  и за секое  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$(*) \quad \omega(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \omega_0 a_1 \omega_1 a_2 \omega_2 \dots a_n \omega_n,$$

каде на секое  $\omega \in \Omega(n)$  му одговара низа  $(\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n)$  од елементи од  $S$ , при што се дозволува некои  $\omega_i$  да не се јавуваат во (\*). По работата се разгледуваат повеќе видови полилинеарни сместувања на универзални алгебри во полугрупи, при што главен акцент е ставен на ефикасноста на сместувањето. Основен резултат на работата е Теорема 2.2.